

HAUL-OUT SITE ESTIMATION WITH A PROBIT HAUL-OUT PROCESS

Brian M. Brost

31 July 2015

Description

A Dirichlet process mixture model for haul-out site estimation. Unlike the model implemented in `haulout.dp.mixture.mcmc.R`, this version uses a probit link for estimating the haul-out indicator variable, z_t , such that incorporation of covariates is efficient.

Implementation

The file `haulout.dp.mixture.1.sim.R` simulates data according to the model statement presented below, and `haulout.dp.mixture.1.mcmc.R` contains the MCMC algorithm for model fitting. Model implementation follows the blocked Gibbs sampler truncation approximation of Ishwaran and James (2001) and Gelman et al. (2014).

Model statement

Let $\mathbf{s}_t = (s_{1,t}, s_{2,t})'$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_t = (\mu_{1,t}, \mu_{2,t})'$, for $t = 1, \dots, T$, be observed and true locations, respectively. Also let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} = (\mu_{0,1,h}, \mu_{0,2,h})'$, for $h = 1, \dots, H$, be the locations of haul-out sites (i.e., clusters), where H is the maximum number of haul-outs allowed per the truncation approximation of the Dirichlet process mixture model. Let z_t be a latent indicator variable that denotes when locations are on a haul-out site ($z_t = 1$) or not ($z_t = 0$). Note that when an individual is hauled-out (i.e., $z_t = 1$), $\boldsymbol{\mu}_t = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h_t}$, where h_t acts as a classification variable that identifies the $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}$ associated with each $\boldsymbol{\mu}_t$. Furthermore, let \mathcal{S} be the support of the movement process and $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ be the support of the haul-out sites (i.e., the Dirichlet process and all possible $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}$). Note that \mathcal{S} and $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ overlap, i.e., $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} \subset \mathcal{S}$. The domain defined by \mathcal{S} therefore represents at-sea locations or locations of the individual while milling adjacent to the haul-out site. Also note that $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$, the complement of \mathcal{S} , represents inaccessible locations (i.e., terrestrial sites that are not haul-outs).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_t &\sim \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h_t}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}), & z_t = 1 \\ \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h_t}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I}), & z_t = 0 \end{cases} \\ z_t &\sim \begin{cases} 0, & u_t \leq 0 \\ 1, & u_t > 0 \end{cases} \\ u_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_t' \boldsymbol{\alpha}, 1) \\ h_t &\sim \text{Cat}\left(\frac{\pi_h}{\sum_{\tilde{h}=1}^H \pi_{\tilde{h}}}\right) \\ \pi_h &= v_h \prod_{\tilde{h} < h} (1 - v_{\tilde{h}}) \\ v_h &\sim \text{Beta}(1, \theta) \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} &\sim \text{Unif}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}) \\ \theta &\sim \text{Gamma}(r, q) \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_\alpha, \Sigma_\alpha) \\ \sigma &\sim \text{Unif}(l_\sigma, u_\sigma) \\ \sigma_\mu &\sim \text{Unif}(l_{\sigma_\mu}, u_{\sigma_\mu}) \end{aligned}$$

The concentration parameter θ affects the clustering in the Dirichlet process mixture: smaller values yield fewer clusters with more observations per cluster, whereas larger values yield more clusters with fewer observations per cluster. Note that the lines in this model statement pertaining to h_t , π_h , v_h , and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}$ comprise the stick-breaking representation of the Dirichlet process mixture model, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} &\sim \mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{G} &\sim \text{DP}(\theta, \mathbf{G}_0) \\ \mathbf{G}_0 &\sim \text{Unif}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}})\end{aligned}$$

Full conditional distributions

Haul-out site locations ($\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}$):

$$\begin{aligned}[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}|\cdot] &\propto \prod_{\{t:h_t=h\}} [\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}, \sigma^2]^{z_t} [\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}, \sigma^2, \sigma_\mu^2]^{1-z_t} [\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} | \tilde{\mathcal{S}}] \\ &\propto \prod_{\{t:h_t=h\}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{z_t} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I})^{1-z_t} 1_{\{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}} \\ &\propto \prod_{\{t:h_t=h\}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{s}_t - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h})' (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} (\mathbf{s}_t - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}) \right\}^{z_t} \\ &\quad \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{s}_t - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h})' (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} (\mathbf{s}_t - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}) \right\}^{1-z_t} 1_{\{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}} \\ &\propto \prod_{\{t:h_t=h\}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{s}_t' (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{s}_t - 2 \mathbf{s}_t' (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}' (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} \right) \right\}^{z_t} \times \\ &\quad \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{s}_t' (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{s}_t - 2 \mathbf{s}_t' (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}' (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} \right) \right\}^{1-z_t} \times \\ &\quad 1_{\{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}} \\ &\propto \prod_{\{t:h_t=h\}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(-2 \left(\mathbf{s}_t' (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} 1_{\{z_t=1\}} + \mathbf{s}_t' (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} 1_{\{z_t=0\}} \right) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}' \left((\sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} 1_{\{z_t=1\}} + (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} 1_{\{z_t=0\}} \right) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} \right) \right\} 1_{\{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}} \\ &\propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(-2 \left(\sum_{\{t:h_t=h, z_t=1\}} \mathbf{s}_t' (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} + \sum_{\{t:h_t=h, z_t=0\}} \mathbf{s}_t' (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}' \left(n_{h,1} (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} + n_{h,0} (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \right) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} \right) \right\} 1_{\{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}} \\ &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A}^{-1}) 1_{\{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{A} = n_{h,1} (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} + n_{h,0} (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{b}' = \sum_{\{t:h_t=h, z_t=1\}} \mathbf{s}_t' (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} + \sum_{\{t:h_t=h, z_t=0\}} \mathbf{s}_t' (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1}$. Note that the product is over all \mathbf{s}_t that are members of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}$, $n_{h,1}$ is the number of members in cluster h where $z_t = 1$, and $n_{h,0}$ is the number of members in cluster h where $z_t = 0$. Proposed values for $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}$ not in $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ are rejected, i.e., $[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}|\cdot] = \mathcal{TN}(\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A}^{-1})_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}$. For each of the unoccupied haul-out locations (i.e., clusters with no members), $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}$ is sampled directly from the prior.

Haul-out indicator variable (z_t):

$$\begin{aligned}
[z_t|\cdot] &\propto [\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}, \sigma^2]^{z_t} [\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}, \sigma^2, \sigma_\mu^2]^{1-z_t} [z_t|u_t] \\
&\propto [\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}, \sigma^2]^{z_t} [\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}, \sigma^2, \sigma_\mu^2]^{1-z_t} p_t^{z_t} (1-p_t)^{1-z_t} \\
&\propto (p_t[\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}, \sigma^2])^{z_t} ((1-p_t)[\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}, \sigma^2, \sigma_\mu^2])^{1-z_t} \\
&= \text{Bern}(\tilde{p}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi^{-1}(p_t) = \mathbf{w}'_t \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{p_t \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})}{p_t \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}) + (1-p_t) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I})}.$$

Haul-out indicator auxiliary variable (u_t):

$$\begin{aligned}
[u_t|\cdot] &\propto [z_t|u_t][u_t] \\
&\propto (1_{\{z_t=0\}} 1_{\{u_t \leq 0\}} + 1_{\{z_t=1\}} 1_{\{u_t > 0\}}) \times \mathcal{N}(u_t | \mathbf{w}'_t \boldsymbol{\alpha}, 1) \\
&= \begin{cases} \mathcal{TN}(\mathbf{w}'_t \boldsymbol{\alpha}, 1)_{-\infty}^0, & z_t = 0 \\ \mathcal{TN}(\mathbf{w}'_t \boldsymbol{\alpha}, 1)_{0^\infty}^\infty, & z_t = 1 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Haul-out classification variable (h_t):

$$\begin{aligned}
[h_t|\cdot] &\sim [\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h_t}, z_t, \sigma^2, \sigma_\mu^2][h_t | \pi_h] \\
&\sim \text{Cat} \left(\frac{\pi_h [\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h_t}, z_t, \sigma^2, \sigma_\mu^2]}{\sum_{\tilde{h}=1}^H \pi_{\tilde{h}} [\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,\tilde{h}}, z_t, \sigma^2, \sigma_\mu^2]} \right) \\
&\sim \text{Cat} \left(\frac{\pi_h [\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h_t}, \sigma^2]^{z_t} [\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h_t}, \sigma^2, \sigma_\mu^2]^{1-z_t}}{\sum_{\tilde{h}=1}^H \pi_{\tilde{h}} [\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,\tilde{h}}, \sigma^2]^{z_t} [\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,\tilde{h}}, \sigma^2, \sigma_\mu^2]^{1-z_t}} \right) \\
&\sim \text{Cat} \left(\frac{\pi_h \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h_t}, \sigma^2)^{z_t} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h_t}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I})^{1-z_t}}{\sum_{\tilde{h}=1}^H \pi_{\tilde{h}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,\tilde{h}}, \sigma^2)^{z_t} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}_t|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,\tilde{h}}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I})^{1-z_t}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This update proceeds just as in multinomial sampling; see page 552 in Gelman et al. (2014).

Probability mass for haul-out location $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0,h}$ (π_h):

$$\pi_h = v_h \prod_{\tilde{h} < h} (1 - v_{\tilde{h}}),$$

where

$$[v_h|\cdot] \sim \text{Beta} \left(1 + n_h, \theta + \sum_{\tilde{h}=h+1}^H n_{\tilde{h}} \right), \text{ for } h = 1, \dots, H-1,$$

and $v_H = 1$. This represents the stick-breaking construction of the Dirichlet process. The parameter n_h denotes the number of observations allocated to cluster h . Note that v_h is sampled in order of decreasing n_h , i.e., n_h is sorted largest to smallest and v_h is sampled in sequence. The probabilities π_h are calculated in order of decreasing n_h as well. See page 553 in Gelman et al. (2014) and Section 5.2 in Ishwaran and James (2001).

Dirichlet process concentration parameter (θ):

$$[\theta|\cdot] \propto \text{Gamma}(r + H - 1, q - \sum_{h=1}^{H-1} \log(1 - v_h)).$$

See page 553 in Gelman et al. (2014). Also see Escobar and West (1995) and West (1997?, white paper) for alternative full-conditionals for θ .

Haul-out probability coefficients (α):

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha|\cdot] &\propto [\mathbf{u}|\alpha][\alpha] \\ &\propto \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{u} | \mathbf{W}\alpha, \mathbf{1}) \mathcal{N}(\alpha | \mu_\alpha, \Sigma_\alpha) \\ &\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{W}\alpha)'(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{W}\alpha)\right\} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \mu_\alpha)' \Sigma_\alpha^{-1}(\alpha - \mu_\alpha)\right\} \\ &= \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A}^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{W}'\mathbf{W} + \Sigma_\alpha^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{b}' = \mathbf{u}'\mathbf{W} + \mu_\alpha' \Sigma_\alpha^{-1}$.

Error in the observation process (σ):

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma|\cdot] &\propto \prod_{t=1}^T [\mathbf{s}_t | \mu_{0,h_t}, \sigma^2]^{z_t} [\mathbf{s}_t | \mu_{0,h_t}, \sigma^2, \sigma_\mu^2]^{1-z_t} [\sigma] \\ &\propto \prod_{t=1}^T \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}_t | \mu_{0,h_t}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{z_t} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}_t | \mu_{0,h_t}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I})^{1-z_t} \end{aligned}$$

The update for σ proceeds using Metropolis-Hastings.

Homerange dispersion parameter (σ_μ):

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma_\mu|\cdot] &\propto \prod_{\{t: z_t=0\}} [\mathbf{s}_t | \mu_{0,h_t}, \sigma^2, \sigma_\mu^2] [\sigma_\mu] \\ &\propto \prod_{\{t: z_t=0\}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{s}_t | \mu_{0,h_t}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \sigma_\mu^2 \mathbf{I}) \end{aligned}$$

The update for σ_μ proceeds using Metropolis-Hastings. Note that the product is over all t for which $z_t = 0$.

References

- Escobar, M.D., and M. West. 1995. Bayesian density estimation and inference using mixtures. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 90:577–588.
- Gelman, A., J.B. Carlin, H.S. Stern, D.B. Dunson, A. Vehtari, and D.B. Rubin. 2014. *Bayesian data analysis*. CRC Press.
- Ishwaran, H., and L.F. James. 2001. Gibbs sampling methods for stick-breaking priors. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 96: 161–173.
- West, M. 1997. Hyperparameter estimation in Dirichlet process mixture models. Unpublished?