

## Republic of the Philippines

## Department of Environment and Natural Resources

## ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Regional Office No. VIII
BIDS & AWARDS COMMITTEE

DENR Compound, Jones St. Tacloban City, Philippines



27 May 2022

MS. HAZEL C. DACAYANA Sales Supervisor AIMS Agri Ventures, Incorporated

Barangay Libertad, Palo, Leyte

SUBJECT: RESOLUTION TO THE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

TIME

Dear Ms Dacayana,

This has reference to a Motion for Reconsideration dated 23 May 2022 you have filed before this Office, concerning your bidding disqualification for the SUPPLY, DELIVERY, INSTALLATION and TESTING of TWENTY-NINE (29) Sets of Solid Waste Management Equipment consisting of Brand New Biodegradable Waste Shredder, Brand New Composter, Brand New Solar Powered 4G Network Camera Kit and Monitoring Equipment to this Office in support of the Local Government Units in Region 8 for C.Y 2022 (EMB-NCB-GOODS-01-2022), with a bid amount of Twenty-Five Million Eighty-Five Thousand Pesos (Php25,085,000.00).

Please be clarified that your disqualification means failure to satisfy Section VII of the Bidding Documents: Conformity with Technical Specifications of (1) Biodegradable Waste Shredder and (2) Composter.

CLAIM NO. 01: Your MR for Biodegradable Waste Shredder argued that the shredding efficiency of your offered equipment is 100% and can comply the required output from the technical specifications since the shredder you offered has different screens/grills which are detachable and can be easily replaced with the required output size.

**RESPONSE**: First and foremost, the bidder should have been compliant to the required technical specifications and output requirement of the equipment to be procured. Both of these requirements should be complied by the bidder through its Bid.

CLAIM NO. 02: You mentioned that the engine you offered for biodegradable waste shredder is Kubota engine which you claimed that it is a prominent Japanese Diesel Engine contrary to the one supplied by SUKI TRADING on the previous project which is an imitation to Kubota RT140 Engine and is made in China.

**RESPONSE**: Primarily, the main reason for disqualification of your engine is it did not comply with the power requirement which is minimum of 12hp. Your offered engine is 7hp which is below the required engine power. On the other hand, whether the engine is an imitation or original is not an issue here.

**CLAIM NO. 03:** For composter, you motioned that the offered composter is locally fabricated and assured that you can comply all of the required specifications/dimensions. You also mentioned that the main structure of the composter doesn't affect the overall performance of the unit which was complied by your offered equipment.

RESPONSE: Please be informed that Section 34.3b of RA9184 states that postqualification is being conducted to determine whether the bidder complies with and is responsive to all the requirements and conditions specified in the Bidding Documents and in order to declare the Lowest Calculated and Responsive Bid (LCRB). In this case, your offered composter is not responsive to the technical requirements specified in the bidding documents. Please be reminded that technical requirements should have been complied first in the bidder's Bid and not after the Notice of Post-disqualification through a motion for reconsideration.

Altogether, your motion for reconsideration is hereby **DENIED** for lack of merit and your disqualification is hereby **AFFIRMED**. Nonetheless, we hope to see you participate in future bidding endeavors initiated by this procuring entity.

For your guidance and reference. Thank you for your cooperation.

Respectfully,

JOSEPHINE B. GRAVOSO

Chairperson, Bids and Awards Committee,