

FIT5057 QA Forum Assignment 2

Tutor	Alind Tiwari		
	Stud ID	First Name	Last Name
	30150698	Manasie	Bajpai

Class No, Day & Time 36, Wednesday & 16:00

Indication of Critical Reading & Thinking Skill-levels the

Student Posting	Marks Allocation (0-100)	Weighting	Weighted Score	Fail - N (0-49)		Pass - P (50-59)	Credit - C (60-69)	Distinction - D (70-79)	High Dist - HD (80-100)	Improvement Comments
1 Introduction - risk management is defined using appropriate refs. Explains the context, structure of the posting.	68.00	5.0%	3.40	Missing	Little or no link is made to the content	Covers some of the content	Covers most of the content	Covers all the content	Provides a detailed approach to the content and adds further context	Introduction is provided but not a clear description
2 Discussion focuses on the reasons why projects will run over scope, time and cost with poor quality outcomes. (refs must be included using the provided refs and additional refs)										
2.1) a. reasons are provided why projects still run over scope, time and cost with poor quality	69.00	10.0%	6.90	No Ref are provided in the body, implying response is conjured with no analytical thinking	2.1) no discussion as to why software projects run over scope AND time AND cost with poor quality 2.2) The provided refs and no new refs are included in the discussion	2.1) Little explanation as to reasons why projects still run over scope, time and cost with poor quality outcomes. 2.2) One ref is provided but offered limited importance to managing the issues	2.1) several reasons are provided as to why projects still run over scope, time and cost with poor quality outcomes. 2.2) Two refs attribute importance to managing the issues	2.1) Detailed reasons why projects still run over scope, time and cost with poor quality outcomes. 2.2) Three refs attribute importance to managing scope	2.1) Very detailed description of the reasons why projects still run over scope, time and cost with poor quality outcomes. 2.2) MUST HAVE at least 4 refs used eloquently to attribute to the reasons	The reasons must be relevant to software projects and must be focused on projects running over scope, time , cost and providing poor quality. These four issues must be included to gain full marks
2.2) b. appropriate refs identify the major issues (includes Bloch Blumberg and Laqarts or Flyvbjerg and Budzier	68.00	5.0%	3.40							
3										
3.1) a. Case studies are provided	70.00	5.0%	3.50	No Ref are provided in the body, implying response is conjured with no analytical thinking	3.1)No case studies are provided 3.2) no discussion about the negative impact on the project from the case studies 3.3 no refs are provided 3.4 no risks are identified that impact on the project	3.1) one case study is provided 3.2) limited discussion on Case study related to poor risk management impacting on the project or the case study is not related to the topic at all 3.3) at least one reference is used and is relevant to the case study discussed 3.4 1 or 2 risks are identified that negatively impact on the project	3.1) Two case studies are provided that are relevant to the question 3.2) discussion focuses on Case study related to poor risk management impacting on a software project 3.3) at least two refs are used and are relevant to the case study discussed 3.4 2 or 3 risks are identified and evaluated that negatively impact on the project	3.1) Case studies x2 are relevant and suitable to the question 3.2) good use of critical evaluation focuses on how the case study relates to poor risk management and comparing and contrasting the two case studies 3.3)at least three refs are used and are relevant to the case study discussed 3.4 3 risks are identified and evaluated that negatively impact on the project	3.1) Strong & relevantly detailed case studies 3.2) Strong & relevant critical evaluation of the cases and how the risks have negatively impacted on the project by comparing and contrasting the case studies 3.3) MUST HAVE at least 4 ref that eloquently related to risks impacting negatively on the projects 3.4 AT LEAST FOUR risks are identified and evaluated that negatively impact on the project	Overall Good work done
3.2) b. case studies relate to poor risk management that have negatively impacted on the project - at least two are required	70.00	5.0%	3.50							
3.3) At least 4 refs are used that are appropriate to the discussion providing case studies	74.00	5.0%	3.70							
3.4) Risks are identified and analysed tht negatively influence the project from the case study										
4										
4.1) statistics are provided	69.00	5.0%	3.45	No Ref is provided in the body, implying response is conjured with no analytical thinking	4.1) No statistics are provided	4.1) one set of statistics are provided but not linked to references	4.1) one or two sets of statistics are provided that are linked to references	4.1) two set of statistics are provided and are linked to references	4.1) an excellent use of statistics are provided that are evaluated and linked to relevant references	Stats are presented in first case study only and limited
4.2) the statistics should be discussed and linked to references provided	62.00	5.0%	3.10							
5 Assessment of Critical Thinking & Writing Competency										
5.1) Findings are expressed clearly, analytically and precisely moving coherently from one point to the next	68.00	10.0%	6.80	5.1) Writing is lacks a descriptive style but no reflective skills evident and is exposed to too many grammar, spelling, punctuation errors, poor writing structure; paragraph construct and	5.1) Basic writing literacy levelbut still adopting a descriptive style, rather than writing analytically.	5.1) Ability to write analytically is developing 5.2) Critical thinking, reflected in the writing	5.1) Ability to write analytically is sound 5.2) Critical thinking, reflected in the writing	5.1) Ability to write analytically and persuasively is excellent 5.2) Critical thinking,		Certainly could have improved furtehr by clear expression of thoughts.

5.2) Critical thinking skills are used throughout in analysing and evaluating peer reviewed publications to reflect on the answers being provided	68.00	25.0%	17.00	linkages; and poor readability 5.2) Critical thinking, reflected in the writing structure and paragraph constructs and flows, is poor	Readability needs improvement. 5.2) Critical thinking, reflected in the writing structure and paragraph constructs and flows, is weak	structure and paragraph constructs and flows, is developing, with some readability improvements needed	structure and paragraph constructs and flow, is clear and easy to follow	reflected in the writing structure and paragraph constructs and flows, is very clear, eloquently persuasive and most easy to follow.		
6 Conclusion										
Brings findings together; evaluating them to draw out a concluding answer to explaining why projects still run over scope, time and cost with poor quality outcomes--> ie identifying learnings from your critical reading and supporting additional research evidence.	69.00	5.0%	3.45	No Conclusion provided	Conclusion: a) has no conclusion or has a very unclear summary of findings b) presents either no or very unclear reflective thinking about the research findings	Conclusion: a) summary is provided but is vague b) lacks or presents unclear reflective thinking about the research findings; 1 risk factor may be identified from the research that affect, time scope, cost and quality that draws out a discussion about whether and why the articles are useful or not useful for building your understanding of risk management	Conclusion: has a very good summary of findings and presents a clear reflective thinking about the research findings; at least 3 risk factors are identified from the research that affect,risk issues that draws out a decision about whether and why the other articles are useful or not useful for building your understanding of risk to project success - should include reflective thinking about the research findings; at least 3 risk factors are identified from the body of the research	Conclusion: a) writes an eloquent summary of findings and b) Presents reflective thinking about the assignment experience that persuasively draws out clear reflective thinking about the research findings; at least 4 risk factors are identified from the research that negatively affect the triple constraints and thus the success of the project	Clear Structure and translation from point to another should have been more clear	
7 Contributions to Peers' Postings										
7.1) 2x contributions are provided	71.00	15.0%	10.65	If only one contribution half the marks Students should not provide negative comments about the content or English – no marks allocated for this	No contribution	1x contributions; Some critical reflection attempted to each post No refs are used to support the other student's comments	2x contributions; Critical reflection but limited in scope to each post one reference is used to support the other students post	2x contributions; A good response to the each post providing 2 references	2x contributions; Excellent responses to Peer 1s and 2s posts – thought provoking providing detailed evaluation of the usefulness of the articles and references provided At least two references support the other students post	Provided a generic response and did not cover information in detail
7.2) Evaluates and critically reviews each peer's response to critical reading and										
7.3) Critique each peer's evaluation of the topic										
7.4) Comment on the relevance and suitability of the references used by each peer										
7.5) Ensure each peer's contribution is more than or equal to 200 to 250 words.						100-145 words	145-170 words each contribution	170 to 200 words each contribution	200 to 250 words for each contribution	

Overall Comments:

Less 1 mark for not including word count (Do not enter -sign)	100.0%	68.85	Written communication - difficult to read because of infrequently used English and convoluted sentences
	Net Total	68.85	
	Prorata to 12.5	8.61	