Assessment Guide

The following table provides an assessment guide for the course project. All reports and presentations must conform to the School's standards as set out in "Reports & Presentations - A Communications Manual for the Engineer". A4 paper size is assumed in the page counts below.

Project Reports (Group & Individual)	Group Presentation and Demo
Project Report max. 15 pages (excl. appendices).	Maximum 20 minute presentation.
Individual Report max. 10 pages (excl. appendices).	All group members must present.
Appendices as required (must be stand-alone documents).	NB: All members receive the same rating.
Problem Understanding & Background	Logic and Structure
Appropriate specification of problem by identification of requirements, assumptions, success criteria and constraints.	Lucid, coherent and well structured presentation. Presenters choose and organise material well.
Contextualisation of problem with respect to relevant literature and existing solutions.	Theme presented clearly.
Engineering Execution	Effective Presentation — Content
Engineering methodology that includes the following phases:	Pitched at appropriate technical level.
1) Problem Understanding, Requirements Gathering	Demonstrates sufficient understanding of the issues involved.
2) Analysis,	Questions are well answered.
3) Design,	Effective Presentation — Visual
4) Implementation.	Slides conform to best practice.
All phases thoroughly and completely dealt with.	Appropriate number of slides for allocated time.
Overall Quality of Solution	Good, clear diagrams.
Solution has sufficient scope.	Language and Verbal Communication
Quality of solution, artefact or results achieved. Evidence of insight, ingenuity, originality or attention to detail.	Presenters deliver presentation fluently and are easy to understand.
Ability to creatively solve problems.	Use of appropriate technical terminology.
Synthesis of knowledge and techniques from multiple sources.	Enthusiasm and confidence.
Critical Analysis and Evaluation	Overall Impact And Teamwork
Validation of final solution, artefact or results achieved.	Presenters adequately convey work performed.
Critique of the work performed.	Presentation creates a good impression.
Suggestions for improvements and recommendations for future	Presenters function well as a team.
work. Technical Communication	Clearly defined individual responsibilities within the group.

Outcome Rating Definitions	
Rating	General Interpretation
Unacceptable	No evidence provided; invalid/irrelevant approach, method, execution; completely flawed.
Poor	One or more major flaws, otherwise complete; one or more components very poor.
Acceptable	No more than minor flaws, otherwise complete; no distinguishing features.
Good	No flaws; shows insight; some distinguishing feature or evidence of initiative.
Excellent	No flaws; exceptional insight; significant innovation; rigorous, mature and rational approach.

Quality of final report as a professional and technical document: logical structure; style, language and tone; support material (graphical/tabular/mathematical); format (compliance with the School's

standards); citation and referencing.