

# Automatic Trance Music Generation and Automatic Melodic Variation as Aesthetic Music?

Marvin Beese

University of Potsdam

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Aesthetics of generated music
  - Musical Aesthetics
  - Genetic Algorithms
  - Comparison
- 3 Armin: Trance Music Composition
  - Computer-aided Composition
  - Background of Trance Music
    - Armin System
  - Assembler File
  - Rhythmic Component
    - Example
- 4 Melodic Variation with ASP
  - Background
  - Melodic Variations Engine
- 5 Conclusion

# Introduction

- generation of music in AI
  - ▶ musical rules
  - ▶ important for aesthetic understanding
- generation of Trance music with Armin
  - ▶ Computer-aided Composition tool
  - ▶ melodic and rhythmic implementation using ASP
- automatic melodic variation with AIM
  - ▶ musical alteration of a given melody with ASP

# Aesthetics of generated music: Musical Aesthetics

- aesthetic judgement:
  - ▶ finding elegance and novelty
  - ▶ minimization of incoherence and boredom
- tonal and rhythmic coherence
- stability of melodic contour
- balance of repetition and variety

# Aesthetics of generated music: Genetic Algorithms

- origin: evolutionary biology
- new elements: combination of elements from successful outcomes
- mutation: changes for improvement
  - ▶ variation of melody
- evolutionary mutation: mutation for every generation
  - ▶ change of pitch for random note
  - ▶ split & merge for musical sections

# Aesthetics of generated music: Comparison

**Table.** An overview of the results of assessment by aesthetic judgement.

Beginning state	Unprocessed	Fittest unmodified	Evolutionary mutations	Musical mutations	Combined mutations
Random	★	★	★	★★	★
Rule-based	★★★	★★★	★★	★★★★	★★★★

- comparable results for rule-based & mutated music
- well formed melodies
- evolutionary melody:
  - ▶ reduction of coherence of form
  - ▶ less gain in novelty
- → very little advantage for evolutionary music

# Armin: Trance Music Composition: Computer-aided Composition

- computer-aided composition
  - ▶ algorithmic composition
    - ★ next note?
    - ★ duration of the note?
- rules for the music-genre

# Armin: Trance Music Composition: Background of Trance Music

- electronic dance music with 130-140bpm
- time signature  $\frac{4}{4}$ 
  - ▶ kick on the second beat
  - ▶ snare drum/clap on the fourth beat
- change of pace every second/fourth/eighth bar
  - ▶ with change of drum/instrument
  - ▶ → progression
- breakdown: longer synthetic chords, slower pace



# Armin: Trance Music Composition: Background of Trance Music

- based on Anton
  - ▶ harmonic, melodic, rhythmic composition system
- musical sections chaining
  - ▶ e.g. intro→verse, verse→chorus, chorus→breakdown, ...

# Armin: Trance Music Composition: Assembler File

- *arminAssembler.lp*: definition of order and frequency of parts
- model over timestep  $T$ 
  - ▶ play the intro  
`playState(intro,1):- part(intro).`
  - ▶ section for following timestep  
`1 { playState(verse,T+1),  
playState(chorus,T+1),  
playState(breakDown,T+1) } 1 :- playState(P,T), timeScore(T),  
statesNumber(SN), T < SN-2.`
  - ▶ play the outro  
`playState(outro, SN) :- part(outro), statesNumber(SN).`

# Assembler File

- model over timestep  $T$ 
  - ▶ no consecutive verses  
`:- playState(verse, T), playState(verse, T+1).`
  - ▶ no three consecutive played parts  
`:- playState(P, T), playState(P, T+1), playState(P, T+2).`

# Armin: Trance Music Composition: Rhythmic Component

- time signature  $\frac{4}{4}$  : 32 pulses  
`pulseMeasureLimit(32).`
- whole note: 32 pulses, half note: 16 pulses  
`longDurations(16;32).`
- melody contains 8 measures  
`lastMeasure(8).`

# Rhythmic Component: Example

- Example for an 8 bar configuration



Figure 5. Example of an 8 bar configuration

- a half or whole note can follow a whole note

```
1 { durationMeasure(0,D1,M+1,C+1)
    : longDurations(D1)} 1 :- durationMeasure(0,DR,M,C),
    DR == 32, lastMeasure(LM), M + 1 <= LM.
```

- half notes must come in a pair

```
1 { durationMeasure(16,D1,M,C+1)
    : longDurations(D1)} 1 :- durationMeasure(0,DR,M,C), DR == 16.
```

- a half or whole note can follow two half notes

```
1 { durationMeasure(0,DR,M+1,C+1) : longDurations(DR)} 1 :- durationMeasure(16,16,M,C),
    durationMeasure(0,16,M,C-1), lastMeasure(LM), M + 1 <= LM.
```

# Melodic Variation with ASP: Background

- what notes should be preserved? / what notes can change?
- Alterations in Music (AIM)
  - ▶ based on Anton
  - ▶ rhythmic characteristics like in Armin
- input file with specifications
  - ▶ maximum value of notes to be changed
  - ▶ chosen note
  - ▶ duration of the note

*see the following example of the input file*

# Melodic Variation with ASP: Input File

```
partTimeMax(P,5).  
  
%%Change notes  
numberOfNotesToChange(1).  
toChangeNumber(1..CN) :- numberOfNotesToChange(CN).  
  
%% choosenNote(part, note, counter).  
choosenNote(1,25,1).  
choosenNote(1,24,2).  
choosenNote(1,22,3).  
choosenNote(1,20,4).  
choosenNote(1,22,5).  
  
%% duration(start, duration, measure, counter).  
duration(1,16,1,1).  
duration(16,8,1,2).  
duration(24,8,1,3).  
duration(1,16,2,4).  
duration(16,16,2,5).
```

Figure 2 Fragment of code of the input file

# Melodic Variation with ASP: Melodic Variations Engine

- which notes keep the essence (and will not be changed)?
  - ▶ first note: indicates fundamental
  - ▶ second note: keeps progression of the first note
  - ▶ last note: preserves ending of the melodic line



Figure 5 Note conversion. Split a note into halves

- changing a note when chosen by
  - ▶ changing the pitch
  - ▶ splitting the note into equivalent halves
    - ★ first note remains, second note changes



# Conclusion

- automatic generation of music:
  - ▶ rule-based
  - ▶ evolutionary methods
  - ▶ → aesthetics of music?
    - ★ rule-based: valid music, less novelty
    - ★ with mutated iterations: not too much improvement

# Conclusion

- Armin

- ▶ noteworthy expansion of Anton with Trance genre
- ▶ section chaining
- ▶ rhythmic focus

- AIM

- ▶ not a wide variety of musical alterations
  - ★ freedom of change for nearly every tone (regardless musical criteria)
- ▶ multiple iterations: works like evolutionary musical mutation

# Sources

- Georg Boenn, Martin Brain, Marina De Vos, John P. Fitch: Automatic music composition using answer set programming. TPLP 11(2-3): 397-427 (2011)
- Andrew R. Brown: An aesthetic comparison of rule-based and genetic algorithms for generating melodies (2004)
- Flavio Omar Everardo Pérez, Fernando Antonio Aguilera Ramírez: Armin: Automatic Trance Music Composition using Answer Set Programming. Fundamenta Informaticae 113 (2011) 79–96
- Flavio Omar Everardo Pérez: A Logical Approach for Melodic Variations. LA-NMR (2011)
- [Link for Trance Music by Flavio Everardo](#)

Thank you for your attention!