

# Quantum computing TP

## Phase estimation for chemistry

**Supervisor:** Bertrand Marchand


January 4, 2021

### Abstract

You need to fill up some notebook cells with your implementation according to the questions. Send the notebooks and helper files in a zip by next Thursday, January 14 2021. They will be corrected and graded.

## 1 Quantum Programming Basics

**Question 1** Implement the following circuit, simulate it for  $\theta = 0.3$ , and print all states along with their amplitudes and probabilities.



Where  $ham\_X(\theta) = e^{-i\theta X}$  with  $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

**Hint** Define  $ham\_X$  as an “AbstractGate”, then apply it using “.ctrl()” to get a controlled version, as suggested in the “minimal notebook”. Don’t hesitate to also refer to the slides that were sent to you, for instance for the definition of what a controlled gate is.

## 2 Iterative quantum phase estimation, reproducing results from [1]

### 2.1 Hamiltonian simulation

The Hamiltonians whose ground state energy we are trying to compute are of the form:

$$H(R) = g_0 I + g_1 ZI + g_2 IZ + g_3 ZZ + g_4 YY + g_5 XX$$

Because we use **Trotterization**, we will only have to implement the unitary evolutions generated by each of the individual terms:  $e^{-iXXdt}$ ,  $e^{-iZIdt}$ ,  $e^{-iIZdt}$ ,  $e^{-iZZdt}$ ,  $e^{-iYYdt}$  and  $e^{-iXXdt}$ .

We could implement them using Abstract gates and a numerical computation of the matrix exponential. But the computation of the matrix exponential does not scale well with the number of qubits, and thus this method cannot be used in the “general case”, when working with a large molecule.

What we will do therefore instead is find small parametrized circuits implementing each of the unitary evolutions. The small circuits may only use “usual gates”, such as CNOTs and single-qubit Pauli rotations, that are already pre-implemented in PyAQASM/myqlm. We will then use **QRoutines** to paste these circuits into the final algorithm. Such a method does yield circuits of polynomial size for arbitrary Pauli products over  $n$  qubits.

**Example:** You can check that:  $Z \otimes Z = CNOT_{0 \rightarrow 1} \cdot I \otimes Z \cdot CNOT_{0 \rightarrow 1}$

with  $CNOT_{0 \rightarrow 1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\otimes$  the Kronecker product and  $\cdot$  the standard matrix product.

It allows us to write:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-iZZdt} &= e^{-i(CNOT_{0 \rightarrow 1} \cdot (IZ) \cdot CNOT_{0 \rightarrow 1})dt} \\ &= CNOT_{0 \rightarrow 1} \cdot e^{-iIZdt} CNOT_{0 \rightarrow 1} \\ &= CNOT_{0 \rightarrow 1} \cdot (I \otimes e^{-iZdt}) \cdot CNOT_{0 \rightarrow 1} \end{aligned}$$

i.e:

$$\begin{array}{c} q_0 \\ \hline \boxed{e^{-iZZdt}} \\ \hline q_1 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} q_0 \\ \hline \bullet \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \bullet \\ | \quad | \\ q_1 \oplus \boxed{e^{-iZdt}} \oplus \end{array}$$

$$\text{where } e^{-iZdt} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-idt} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{idt} \end{pmatrix} = RZ(-dt).$$

$RZ$  is a “standard gate”, pre-implemented in PyAQASM. Likewise,  $RX(\theta) = e^{i\theta X}$  and  $RY(\theta) = e^{i\theta Y}$  are pre-implemented. You can look at their precise definitions [here](#).

**Question 2** Following the example above, which is already implemented in the notebook, and knowing in addition that:

$$X \otimes X = CNOT_{0 \rightarrow 1} \cdot X \otimes I \cdot CNOT_{0 \rightarrow 1}$$

$$SXS^\dagger = Y$$

with  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$

and

$$Y \otimes X = CNOT_{0 \rightarrow 1} \cdot Y \otimes I \cdot CNOT_{0 \rightarrow 1}$$

write down the QRoutines carrying out each of the required Hamiltonian simulations, by filling the templates already written in the notebook.

## 2.2 Iterative Phase estimation

### 2.2.1 Trotterization

**Question 3** Write a function taking as input hamiltonian coefficients, an interval of time  $dt$ , and a Trotter number  $p$ , and returning a Qroutine which implements the corresponding Trotterized implementation of the Hamiltonian.

We recall that Trotterization consists in approximating  $e^{-idt(\sum_l c_l H_l)}$  as  $\left(\prod_l e^{-i\frac{dt c_l H_l}{p}}\right)^p$ . Therefore, your Qroutine should make use of the other QRoutines you implemented in Question 2. As for the coefficients weighting the influence of each individual terms, they are available in the list of dictionaries loaded at the beginning of the notebook, from `hamiltonian_data.json`. As specified in the notebook, your function should also take an “energy shift” as input parameter. It consists in adding a  $+shift \cdot I$  term to your Hamiltonian. We will use it to ensure the energies we compute are  $> 0$ . Take a look at the slides for more explanations.

### 2.2.2 Iterative Phase estimation

**Question 4** Implement iterative phase estimation following the template provided in the notebook, and the definitions given in the slides.

In a few words, you need to loop over  $k = n_B, \dots, 1$  in that order, and for each of these values:

- Produce the circuit displayed on the slides. Depending on the value of the “trotterization” Boolean flag, the Hamiltonian simulation can either be the ideal “cheat mode” version that exponentiates the Hamiltonian, or the Trotterized version you implemented. In place of “ $|\psi\rangle$ ”, the ground state of the Hamiltonian, we will use a simple ansatz, the Hartree-Fock

approximation to our problem:  $|01\rangle$ , as suggested in [1], and explained in the slides.

- simulate the circuit, specifying at job creation that you are interested in the first qubit only, and select the most probable result<sup>1</sup>.

## 2.3 final plots

**Question 5** The cells actually computing the curves are already written, for the most part. The only thing you need to fill is the conversion of phases back to energies. Put your implementation where indicated and produce the plots. The “ideal” result should be a smooth  $H_2$  dissociation curve, while the Trotterized version should be more “wiggly”, the curve with  $p = 10$  being a closer approximation to the ideal curve, as expected.

## References

- [1] Peter JJ O’Malley, Ryan Babbush, Ian D Kivlichan, Jonathan Romero, Jarrod R McClean, Rami Barends, Julian Kelly, Pedram Roushan, Andrew Tranter, Nan Ding, et al. Scalable quantum simulation of molecular energies. *Physical Review X*, 6(3):031007, 2016.

---

<sup>1</sup>Of course in a real setting we would have to run the circuit many times to estimate the probabilities, here, we compute them numerically.