

HW 4 Questions

1. Use topological sort

Step 1. Organize the prerequisites as

a directed graph:

$$LA15 \rightarrow LA16 \quad LA22 \rightarrow LA126$$

$$LA15 \rightarrow LA31 \quad LA22 \rightarrow LA141$$

$$LA16 \rightarrow LA32 \quad LA31 \rightarrow LA32$$

$$LA16 \rightarrow LA127 \quad LA32 \rightarrow LA126$$

$$LA16 \rightarrow LA141 \quad LA32 \rightarrow LA149$$

Step 2. Start with courses without prereqs.

After taking a course, remove its edges and repeat.

Sequence:

$$LA15, LA22, LA16, LA31, LA31, LA127, LA141, LA126, LA169$$

2. Imagine a weighted graph $V = \{A, B, C, D\}$ with weights:

$$A \geq B \text{ weight: } 1 \quad \text{Start vertex - } A$$

$$A \geq C \text{ weight: } 2$$

$$C \geq B \text{ weight: } -5$$

$$B \geq D \text{ weight: } 3$$

$$C \geq D \text{ weight: } 2$$

Dijkstra's algorithm fails because it assumes that, once a distance is found, it cannot be improved upon.

For example:

Start with A

$$\text{dist}(A) = 0, \text{dist}(B) = \infty, \text{dist}(C) = \infty, \text{dist}(D) = \infty$$

Since $A \geq C$ has a weight of 2:

$$\text{dist}(C) = 2$$

Since $A \geq B$ has a weight of 4:

$$\text{dist}(B) = 4$$

However, since $C \geq B$ has a weight of -5:

$$\text{dist}(B) = 2 + (-5) = -3. \text{ Therefore, Dijkstra's algorithm is wrong}$$

3. No. Prof. Arvindas has proved that L is polynomial-time reducible to an NP-complete problem M. However, in order to prove P=NP, it must be shown that every NP (not just M) can be solved in polynomial time.

4. To show that this problem is NP-Complete, we must show that this problem is NP and NP-Hard.

To set up this problem, have $(E, c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n)$ for the different companies with $G = (C, E)$ as a graph where E contains an edge (c_i, c_j) if c_i and c_j are competitors. Also, have int k. Determine if it is possible to invite at least k companies such that no two invited companies are competitors.

Step 1. Show the problem is NP.

A "certificate" for the problem is a set of k companies selected for invitation.

Check the solution by checking that the set contains k vertices with no edges (no two selected companies compete).

∴ the problem is NP

Step 2. Show the problem is NP-Hard

Independent Set Problem:

Given graph $G = (C, E)$ and int k, determine if an independent set of size k in G exists.

Take an instance of this independent set

Treat the vertices of G as the company, C, and the edges as the "competitor" relationship, E.

The independent set corresponds exactly to a set of companies that can be invited without 2 competitors.

Since the problem is NP & NP-Hard, the decision version is NP-complete.