# ALPHA TESTING RESULTS

**Retention Scheduler  
Blue Navy**

## Inventory of Checklist

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Tester’s Name** | **Total Score** | **Percentage** |
| Jeynald Jeyromme Endaya | 38 | 97.436 |
| Romeo Senen Albano | 37 | 92.5 |
| Patricia Kelly Co | 36 | 92.308 |
| Joni Marie Jimenez | 37 | 97.368 |
| Patrick Domingo | 35 | 97.222 |
| Nicle Bedia | 37 | 88.095 |
| Mary Jane Rubio | 39 | 92.857 |
| Jannieca Camba | 31 | 86.111 |
| Louie Buera | 42 | 95.455 |
| Yohannah Bautista | 35 | 83.333 |

1. **Overall Assessment**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Average Score** | **Average Percentage** |
| 36.7 | 92.2685 |

1. **Consolidation of Comments/Remarks**

**Jeynald Jeyromme Endaya:** “I think this was from a previous implementation (remark to 4th user-acceptance criterion). The numbers of events are not clear, e.g. upon creation it just displays a 0.”

**Patricia Kelly Co:** “Hindi straight-forward (remark to 1st user-acceptance criterion). Though malabo medyo ‘yung manage events (remark to 3rd usability criterion). Weird ‘yung pinagsama na date and time picker tho (remark to 4th usability criterion).”

**Patrick Domingo:** “Magulo name ‘pag nag-delete (remark to 1st user-acceptance criterion). Currently selected date cannot be opened (remark to 5th user-acceptance criterion). ‘Di ma-click ‘yung current date (remark to 18th user- acceptance criterion). Dapat may message ‘pag successful (remark to 7th usability criterion)?”

**Nicle Bedia:** “Medyo magulo ‘yung pag-handle sa duplicate task (remark to 1st user-acceptance criterion). Kaso hindi nao-open ‘yung current selected date (remark to 5th user-acceptance criterion). May problem with CalendarView, ‘di nase- select current date (remark to 17th user-acceptance criterion). Hindi nase-select ‘yung current highlighted date (remark to 18th user-acceptance criterion). ‘Pag dinelete ‘yung example, ghgh(1), tapos may ghgh(2) pa riin sa Scheduler, nagkakagulo ‘pag nag-aadd ulit ng ghgh (remark to 19th user-acceptance criterion). Sana may indications kung anong mga dates ‘yung may event (additional comment). No feedback message (remark to 7th usability criterion). Sa View Event, walang label ‘yung mga attributes (remark to 24th usability criterion).”

**Mary Jane Rubio:** “Binura na raw nila (remark to 4th user-acceptance criterion). Hehe… You know what to do (remark to 19th user-acceptance criterion). RGB (remark to 11th usability criterion).”

**Jannieca Camba:** “Walang remark ‘pag empty title sa sine-save (remark to 12th user-acceptance criterion). Nice (remark to 19th user-acceptance criterion). Medyo mahirap (remark to 1st usability criterion). For example, ‘pag nag-add ako, after nun, pupunta sa calendar (nice!), pero ‘pag nag-back, babalik sa “add event”s window (remark to 2nd usability criterion). Medyo mahirap basahin (remark to 12th usability criterion).”

**Louie Buera:** “Why? Not exactly helpful as a feature (remark to 2nd user-acceptance criterion). Sana lagyan ng edit date (remark to 12th user-acceptance criterion). Panget ng error-checking (remark to 20th user-acceptance criterion). Sana less

moving through menus (remark to 8th usability criterion). Not in spec? (remark to 17th usability criterion). Not applicable (remark to 19th and 20th usability criteria). No graceful recovery from past date check (remark to 21st usability criterion). Medyo messy ‘yung navigation (additional comment).”

**Yohannah Bautista:** “Bad choice of button colors (remark to 11th usability criterion). Could be better (remark to 12th usability criterion).”

## Reflection of the Group

* **As the developers of the program, we’ve realized** that Murphy’s Law is, indeed, possible during the Testing itself. “Everything that can happen will happen.” We may be confident before the Testing since we’ve tested them for several times that each and every time we test it, it perfectly worked. But things beyond our control can truly possibly happen. We’ve still experienced glitches and bugs during the Testing itself. Yet, we’ve remembered that it’s the purpose of the Alpha Testing – to test the program within the class (or a small group) before releasing it to a much bigger audience which actually serves as an avenue for further improvements.
* **As the developers of the program, we want to improve on** the design of our program for proper presentation and to be more appropriate for the purpose of the program itself. We also want to improve the quality, i.e. as much as possible avoid glitches and bugs, as well as the convenience to use the program.
* **As the developers of the program, we want to continue doing** all possible testing for each and every feature of our program to improve its quality and usability. We also want to continue to open our minds for possible feedbacks from other people for the betterment of our program presentation.