
# Quantum phase estimation -

An example from chemistry

January 3, 2021


### prequisite: quantum circuits



- ▶ qubit: 2-level quantum system ( $|0\rangle$ ,  $|1\rangle$ ). quantum computer = n qubits.
- ▶ quantum circuit ≃ quantum program = list of quantum instructions/gates.
- ► The circuit above computes:

$$|\psi\rangle = V \cdot (W \otimes I) \cdot CNOT_{0 \to 1} \cdot (H \otimes I)|00\rangle$$

Note: "controlled-gates" means:



$q_0 = 0$ $q_1, 0 : q_1, 1$	$q_0 = 1$ $q_1, 0; q_1, 1$
$ \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) $	(0)
(0)	$\overline{U}$

## Quantum simulation: general motivation

- ▶ Efficient numerical simulation quantum phenomena on classical machines seems hard [1].
- ► Therefore quantum machines might be able to perform classically-intractable computation<sup>1</sup>
- And in particular they should be good at simulating quantum phenomena (e.g simulating QCD, solving condensed-matter problems, computing molecular energies etc.)

 $\rightarrow$  But in practice, how would that work ? What **quantum circuits** would I run on my hypothetical quantum computers to solve these problems ?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>basic motivation behind quantum computing research


## Quantum Simulation: more precisely

Most of the time in the potential applications I mentioned (particle phycics, condensed matter, chemistry), the computation consists in **diagonalizing Hamiltonians** and in particular find their **ground** state energy.

You will see in these practical quantum programming sessions the two main frameworks for doing so on a quantum computer:

- phase estimation (this week)
- variational algorithms (next week, in a slightly more "combinatorial optimization"-oriented context)

## This week's answer: quantum phase estimation



With 
$$|\psi\rangle$$
 eigenvector of  $U$  with eigenvalue  $e^{-2i\pi\phi}$ ,  $(U|\psi\rangle=e^{-2i\pi\phi}|\psi\rangle$ ,  $0\leq\phi<1$ )

The circuit above allows to compute the  $n_B$  most significant bits of  $\phi$  in a **binary decomposition**.

**Template** for *all* quantum algorithms with **exponential speedup**, both in quantum simulation and other application contexts.

## iterative quantum phase estimation: what we will use

for k in range( $n_B$ , 0, -1)<sup>2</sup>:

- 1. compute  $\phi_k = \sum_{l=k+1}^{n_B} \frac{b_l}{2^{l-k+1}}$
- 2. execute the following circuit:

$$|0\rangle - H - RZ(\phi_k) - H - meas. = b_k$$

$$n_S \quad \Big|\psi\rangle \Big\{ U^{2^{k-1}} \Big\} |\psi\rangle$$

With 
$$H=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}egin{pmatrix}1&1\\1&-1\end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $RZ(\phi_k)=egin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&e^{i\phi_k}\end{pmatrix}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Python style

$$|0\rangle - H - RZ(\phi_k) - H - meas = b_k$$

$$n_S \uparrow |\psi\rangle \left\{ - U^{2^{k-1}} \right\} |\psi\rangle$$

Recall that  $\phi=0.b_1\cdots b_{n_B}$  in binary decomposition and  $\phi_k=\sum_{l=k+1}^{n_B}\frac{b_l}{2^{l-k+1}}=0.0b_{k+1}\cdots b_{n_B}.$ 


### **Evolution of the system:**

$$\begin{split} &|0\rangle|\psi\rangle \underset{H}{\rightarrow} \left(\frac{|0\rangle+|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right)|\psi\rangle = \left(\frac{|0\rangle|\psi\rangle+|1\rangle|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \\ &\underset{\bullet - U}{\rightarrow} \left(\frac{|0\rangle|\psi\rangle+e^{-2i\pi\cdot2^{k-1}\phi}|1\rangle|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \left(\frac{|0\rangle+e^{-2i\pi\cdot0.b_k\cdots b_{n_B}}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right)|\psi\rangle \\ &\underset{RZ(\phi_k)}{\rightarrow} \left(\frac{|0\rangle+e^{-2i\pi\cdot0.b_k}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right)|\psi\rangle = \left(\frac{|0\rangle+(-1)^{b_k}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right)|\psi\rangle \underset{H}{\rightarrow} |b_k\rangle|\psi\rangle \end{split}$$

## Our example: molecular ground state energy calculation


**General problem:** Given  $\mathcal{H}$ , electronic structure Hamiltonian of a molecule, we want to compute its ground state energy.

Actually, usually  ${\cal H}$  depends on some structural/geometric parameters. The purpose is then to compute a *ground state energy landscape* as a function of these parameters. May provide insight on reaction mechanisms  $^3$ .



**Our instance:**  $H_2$  and its dissociation curve. We will use data from [3], where they solve it on an actual small quantum chip.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Potential futuristic applications:  $CO_2$  capture [2],  $H_2O$  cracking...



We will therefore work with:

- $U = e^{-i\mathcal{H}dt}$ , for some value of dt to be chosen.
- $\blacktriangleright$   $|\psi\rangle$  the ground state of H, whose energy E we want to compute.
- we then have  $U|\psi\rangle=e^{-iEdt}|\psi\rangle=e^{-2i\pi\frac{Edt}{2\pi}}$

**Problem 1:** the algorithm only allows us to compute  $\phi = \frac{Edt}{2\pi} \mod [1] \ (\in [0,1])$ 

Following [4], we will use an interval guess  $[E_{min}, E_{max}]$  for E. Then  $\mathcal{H} \leftarrow \mathcal{H} - E_{min} \cdot I$  ensures E > 0 and choosing  $dt = \frac{2\pi}{E_{max}}$  ensures  $\frac{Edt}{2\pi} < 1$ 

## Other problems

**Problem 2** In general we do not have access to the ground state.

 $\rightarrow$ use an **ansatz**<sup>4</sup> with theoretical good overlap with ground state.

**Problem 3** How do we implement  $U^{2^k} = e^{-i\mathcal{H}2^k dt}$ ?

- ightarrow usually  $\mathcal H$  comes as a sum of simpler terms  $\sum_{l} \mathcal H_{l}$  (e.g Pauli products) for which  $e^{-i\mathcal H_{l}dt}$  is simple to implement.
- → and use **Trotter's formula** [4]

$$e^{-i\left(\sum_{l}\mathcal{H}_{l}\right)dt}\simeq\left(\prod_{l}e^{-rac{i\mathcal{H}_{l}dt}{p}}
ight)^{p}$$

with p integer, as large as possible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>easy-to-prepare approximation

- ▶ We will reproduce the curves from [3].
- In this example:
  - $n_S = 2$
  - $\vdash$   $H(R) = g_0I + g_1ZI + g_2IZ + g_3ZZ + g_4YY + g_5XX^5$
  - ► The coefficients are stored in hamiltonian\_data.json
- ► The purpose is to reproduce




FIG. 3. Computed H<sub>2</sub> energy curve and errors. (a) Ene

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>This Hamiltonian is the output of (1) a conversion from an *electronic* structure Hamiltonian to a spin Hamiltonian expressed with Pauli matrices and (2) simplifications leveraging theoretical chemistry knowledge

## The programming framework we will use: myqlm

#### What you need to know:

- quantum circuits are first written as Program and then exported as circuit.
- ► A **job** is created from a circuit and sent to a **qpu** (a simulator)
- ► **AbstractGates** allow to define custom parameterized gates. They will come handy in programming Hamiltonian simulation.
- QRoutines allow to program arbitrary parametrized sub-circuits, which are then pluggable anywhere into a larger circuit.
- see the minimal notebook and https://myqlm.github.io/ for more details



Simulating physics with computers.

Int. J. Theor. Phys, 21(6/7), 1982.

Vera von Burg, Guang Hao Low, Thomas Häner, Damian S Steiger, Markus Reiher, Martin Roetteler, and Matthias Troyer.

Quantum computing enhanced computational catalysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.14460*, 2020.

Peter JJ O'Malley, Ryan Babbush, Ian D Kivlichan, Jonathan Romero, Jarrod R McClean, Rami Barends, Julian Kelly, Pedram Roushan, Andrew Tranter, Nan Ding, et al. Scalable quantum simulation of molecular energies. *Physical Review X*, 6(3):031007, 2016.

James D Whitfield, Jacob Biamonte, and Alán Aspuru-Guzik. Simulation of electronic structure hamiltonians using quantum computers.

Molecular Physics, 109(5):735-750, 2011.