A Print Out of my Matlab Code

RandomForest_BayesOpt.m

```
tic
clear;
clc;
% importing the data
data = readtable('Breastcancer.csv');
% An analysis of the data indicates that three columns
% (area mean, area se, and area worst) contain substantial outliers.
summary(data);
% Independent and dependent variables separated
% The diagnosis feature will be my dependent variable (Y) because it is reliant on the
other features.
% As a result, the rest are independent features (X) because they are not dependent on
anything.
indepen X = table2array(data ...
   (:,3:32));
depen y = table2array(data ...
   (:,2));
% Variables are saved
depen_var = data.Properties.VariableNames;
indepen_var = data(:,3:end);
% defining the classes
% The number of 'M'alignant tumors is 212 (1) and the number of 'B'enign tumors is 357 (0)
M_B_count = tabulate(depen_y);
\mbox{\ensuremath{\$}} use C_V partitioning to separate testing and traing data
C_V = cvpartition(depen_y, ...
   'holdout', 0.3);
trainX = indepen X(training ...
   (C V,1),:);
```

```
trainy = depen y(training ...
   (C V,1));
testX = indepen X(test ...
   (C \ V, 1), :);
testy = depen y(test ...
   (C \ V, 1), :);
% In response to 3 significant outliers from the summary,
% I normalized the data with mu=0 and standard deviation = 1.
[trainX, mu, stddev] = normalize(trainX);
for i=1:size(testX, 2)
  testX(:,i) = (testX(:,i) \dots
      -mu(1,i))/stddev(1,i);
end
% As a result of the highly correlated dataset, the tuning of hyperparameters was done by
Bayesian optimisation.
% We generate one figure using this method (because we optimize three variables):
% Defining the classes shows how the objective function is estimated and observed
\mbox{\ensuremath{\$}} We create three variables with specific names, types, and ranges.
% VTS 'NumVariablesToSample' lc 'learning cycle'
VTS a = optimizableVariable('VTS a',[1, 50], ...
   'Type', 'integer');
split a = optimizableVariable('split a', {'gdi', 'deviance'}, ...
   'Type', 'categorical');
num lc a = optimizableVariable('num lc a',[10, 400], ...
   'Type', 'integer');
n2 = size(trainX, 1);
rng(1);
cv2 = cvpartition(n2, ...
  'Kfold',10);
% The objective function is to return a measure of the tuning loss for hyperparameters.
fun = @(x)kfoldLoss(fitcensemble(trainX, trainy, 'CVPartition', cv2, 'Method', 'Bag'));
```

```
% When trying for 150 observations, set the objective evaluation limit higher than the
acquisition function
% and expected improvement
bayesopt results2 = bayesopt(fun,[VTS a, split a, num lc a],'Verbose',1,
'MaxObjectiveEvaluations',150);
\mbox{\ensuremath{\$}} I have saved the best combination that gives the minimum error
vts b bo = bayesopt results2.XAtMinObjective.VTS a;
split_b_bo = bayesopt_results2.XAtMinObjective.split_a;
numlc_b_bo = bayesopt_results2.XAtMinObjective.num lc a;
% Minimum error for the set of hyperparameters that are evaluated on the validation set.
min_error = bayesopt_results2.MinObjective;
% Creating an optimised tree and generating results, and refit the RF with this tree
template as the base learner
t = templateTree('NumVariablesToSample', vts b bo, ...
   'SplitCriterion', char(split b bo));
% refit the RF with this tree template as the base learner
rng(1)
bayes opt mdl l = fitcensemble(trainX, trainy, 'Method', 'Bag',
'NumLearningCycles', numlc_b_bo, 'learners', t);
bayes opt mdl 1 loss = loss(bayes_opt_mdl_1,testX, testy); % Using model predictions to
classify training data
bayes_opt_mdl_l_rloss = resubLoss(bayes_opt_mdl_l); % Predictions that have been
misclassified above.
% Creating a matrix for Bayesian optimisation results and test model
figure()
bayesopt Predict = predict(bayes opt mdl l, testX);
Confmat bayesopt rf = confusionmat(bayesopt Predict, testy);
matrix = confusionchart(bayesopt Predict, testy);
% Accuracy of Random Forest model with bayesopt tuning.
accuracyRF =
100*(Confmat bayesopt rf(1,1)+Confmat bayesopt rf(2,2))./(Confmat bayesopt rf(1,1)+ ...
  Confmat bayesopt rf(2,2)+Confmat bayesopt rf(1,2)+Confmat bayesopt rf(2,1));
% Model accuracy with Bayes optimization tuning
precisionRF =
Confmat bayesopt rf(1,1)./(Confmat bayesopt rf(1,1)+Confmat bayesopt rf(1,2));
```

```
% As a result of recall, we can figure out how many samples have a real chance of being
tumor positive.

recallRF = Confmat_bayesopt_rf(1,1)./(Confmat_bayesopt_rf(1,1)+Confmat_bayesopt_rf(2,1));

% The accuracy of a test is measured by the F1 score. Additionally,

% True Negatives (TN) and False Negatives (FN) are crucial for our results, so we use the
F1 score.

f1ScoresRF = 2*(precisionRF.*recallRF)./(precisionRF+recallRF);
```

KNearestNeighbor_BayesOpt.m

```
tic
clear;
clc;
% importing the data
data = readtable('Breastcancer.csv');
\ensuremath{\mathtt{\$}} An analysis of the data indicates that three columns
% (area mean, area se, and area worst) contain substantial outliers.
summary(data);
% Independent and dependent variables separated
% The diagnosis feature will be my dependent variable (Y) because it is reliant on the
other features.
% As a result, the rest are independent features (X) because they are not dependent on
anything.
depen Y = table2array(data ...
   (:,2));
indepen X = table2array(data ...
   (:,3:32));
% Variables are saved
depen variables = data.Properties.VariableNames;
```

```
indepen variables = data(:,3:end);
% defining classes
% The number of 'M'alignant tumors is 212 (1) and the number of 'B'enign tumors is 357 (0)
M B count = tabulate(depen Y);
C_V = cvpartition(depen_Y, ...
  'holdout', 0.3);
trainX = indepen X(training ...
   (C V, 1), :);
trainy = depen Y(training ...
   (C V,1));
testX = indepen X(test ...
   (C V, 1), :);
testy = depen Y(test ...
   (C V, 1), :);
% In response to 3 significant outliers from the summary,
% I normalized the data with mu=0 and standard deviation = 1.
[trainX, mu, stddev] = normalize(trainX);
for i=1:size(testX, 2)
  testX(:,i) = (testX(:,i) \dots
      -mu(1,i))/stddev(1,i);
end
% As a result of the highly correlated dataset, the first tuning of hyperparameters was
done by Bayesian optimi.
% Based on this method, we are able to produce the following figures:
% The first one displays the two HP and the corresponding value of each repetition.
% The second graph shows the objective function's estimated and observed values.
rng(1)
Dis = optimizableVariable('Dis', {'minkowski','correlation','hamming',...
  'jaccard', 'mahalanobis', 'cityblock', 'euclidean', 'cosine', 'spearman'...
  'seuclidean','chebychev'},'Type','categorical');
k = optimizableVariable('k',[1,50],'Type','integer');
% Partitions and indexes for the second CVpartitioned dataset.
```

```
idxfold = size(trainX,1);
CV = cvpartition(idxfold, 'kfold', 10);
fun = @(x)kfoldLoss(fitcknn(trainX, trainy, 'CVPartition', CV, 'NumNeighbors', x.k, ...
       'Distance', char(x.Dis), 'NSMethod', 'exhaustive'));
% When trying for 200 observations, set the objective evaluation limit higher than the
acquisition function..
% ...and expected improvement
bayesopt results = bayesopt(fun,[k,Dis], 'Verbose',1, 'MaxObjectiveEvaluations', 200);
k bayesopt = bayesopt results.XAtMinObjective.k;
Dis2 = bayesopt_results.XAtMinObjective.Dis;
min_error = bayesopt_results.MinObjective;
% An optimised hyperparameter model has been fitted.
bayes_opt_mdl_knn = fitcknn(trainX , trainy, 'NumNeighbors',
k_bayesopt,'Distance',char(Dis2));
% returns predicted class labels based on the trained classification model
[bayes_opt_yPrd_knn, knn_scr_bayesopt,conio] = predict(bayes_opt_mdl_knn,testX);
% classification effective of training data based on model predictions
bayes opt knn loss = loss(bayes opt mdl knn,testX, testy);
% Misclassifications from the predictions above
bayes opt knn rloss = resubLoss(bayes opt mdl knn);
rna(1)
% confusion matrix %
figure()
[Confmat bayesopt knn, order] = confusionmat(testy, bayes opt yPrd knn);
matrix graph = confusionchart( testy, bayes opt yPrd knn);
% The accuracy
Accuracy =
100* (\texttt{Confmat bayesopt knn} (1,1) + \texttt{Confmat bayesopt knn} (2,2))./(\texttt{Confmat bayesopt knn} (1,1) + \texttt{Confmat bayesopt kn
at bayesopt knn(2,2)+Confmat bayesopt knn(1,2)+Confmat bayesopt knn(2,1));
% Precision.
Precision =
{\tt Confmat\_bayesopt\_knn} \ (1,1) \ . / \ ({\tt Confmat\_bayesopt\_knn} \ (1,1) \ + {\tt Confmat\_bayesopt\_knn} \ (1,2)) \ ;
% As a result of recall, we can figure out how many samples have a real chance of being
tumor positive.
```

```
Recall = Confmat_bayesopt_knn(1,1)./(Confmat_bayesopt_knn(1,1)+Confmat_bayesopt_knn(2,1));
% The accuracy of a test is measured by the F1 score. Additionally,
% True Negatives (TN) and False Negatives (FN) are crucial for our results, so we use the F1 score.
f1_Scores = 2*(Precision.*Recall)./(Precision+Recall);
```

RF feature selection.m

```
tic
clear;
clc;
% importing the data
data = readtable('Breastcancer.csv');
% An analysis of the data indicates that three columns
\mbox{\ensuremath{\$}} (area_mean, area_se, and area_worst) contain substantial outliers.
summary(data);
% Independent and dependent variables separated
other features.
% As a result, the rest are independent features (X) because they are not dependent on
anything.
depen_Y = table2array(data ...
  (:,2));
indepen_X = table2array(data ...
  (:,3:32));
% Variables are saved
depen_variables = data.Properties.VariableNames;
indepen variables = data(:,3:end);
% Through FSCMRMR, feature selection can be made
[idx,scores] = fscmrmr(indepen X ...
  ,depen_Y);
```

```
bar(scores(idx))
xlabel('Predict rank')
ylabel('Predict importance score')
% Among the highest ranking features, 11 are chosen.
idx(1:11)
%Defining the new matrix
new mat = indepen X(:,[23 20 2 29 11 28 25 27 4 8 14]);
% defining classes
% The number of 'M'alignant tumors is 212 (1) and the number of 'B'enign tumors is 357 (0)
M B count = tabulate(depen Y);
C V = cvpartition(depen Y, ...
  'holdout',0.3);
trainX = new mat(training ...
   (C V, 1), :);
trainy = depen Y(training ...
   (C V,1));
testX = new mat(test ...
   (C \ V, 1), :);
testy = depen Y(test ...
   (C V, 1), :);
% In response to 3 significant outliers from the summary,
% I normalized the data with mu=0 and standard deviation = 1.
[trainX, mu, stddev] = normalize(trainX);
for i=1:size(testX, 2)
  testX(:,i) = (testX(:,i) \dots
      -mu(1,i))/stddev(1,i);
end
% As a result of the highly correlated dataset, the tuning of hyperparameters was done by
Bayesian optimisation.
% We generate one figure using this method (because we optimize three variables):
% Defining the classes shows how the objective function is estimated and observed
% We create three variables with specific names, types, and ranges.
```

```
% VTS 'NumVariablesToSample' lc 'learning cycle'
VTS a = optimizableVariable('VTS a', [1, 50], ...
   'Type', 'integer');
split a = optimizableVariable('split a', {'gdi', 'deviance'}, ...
   'Type', 'categorical');
num lc a = optimizableVariable('num lc a',[10, 400], ...
   'Type', 'integer');
n2 = size(trainX, 1);
rng(1);
cv2 = cvpartition(n2, ...
  'Kfold',10);
% The objective function is to return a measure of the tuning loss for hyperparameters.
fun = @(x)kfoldLoss(fitcensemble(trainX, trainy, 'CVPartition', cv2, 'Method', 'Bag'));
% When trying for 150 observations, set the objective evaluation limit higher than the
acquisition function
% and expected improvement
bayesopt results2 = bayesopt(fun,[VTS a, split a, num lc a],'Verbose',1,
'MaxObjectiveEvaluations',150);
% I have saved the best combination that gives the minimum error
vts b bo = bayesopt results2.XAtMinObjective.VTS a;
split b bo = bayesopt results2.XAtMinObjective.split a;
numlc b bo = bayesopt results2.XAtMinObjective.num lc a;
% Minimum error for the set of hyperparameters that are evaluated on the validation set.
min error = bayesopt results2.MinObjective;
% Creating an optimised tree and generating results, and refit the RF with this tree
template as the base learner
t = templateTree('NumVariablesToSample', vts b bo, ...
   'SplitCriterion', char(split b bo));
% refit the RF with this tree template as the base learner
rng(1)
bayes opt mdl l = fitcensemble(trainX, trainy, 'Method', 'Bag',
'NumLearningCycles', numlc_b_bo, 'learners', t);
bayes_opt_mdl_l_loss = loss(bayes_opt_mdl_l,testX, testy); % Using model predictions to
classify training data
```

```
bayes opt mdl l rloss = resubLoss(bayes opt mdl l); % Predictions that have been
misclassified above.
% Creating a matrix for Bayesian optimisation results and test model
figure()
bayesopt Predict = predict(bayes opt mdl 1, testX);
Confmat bayesopt rf = confusionmat(bayesopt Predict, testy);
matrix = confusionchart(bayesopt Predict, testy);
fs AccuracyRF =
100 \star (\texttt{Confmat\_bayesopt\_rf(1,1)} + \texttt{Confmat\_bayesopt\_rf(2,2)}) \; . \; / \; (\texttt{Confmat\_bayesopt\_rf(1,1)} + \; \dots \\
   {\tt Confmat\_bayesopt\_rf(2,2)+Confmat\_bayesopt\_rf(1,2)+Confmat\_bayesopt\_rf(2,1));}
%fs "feature selection"
% Model accuracy with Bayes optimization tuning
fs precisionRF =
Confmat bayesopt rf(1,1)./(Confmat bayesopt rf(1,1)+Confmat bayesopt rf(1,2));
% As a result of recall, we can figure out how many samples have a real chance of being
tumor positive.
fs recallRF =
\texttt{Confmat\_bayesopt\_rf(1,1)./(Confmat\_bayesopt\_rf(1,1)+Confmat\_bayesopt\_rf(2,1));}
% The accuracy of a test is measured by the F1 score. Additionally,
% True Negatives (TN) and False Negatives (FN) are crucial for our results, so we use the
F1 score.
fs f1 Scores = 2*(fs precisionRF.*fs recallRF)./(fs precisionRF+fs recallRF);
```

KNN_feature_selection.m

```
tic
clear;
clc;
% importing the data

data = readtable('Breastcancer.csv');
% An analysis of the data indicates that three columns
% (area_mean, area_se, and area_worst) contain substantial outliers.
summary(data);
% Independent and dependent variables separated
```

```
% The diagnosis feature will be my dependent variable (Y) because it is reliant on the
other features.
% As a result, the rest are independent features (X) because they are not dependent on
anything.
depen Y = table2array(data ...
  (:,2));
indepen X = table2array(data ...
  (:,3:32));
% Variables are saved
depen_variables = data.Properties.VariableNames;
indepen_variables = data(:,3:end);
% Through FSCMRMR, feature selection can be made
[idx,scores] = fscmrmr(indepen_X ...
  ,depen_Y);
bar(scores(idx))
xlabel('Predict rank')
ylabel('Predict importance score') % figure 1
% Among the highest ranking features, 11 are chosen.
idx(1:11)
%Define new matrix
new mat = indepen X(:,[23 20 2 29 11 28 25 27 4 8 14]);
% defining classes
% The number of 'M'alignant tumors is 212 (1) and the number of 'B'enign tumors is 357 (0)
M B count = tabulate(depen Y);
C V = cvpartition(depen Y, ...
  'holdout', 0.3);
trainX = new_mat(training ...
   (C_V, 1), :);
trainy = depen_Y(training ...
   (C_V, 1);
testX = new_mat(test ...
   (C_V, 1), :);
```

```
testy = depen Y(test ...
   (C V, 1), :);
% In response to 3 significant outliers from the summary,
% I normalized the data with mu=0 and standard deviation = 1.
[trainX, mu, stddev] = normalize(trainX);
for i=1:size(testX, 2)
  testX(:,i) = (testX(:,i) \dots
      -mu(1,i))/stddev(1,i);
end
% As a result of the highly correlated dataset, the first tuning of hyperparameters was
done by Bayesian optimi.
% Based on this method, we are able to produce the following figures:
% The first one displays the two HP and the corresponding value of each repetition.
% The second graph shows the objective function's estimated and observed values.
rng(1)
Dis = optimizableVariable('Dis', {'minkowski', 'correlation', 'hamming',...
  'jaccard', 'mahalanobis', 'cityblock', 'euclidean', 'cosine', 'spearman'...
  'seuclidean','chebychev'},'Type','categorical');
k = optimizableVariable('k',[1,50],'Type','integer');
% Partitions and indexes for the second CVpartitioned dataset.
idxfold = size(trainX,1);
CV = cvpartition(idxfold, 'kfold', 10);
fun = @(x)kfoldLoss(fitcknn(trainX,trainy,'CVPartition',CV,'NumNeighbors', x.k, ...
  'Distance', char(x.Dis), 'NSMethod', 'exhaustive'));
% When trying for 200 observations, set the objective evaluation limit higher than the
acquisition function..
% ...and expected improvement
bayesopt results = bayesopt(fun,[k,Dis], 'Verbose',1, 'MaxObjectiveEvaluations', 200);
k bayesopt = bayesopt results.XAtMinObjective.k;
Dis2 = bayesopt results.XAtMinObjective.Dis;
min error = bayesopt results.MinObjective;
% An optimised hyperparameter model has been fitted.
```

```
bayes opt mdl knn = fitcknn(trainX , trainy, 'NumNeighbors',
k bayesopt, 'Distance', char(Dis2));
% returns predicted class labels based on the trained classification model
[bayes opt yPrd knn, knn scr bayesopt,conio] = predict(bayes opt mdl knn,testX);
% classification effective of training data based on model predictions
bayes opt knn loss = loss(bayes opt mdl knn,testX, testy);
bayes_opt_knn_rloss = resubLoss(bayes_opt_mdl_knn);
rng(1)
% confusion matrix %
figure()
[Confmat_bayesopt_knn, order] = confusionmat(testy, bayes_opt_yPrd_knn);
matrix_graph = confusionchart( testy, bayes_opt_yPrd_knn);
% The accuracy
fs KNNaccuracy =
\overline{100}^{\star} \left( \texttt{Confmat\_bayesopt\_knn} \left( 1,1 \right) + \texttt{Confmat\_bayesopt\_knn} \left( 2,2 \right) \right)./\left( \texttt{Confmat\_bayesopt\_knn} \left( 1,1 \right) + \texttt{Confmat
at_bayesopt_knn(2,2)+Confmat_bayesopt_knn(1,2)+Confmat_bayesopt_knn(2,1));
% Precision.
fs KNNprecision =
{\tt Confmat\_bayesopt\_knn} \ (1,1) \ . / \ ({\tt Confmat\_bayesopt\_knn} \ (1,1) \ + {\tt Confmat\_bayesopt\_knn} \ (1,2)) \ ;
% As a result of recall, we can figure out how many samples have a real chance of being
tumor positive.
fs KNNrecall =
Confmat bayesopt knn(1,1)./(Confmat bayesopt knn(1,1)+Confmat bayesopt knn(2,1));
% The accuracy of a test is measured by the F1 score. Additionally,
% True Negatives (TN) and False Negatives (FN) are crucial for our results, so we use the
F1 score.
fs KNNf1 Scores = 2*(fs KNNprecision.*fs KNNrecall)./(fs KNNprecision+fs KNNrecall);
```

REFERENCE

- [1] Rank features for classification using minimum redundancy maximum relevance (MRMR) algorithm 'fscmmr' (Mathworks): https://uk.mathworks.com/help/stats/fscmrmr.html#description
- [2] How to implement Bayesian optimization to tune the hyperparameters of a random forest of regression trees using quantile error (Mathworks):

https://uk.mathworks.com/help/stats/tune-random-forest-using-quantile-error-and-bayesian-optimization.htm https://www.news.com/help/stats/tune-random-forest-using-quantile-error-and-bayesian-optimization.htm

[3] Variable description for bayesopt or other optimizers (Mathworks): https://uk.mathworks.com/help/stats/optimizable.html

- [4] Select optimal machine learning hyperparameters using Bayesian optimization (Mathworks): https://uk.mathworks.com/help/stats/bayesopt.html [
- [5] Fit ensemble of learners for classification (Mathworks): https://uk.mathworks.com/help/stats/fitcensemble.html
- [6] Implementing Cross-validation for ML model (Mathworks): https://uk.mathworks.com/help/stats/classificationsvm.crossval.html
- [7] Implementing *k*-nearest neighbour classification (Mathworks): https://uk.mathworks.com/help/stats/classificationknn.html
- [8] How to implement a Partition data for cross-validation (Mathworks): https://uk.mathworks.com/help/stats/cvpartition.html
- [9] How to Create confusion matrix chart for classification problem (Mathworks): https://uk.mathworks.com/help/stats/confusionchart.html
- [10] Ensemble of bagged decision trees (Mathworks): https://uk.mathworks.com/help/stats/treebagger.html
- [11] Sanyour Rawan, Abdullah (2019). Real time data analysis and visualisation for breast cancer.
- [12] Markris, Radi (2020) KNN classification for the breast cancer wisconsin dataset.
- [13] Riyanarto sarno (2018). Analysis of classification algorithm for breast cancer wisconsin.
- [14] Sasmitha Kularathne (2020) Prediction of breast cancer using KNN algorithm