


# Fundamentals of Business

by Stephen J. Skripak



Pamplin College of Business and Virginia Tech Libraries

**Virginia Tech**  
Blacksburg, Virginia  
2016



Download this book for free at: <http://hdl.handle.net/10919/70961>



*This book is dedicated to reducing the cost of education in business.*  
– S. Skripak


**About this book:**

Content in the *Preface*, *Chapters 1-14* and *16-17* was adapted from the Saylor Foundation's <http://www.saylor.org/site/textbooks/Exploring%20Business.docx> by Virginia Tech under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License](#). The Saylor Foundation previously adapted this work under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License](#) without attribution as requested by the work's original creator or licensee.

*Chapter 15* was adapted by Virginia Tech from *Introduction to Tourism and Hospitality in BC* by Morgan Westcott, Editor, © Capilano University and is used under a [CC-BY 4.0](#) International license.

© Anonymous, Saylor Foundation, Capilano University, Stephen J. Skripak, Richard Parsons, Anastasia Cortes, Anita Walz, 2016  
ISBN : (B&W): 978-0-9979201-1-6;  
(Color): 978-0-9979201-0-9

**How this book is licensed:**

This work, excluding Chapter 15, is licensed with a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-ShareAlike 3.0 license <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/us/>  If you redistribute any part of this work, you must retain on every digital or print page view the following attribution:



Download this book for free at: <http://hdl.handle.net/10919/70961>

Chapter 15 of this work is licensed with a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> license. If you redistribute any part of this work, you must retain on every digital or print page view the following attribution:



Download this book for free: <http://hdl.handle.net/10919/70961>; Download the original source of this chapter for free at: <http://open.bccampus.ca>

Lead Author: Stephen J. Skripak  
Contributors: Richard Parsons, Anastasia Cortes, Anita Walz  
Layout: Anastasia Cortes  
Selected graphics: Brian Craig <http://bcraigdesign.com>  
Cover design: Trevor Finney  
Student Reviewers: Jonathan De Pena, Nina Lindsay, Sachi Soni  
Project Manager: Anita Walz

*Credits for cover images:*

“[Hong Kong Skyscrapers](#)” by Estial, cropped and modified by Trevor Finney [CC BY-SA 4.0](#).  
“[Paris vue d'ensemble tour Eiffel](#)” by Taxiarchos228, cropped and modified by Poke2001 and Trevor Finney [CC BY 3.0](#)  
“[London Bridge](#)” by Skitterphoto, cropped and modified by Trevor Finney. Public Domain.  
“[New York](#)” by Mscamilaalmeida, cropped and modified by Trevor Finney. Public Domain.


**Pamplin College of Business and Virginia Tech Libraries**  
**July 2016**



## Balance of Payments

The second key measure of the effectiveness of international trade is **balance of payments**: the difference, over a period of time, between the total flow of money coming into a country and the total flow of money going out. As in its balance of trade, the biggest factor in a country's balance of payments is the money that flows as a result of imports and exports. But balance of payments includes other cash inflows and outflows, such as cash received from or paid for foreign investment, loans, tourism, military expenditures, and foreign aid. For example, if a U.S. company buys some real estate in a foreign country, that investment counts in the U.S. balance of payments, but not in its balance of trade, which measures only import and export transactions. In the long run, having an unfavorable balance of payments can negatively affect the stability of a country's currency. The United States has experienced unfavorable balances of payments since the 1970s which has forced the government to cover its debt by borrowing from other countries.<sup>98</sup> Figure 4.2 provides a brief historical overview to illustrate the relationship between the United States' balance of trade and its balance of payments.

*Figure 4.2: U.S. Imports, Exports, and Balance of Payments (in millions of dollars), 1994–2014*



# Factors to Consider

If you're starting a new business, you have to decide which legal form of ownership is best for you and your business. Do you want to own the business yourself and operate as a sole proprietorship? Or, do you want to share ownership, operating as a partnership or a corporation? Before we discuss the pros and cons of these three types of ownership, let's address some of the questions that you'd probably ask yourself in choosing the appropriate legal form for your business.

- 1) In setting up your business, do you want to minimize the costs of getting started? Do you hope to avoid complex government regulations and reporting requirements?
- 2) How much control would you like? How much responsibility for running the business are you willing to share? What about sharing the profits?
- 3) Do you want to avoid special taxes?
- 4) Do you have all the skills needed to run the business?
- 5) Are you likely to get along with your co-owners over an extended period of time?
- 6) Is it important to you that the business survive you?
- 7) What are your financing needs and how do you plan to finance your company?
- 8) How much personal exposure to liability are you willing to accept? Do you feel uneasy about accepting personal liability for the actions of fellow owners?

No single form of ownership will give you everything you desire. You'll have to make some trade-offs. Because each option has both advantages and disadvantages, your job is to decide which one offers the features that are most important to you. In the following sections we'll compare three ownership options (sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation) on these eight dimensions.

## *Sole Proprietorship and its Advantages*

In a **sole proprietorship**, as the owner, you have complete control over your business. You make all important decisions and are generally responsible for all day-to-day activities. In exchange for assuming all this responsibility, you get all the income earned by the business.

most important criteria and aim at satisfying them. In deciding to locate in San Clemente, California, for instance, PowerSki was able to satisfy three important criteria: (1) proximity to the firm's suppliers, (2) availability of skilled engineers and technicians, and (3) favorable living conditions. These factors were more important than operating in a low-cost region or getting financial incentives from local government. Because PowerSki distributes its products throughout the world, proximity to customers was also unimportant.

### *Capacity Planning*

Now that you know where you're going to locate, you have to decide on the quantity of products that you'll produce. You begin by **forecasting** demand for your product, which isn't easy. To estimate the number of units that you're likely to sell over a given period, you have to understand the industry that you're in and estimate your likely share of the market by reviewing industry data and conducting other forms of research.

Once you've forecasted the demand for your product, you can calculate the **capacity requirements** of your production facility—the maximum number of goods that it can produce over a given time under normal working conditions. In turn, having calculated your capacity requirements, you're ready to determine how much investment in plant and equipment you'll have to make, as well as the number of labor hours required for the plant to produce at capacity.

Like forecasting, capacity planning is difficult. Unfortunately, failing to balance capacity and projected demand can be seriously detrimental to your bottom line. If you set capacity too low (and so produce less than you should), you won't be able to meet demand, and you'll lose sales and customers. If you set capacity too high (and turn out more units than you should), you'll waste resources and inflate operating costs.

Admired Companies.®”<sup>329</sup> Topping the list in 2016 are Apple, Alphabet (Google), Amazon, Berkshire Hathaway, and Walt Disney.<sup>330</sup>

### *Marketing Robosapien*

Now let’s look more closely at the strategy that Wow Wee pursued in marketing Robosapien in the United States. The company’s goal was ambitious: to promote the robot as a must-have item for kids of all ages. As we know, Wow Wee intended to position Robosapien as a home-entertainment product, not as a toy. The company rolled out the product at Best Buy, which sells consumer electronics, computers, entertainment software, and appliances. As marketers had hoped, the robot caught the attention of consumers shopping for TV sets, DVD players, home and car audio equipment, music, movies, and games. Its \$99 price tag was a little lower than the prices of other merchandise, and that fact was an important asset: shoppers were willing to treat Robosapien as an impulse item—something extra to pick up as a gift or as a special present for children, as long as the price wasn’t too high.

Meanwhile, Robosapien was also getting lots of free publicity. Stories appeared in newspapers and magazines around the world, including the New York Times, the Times of London, Time magazine, and National Parenting magazine. Commentators on The Today Show, The Early Show, CNN, ABC News, and FOX News all covered it. The product received numerous awards, and experts predicted that it would be a hot item for the holidays.

At Wow Wee, Marketing Director Amy Weltman (who had already had a big hit with the Rubik’s Cube) developed a gala New York event to showcase the product. From mid- to late August, actors dressed in six-foot robot costumes roamed the streets of Manhattan, while the fourteen-inch version of Robosapien performed in venues ranging from Grand Central Station to city bars. Everything was recorded, and film clips were sent to TV stations.

*Figure: 13.12: Robosapien*

