

# interview-task

---

First write a token generator that creates a file with 10 million random tokens, one per line, each consisting of seven lowercase letters a-z. Then write a token reader that reads the file and stores the tokens in your DB. Naturally some tokens will occur more than once, so take care that these aren't duplicated in the DB, but do produce a list of all non-unique tokens and their frequencies. Find a clever way to do it efficiently in terms of network I/O, memory, and time and include documentation inline with your code or as txt file, describing your design decisions.

## Back of the Envelope Calculation

- $10^6 \cdot 7 = 7 \cdot 10^7$  total bytes, so roughly 66 MB. Should fit into main memory
- $| \{a, \dots, z\} | = 26 \implies 26^7$  combinations. Thinking of the Birthday problem, there is a good chance that we will have a collision of two random strings.

## Implementation thoughts

Go

1. For a simple implementation, a line by line read in should do the job.
  - Further io/performance improvement with `bufio`, but needs testing
2. Checking for duplicates

$n = 10^7$

- A `Map[string]int` can do the job with low programming complexity, because access is  $O(1)$  and filling it is  $O(n)$ 
  - We can even hint `make` with  $n$  to reduce the number of map resizes
- Radix sort with 7 iterations will be  $O(n \cdot 7)$ 
  - But should be very memory intensive, because we have to copy  $10^7$  strings around in every bucket
- Counting sort will be  $O(n + 26^7)$  which does not look promising because of the possible huge input space

$\implies$  <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kVgy1GSDHG8>

## Database

I have never used Postgres, so I will give it a try

The lib (PGX)[<https://github.com/jackc/pgx>] seems to be up to date & maintained, so I'll use it.

## Schema

We just have to save unique token. (Documentation)[<https://www.postgresql.org/docs/9.3/datatype-character.html>] and (SO)[<https://dba.stackexchange.com/questions/126003/index-performance-for-char-vs-varchar-postgres>] suggest using `character varying` to save the token. We do not need to mark the

token with **PRIMARY KEY** because it implies **UNIQUE** which we already check beforehand. In addition, filtering duplicates reduces the calls to insert rows into the database.

```
CREATE TABLE tokens(  
  token VARCHAR(7) NOT NULL  
)
```

## Changelog

### Issue

We issue one **Insert** when a new token pops up. Therefore, we have for  $n$  unique tokens  $n$  calls to **conn.Exec**. Also, it seems that the call is blocking such that it should be put into a **go-routine**.

This is visible, if we compare the time spent with and without sql insertions:

```
2021/11/26 19:44:54 Start scanning file  
2021/11/26 20:25:35 Finish scanning file
```

$\$>\$$  30 minutes with SQL

```
2021/11/26 22:46:47 Start scanning file  
2021/11/26 22:46:49 Finish scanning file
```

2 seconds without SQL

### Ideas

1. Issues the **Insert** in batches to reduce IO and increase speed
2. We can spin up multiple connections to the database

### Results

Implementing the first idea leads to a total runtime of roughly 4 seconds.

```
2021/11/27 00:39:42 Connecting to  
postgres://postgres:4eIyCpDzAPumf7WUwix0@localhost:5432/interview  
2021/11/27 00:39:42 Connected successfully  
2021/11/27 00:39:43 Start scanning file  
2021/11/27 00:39:43 Start writing to DB  
2021/11/27 00:39:46 Finish scanning file  
2021/11/27 00:39:46 Writing duplicates to file  
2021/11/27 00:39:46 Finish writing to DB
```

```
2021/11/27 00:39:46 Observed 823481 collision with a collision rate of  
0.0000728%
```

However, **pooling** i.e. multiple connections do not increase performance. Therefore, batching solves our problem of slow db writes by reducing IO.