

Docente: Bruce D. Marron

Ciclo: 25-2

Materia: Terminología Especializada en Económica y Mercados Bursátiles

Curso: IT0524

Licenciatura: Interpretación y Traducción

Horario: Jueves 11:00 – 14:00

Grupo: 5C

HW_02.2 Evaluation of Economic Theory Rubric (convert to 10 point scale)

Criteria	5 - Exceptional	4 - Proficient	3 - Satisfactory	2 - Developing	1 - Emerging
Axiom, Theorem and Corollary Analysis	Provides a comprehensive, nuanced critique of fundamental economic axioms, theorems, and corollaries with deep critical thinking and empiricallygenerated supporting arguments	Thoroughly examines both axioms with clear analytical insights and credible sources	Adequately addresses axioms with basic critical analysis	Minimal engagement with axiom critique with limited depth	Superficial or incorrect understandi ng of economic axioms
Writing Quality and Organization	Impeccable academic writing with sophisticated language and zero grammatical errors; logical structure	Strong academic writing with minimal language issues	Acceptable academic writing with some technical errors	Numerous writing and grammatical problems	Fundamenta lly unacceptabl e writing quality
Presentation and Formatting	Perfect adherence to academic formatting (margins, spacing, citations)	Minor, negligible formatting deviations	Acceptable formatting with some issues	Significant formatting problems	Lacks basic formatting standards
Reference Quality	More than 3 credible, scholarly sources properly cited	3 credible sources with minor citation issues	3 sources with some credibility concerns	Fewer than 3 sources or questionable references	No credible references
Translation Accuracy	Flawless translation maintaining original meaning with academic precision	High-quality translation with minor linguistic nuances		Significant translation errors affecting meaning	Incomprehe nsible or completely incorrect translation



Assignment

Review the axioms, theorems, and corollaries of standard economic theory that were presented in class. Research/investigate these statements and then critique them. Do you believe these statements to be foundational, empirical truths? Explain why or why not in a two-page paper written in English and then translated to Spanish. The standard format requirements apply, including references.