

Convolutional Neural Networks

Alejandro Veloz - alejandro.veloz@uv.cl

Biomedical Engineering, UV




Used everywhere for Vision




[Krizhevsky 2012]



[Ciresan et al. 2013]



[Faster R-CNN - Ren 2015]



[NVIDIA dev blog]

Many other applications

Speech recognition & speech synthesis

Many other applications

Speech recognition & speech synthesis

Natural Language Processing

Many other applications

Speech recognition & speech synthesis

Natural Language Processing

Protein/DNA binding prediction

Many other applications

Speech recognition & speech synthesis

Natural Language Processing

Protein/DNA binding prediction


Any problem with a spatial (or sequential) structure

ConvNets for image classification

CNN = Convolutional Neural Networks = ConvNet

ConvNets for image classification

CNN = Convolutional Neural Networks = ConvNet



LeCun, Y., Bottou, L., Bengio, Y., and Haffner, P. (1998). Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition.

Outline

Convolutions

Outline

Convolutions

CNNs for Image Classification

Outline

Convolutions

CNNs for Image Classification

CNN Architectures

Convolutions

Motivations

Standard Dense Layer for an image input:

```
x = Input((640, 480, 3), dtype='float32')
# shape of x is: (None, 640, 480, 3)
x = Flatten()(x)
# shape of x is: (None, 640 x 480 x 3)
z = Dense(1000)(x)
```

How many parameters in the Dense layer?

Motivations

Standard Dense Layer for an image input:

```
x = Input((640, 480, 3), dtype='float32')
# shape of x is: (None, 640, 480, 3)
x = Flatten()(x)
# shape of x is: (None, 640 x 480 x 3)
z = Dense(1000)(x)
```

How many parameters in the Dense layer?

$$640 \times 480 \times 3 \times 1000 + 1000 = 922M!$$

Motivations

Standard Dense Layer for an image input:

```
x = Input((640, 480, 3), dtype='float32')
# shape of x is: (None, 640, 480, 3)
x = Flatten()(x)
# shape of x is: (None, 640 x 480 x 3)
z = Dense(1000)(x)
```

How many parameters in the Dense layer?

$$640 \times 480 \times 3 \times 1000 + 1000 = 922M!$$

Spatial organization of the input is destroyed by Flatten

Motivations

Standard Dense Layer for an image input:

```
x = Input((640, 480, 3), dtype='float32')
# shape of x is: (None, 640, 480, 3)
x = Flatten()(x)
# shape of x is: (None, 640 x 480 x 3)
z = Dense(1000)(x)
```

How many parameters in the Dense layer?

$$640 \times 480 \times 3 \times 1000 + 1000 = 922M!$$

Spatial organization of the input is destroyed by Flatten

We never use Dense layers directly on large images. Most standard solution is **convolution** layers

Fully Connected Network: MLP

```
input_image = Input(shape=(28, 28, 1))
x = Flatten()(input_image)
x = Dense(256, activation='relu')(x)
x = Dense(10, activation='softmax')(x)
mlp = Model(inputs=input_image, outputs=x)
```

Fully Connected Network: MLP

```
input_image = Input(shape=(28, 28, 1))
x = Flatten()(input_image)
x = Dense(256, activation='relu')(x)
x = Dense(10, activation='softmax')(x)
mlp = Model(inputs=input_image, outputs=x)
```

Convolutional Network

```
input_image = Input(shape=(28, 28, 1))
x = Conv2D(32, 5, activation='relu')(input_image)
x = MaxPool2D(2, strides=2)(x)
x = Conv2D(64, 3, activation='relu')(x)
x = MaxPool2D(2, strides=2)(x)
x = Flatten()(x)
x = Dense(256, activation='relu')(x)
x = Dense(10, activation='softmax')(x)
convnet = Model(inputs=input_image, outputs=x)
```

Fully Connected Network: MLP

```
input_image = Input(shape=(28, 28, 1))
x = Flatten()(input_image)
x = Dense(256, activation='relu')(x)
x = Dense(10, activation='softmax')(x)
mlp = Model(inputs=input_image, outputs=x)
```

Convolutional Network

```
input_image = Input(shape=(28, 28, 1))
x = Conv2D(32, 5, activation='relu')(input_image)
x = MaxPool2D(2, strides=2)(x)
x = Conv2D(64, 3, activation='relu')(x)
x = MaxPool2D(2, strides=2)(x)
x = Flatten()(x)
x = Dense(256, activation='relu')(x)
x = Dense(10, activation='softmax')(x)
convnet = Model(inputs=input_image, outputs=x)
```

2D spatial organization of features preserved until Flatten.

Convolution in a neural network

3 ₀	3 ₁	2 ₂	1	0
0 ₂	0 ₂	1 ₀	3	1
3 ₀	1 ₁	2 ₂	2	3
2	0	0	2	2
2	0	0	0	1

12.0	12.0	17.0
10.0	17.0	19.0
9.0	6.0	14.0

- x is a 3×3 chunk (dark area) of the image (*blue array*)
- Each output neuron is parametrized with the 3×3 weight matrix w (*small numbers*)

These slides extensively use convolution visualisation by V. Dumoulin available at
https://github.com/vdumoulin/conv_arithmetic

Convolution in a neural network

3 ₀	3 ₁	2 ₂	1	0
0 ₂	0 ₂	1 ₀	3	1
3 ₀	1 ₁	2 ₂	2	3
2	0	0	2	2
2	0	0	0	1

12.0	12.0	17.0
10.0	17.0	19.0
9.0	6.0	14.0

- x is a 3×3 chunk (dark area) of the image (*blue array*)
- Each output neuron is parametrized with the 3×3 weight matrix w (*small numbers*)

The activation obtained by sliding the 3×3 window and computing:

$$z(x) = \text{relu}(w^T x + b)$$

Motivations

Local connectivity

- A neuron depends only on a few local input neurons
- Translation invariance

Motivations

Local connectivity

- A neuron depends only on a few local input neurons
- Translation invariance

Comparison to Fully connected

- Parameter sharing: reduce overfitting
- Make use of spatial structure: **strong prior** for vision!

Motivations

Local connectivity

- A neuron depends only on a few local input neurons
- Translation invariance

Comparison to Fully connected

- Parameter sharing: reduce overfitting
- Make use of spatial structure: **strong prior** for vision!

Animal Vision Analogy

Hubel & Wiesel, RECEPTIVE FIELDS OF SINGLE NEURONES IN THE CAT'S STRIATE CORTEX (1959)

Why Convolution

Discrete convolution (actually cross-correlation) between two functions f and g :

$$(f * g)(x) = \sum_{a+b=x} f(a) \cdot g(b) = \sum_a f(a) \cdot g(x + a)$$

Why Convolution

Discrete convolution (actually cross-correlation) between two functions f and g :

$$(f * g)(x) = \sum_{a+b=x} f(a) \cdot g(b) = \sum_a f(a) \cdot g(x+a)$$

2D-convolutions (actually 2D cross-correlation):

$$(f * g)(x, y) = \sum_n \sum_m f(n, m) \cdot g(x+n, y+m)$$

Why Convolution

Discrete convolution (actually cross-correlation) between two functions f and g :

$$(f * g)(x) = \sum_{a+b=x} f(a) \cdot g(b) = \sum_a f(a) \cdot g(x+a)$$

2D-convolutions (actually 2D cross-correlation):

$$(f * g)(x, y) = \sum_n \sum_m f(n, m) \cdot g(x+n, y+m)$$

f is a convolution **kernel** or **filter** applied to the 2-d map g (our image)

Example: convolution image

- Image: im of dimensions 5×5
- Kernel: k of dimensions 3×3

$$(k * im)(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^2 \sum_{m=0}^2 k(n, m) \cdot im(x + n - 1, y + m - 1)$$

3 ₀	3 ₁	2 ₂	1	0
0 ₂	0 ₂	1 ₀	3	1
3 ₀	1 ₁	2 ₂	2	3
2	0	0	2	2
2	0	0	0	1

12.0	12.0	17.0
10.0	17.0	19.0
9.0	6.0	14.0


Channels

Colored image = tensor of shape (height, width, channels)

Channels

Colored image = tensor of shape (height, width, channels)


Convolutions are usually computed for each channel and summed:



Channels


Colored image = tensor of shape (height, width, channels)

Convolutions are usually computed for each channel and summed:




$$(k * im^{\text{color}}) = \sum_{c=0}^2 k^c * im^c$$


Multiple convolutions




Multiple convolutions




Multiple convolutions




Multiple convolutions



Multiple convolutions




Multiple convolutions



- Kernel size aka receptive field (usually 1, 3, 5, 7, 11)
- Output dimension: `length - kernel_size + 1`

Strides


- Strides: increment step size for the convolution operator
- Reduces the size of the output map



Example with kernel size 3×3 and a stride of 2 (image in blue)

Padding


- Padding: artificially fill borders of image
- Useful to keep spatial dimension constant across filters
- Useful with strides and large receptive fields
- Usually: fill with 0s



Dealing with shapes

Kernel or Filter shape (F, F, C^i, C^o)


- $F \times F$ kernel size,
- C^i input channels
- C^o output channels



Dealing with shapes

Kernel or Filter shape (F, F, C^i, C^o)

- $F \times F$ kernel size,
- C^i input channels
- C^o output channels




Number of parameters:
 $(F \times F \times C^i + 1) \times C^o$

Dealing with shapes

Kernel or Filter shape (F, F, C^i, C^o)

- $F \times F$ kernel size,
- C^i input channels
- C^o output channels



Number of parameters:
 $(F \times F \times C^i + 1) \times C^o$


Activations or Feature maps shape:

- Input (W^i, H^i, C^i)
- Output (W^o, H^o, C^o)

Dealing with shapes

Kernel or Filter shape (F, F, C^i, C^o)

- $F \times F$ kernel size,
- C^i input channels
- C^o output channels



Number of parameters:
 $(F \times F \times C^i + 1) \times C^o$

Activations or Feature maps shape:

- Input (W^i, H^i, C^i)
- Output (W^o, H^o, C^o)


$$W^o = (W^i - F + 2P)/S + 1$$

Pooling

- Spatial dimension reduction
- Local invariance
- No parameters: max or average of 2x2 units

Pooling


- Spatial dimension reduction
- Local invariance
- No parameters: max or average of 2x2 units



Schematic from Stanford <http://cs231n.github.io/convolutional-networks>

Pooling

- Spatial dimension reduction
- Local invariance
- No parameters: max or average of 2x2 units



Architectures

Classic ConvNet Architecture

Input

Classic ConvNet Architecture

Input

Conv blocks

- Convolution + activation (relu)
- Convolution + activation (relu)
- ...
- Maxpooling 2x2

Classic ConvNet Architecture

Input


Conv blocks

- Convolution + activation (relu)
- Convolution + activation (relu)
- ...
- Maxpooling 2x2

Output


- Fully connected layers
- Softmax

AlexNet



Simplified version of Krizhevsky, Alex, Sutskever, and Hinton. "Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks." NIPS 2012


AlexNet



Simplified version of Krizhevsky, Alex, Sutskever, and Hinton. "Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks." NIPS 2012

First conv layer: kernel 11x11x3x96 stride 4

AlexNet




Simplified version of Krizhevsky, Alex, Sutskever, and Hinton. "Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks." NIPS 2012

First conv layer: kernel 11x11x3x96 stride 4


- Kernel shape: (11,11,3,96)
- Output shape: (55,55,96)
- Number of parameters: 34,944
- Equivalent MLP parameters: $43.7 \times 1e9$

AlexNet




INPUT:	[227x227x3]
CONV1:	[55x55x96] 96 11x11 filters at stride 4, pad 0
MAX POOL1:	[27x27x96] 3x3 filters at stride 2
CONV2:	[27x27x256] 256 5x5 filters at stride 1, pad 2
MAX POOL2:	[13x13x256] 3x3 filters at stride 2
CONV3:	[13x13x384] 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1
CONV4:	[13x13x384] 384 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1
CONV5:	[13x13x256] 256 3x3 filters at stride 1, pad 1
MAX POOL3:	[6x6x256] 3x3 filters at stride 2
FC6:	[4096] 4096 neurons
FC7:	[4096] 4096 neurons
FC8:	[1000] 1000 neurons (softmax logits)

Hierarchical representation



Feature visualization of convolutional net trained on ImageNet from [Zeiler & Fergus 2013]

VGG-16



Simonyan, Karen, and Zisserman. "Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition." (2014)

VGG in Keras

```
model.add(Convolution2D(64, 3, 3, activation='relu',input_shape=(3,224,224)))
model.add(Convolution2D(64, 3, 3, activation='relu'))
model.add(MaxPooling2D((2,2), strides=(2,2)))

model.add(Convolution2D(128, 3, 3, activation='relu'))
model.add(Convolution2D(128, 3, 3, activation='relu'))
model.add(MaxPooling2D((2,2), strides=(2,2)))

model.add(Convolution2D(256, 3, 3, activation='relu'))
model.add(Convolution2D(256, 3, 3, activation='relu'))
model.add(Convolution2D(256, 3, 3, activation='relu'))
model.add(MaxPooling2D((2,2), strides=(2,2)))

model.add(Convolution2D(512, 3, 3, activation='relu'))
model.add(Convolution2D(512, 3, 3, activation='relu'))
model.add(Convolution2D(512, 3, 3, activation='relu'))
model.add(MaxPooling2D((2,2), strides=(2,2)))

model.add(Convolution2D(512, 3, 3, activation='relu'))
model.add(Convolution2D(512, 3, 3, activation='relu'))
model.add(Convolution2D(512, 3, 3, activation='relu'))
model.add(MaxPooling2D((2,2), strides=(2,2)))

model.add(Flatten())
model.add(Dense(4096, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dropout(0.5))
model.add(Dense(4096, activation='relu'))
model.add(Dropout(0.5))
model.add(Dense(1000, activation='softmax'))
```

Memory and Parameters

	Activation maps	Parameters
INPUT:	[224x224x3] = 150K	0
CONV3-64:	[224x224x64] = 3.2M	(3x3x3)x64 = 1,728
CONV3-64:	[224x224x64] = 3.2M	(3x3x64)x64 = 36,864
POOL2:	[112x112x64] = 800K	0
CONV3-128:	[112x112x128] = 1.6M	(3x3x64)x128 = 73,728
CONV3-128:	[112x112x128] = 1.6M	(3x3x128)x128 = 147,456
POOL2:	[56x56x128] = 400K	0
CONV3-256:	[56x56x256] = 800K	(3x3x128)x256 = 294,912
CONV3-256:	[56x56x256] = 800K	(3x3x256)x256 = 589,824
CONV3-256:	[56x56x256] = 800K	(3x3x256)x256 = 589,824
POOL2:	[28x28x256] = 200K	0
CONV3-512:	[28x28x512] = 400K	(3x3x256)x512 = 1,179,648
CONV3-512:	[28x28x512] = 400K	(3x3x512)x512 = 2,359,296
CONV3-512:	[28x28x512] = 400K	(3x3x512)x512 = 2,359,296
POOL2:	[14x14x512] = 100K	0
CONV3-512:	[14x14x512] = 100K	(3x3x512)x512 = 2,359,296
CONV3-512:	[14x14x512] = 100K	(3x3x512)x512 = 2,359,296
CONV3-512:	[14x14x512] = 100K	(3x3x512)x512 = 2,359,296
POOL2:	[7x7x512] = 25K	0
FC:	[1x1x4096] = 4096	7x7x512x4096 = 102,760,448
FC:	[1x1x4096] = 4096	4096x4096 = 16,777,216
FC:	[1x1x1000] = 1000	4096x1000 = 4,096,000

TOTAL activations: 24M x 4 bytes ~ 93MB / image (x2 for backward)

TOTAL parameters: 138M x 4 bytes ~ 552MB (x2 for plain SGD, x4 for Adam)

Memory and Parameters

	Activation maps	Parameters	
INPUT:	[224x224x3] = 150K	0	
CONV3-64:	[224x224x64] = 3.2M	(3x3x3)x64 = 1,728	
CONV3-64:	[224x224x64] = 3.2M	(3x3x64)x64 = 36,864	
POOL2:	[112x112x64] = 800K	0	
CONV3-128:	[112x112x128] = 1.6M	(3x3x64)x128 = 73,728	
CONV3-128:	[112x112x128] = 1.6M	(3x3x128)x128 = 147,456	
POOL2:	[56x56x128] = 400K	0	
CONV3-256:	[56x56x256] = 800K	(3x3x128)x256 = 294,912	
CONV3-256:	[56x56x256] = 800K	(3x3x256)x256 = 589,824	
CONV3-256:	[56x56x256] = 800K	(3x3x256)x256 = 589,824	
POOL2:	[28x28x256] = 200K	0	
CONV3-512:	[28x28x512] = 400K	(3x3x256)x512 = 1,179,648	
CONV3-512:	[28x28x512] = 400K	(3x3x512)x512 = 2,359,296	
CONV3-512:	[28x28x512] = 400K	(3x3x512)x512 = 2,359,296	
POOL2:	[14x14x512] = 100K	0	
CONV3-512:	[14x14x512] = 100K	(3x3x512)x512 = 2,359,296	
CONV3-512:	[14x14x512] = 100K	(3x3x512)x512 = 2,359,296	
CONV3-512:	[14x14x512] = 100K	(3x3x512)x512 = 2,359,296	
POOL2:	[7x7x512] = 25K	0	
FC:	[1x1x4096] = 4096	7x7x512x4096 = 102,760,448	
FC:	[1x1x4096] = 4096	4096x4096 = 16,777,216	
FC:	[1x1x1000] = 1000	4096x1000 = 4,096,000	

TOTAL activations: 24M x 4 bytes ~ 93MB / image (x2 for backward)


TOTAL parameters: 138M x 4 bytes ~ 552MB (x2 for plain SGD, x4 for Adam)

ResNet

Even deeper models:

34, 50, 101, 152 layers

He, Kaiming, et al. "Deep residual learning for image recognition." CVPR. 2016.



ResNet

He, Kaiming, et al. "Deep residual learning for image recognition." CVPR. 2016.

A block learns the residual w.r.t.
identity





Figure 2. Residual learning: a building block.



ResNet

A block learns the residual w.r.t.
identity





Figure 2. Residual learning: a building block.

- Good optimization properties

He, Kaiming, et al. "Deep residual learning for image recognition." CVPR. 2016.




ResNet

He, Kaiming, et al. "Deep residual learning for image recognition." CVPR. 2016.

ResNet50 Compared to VGG:

Superior accuracy in all vision tasks
5.25% top-5 error vs 7.1%




ResNet

He, Kaiming, et al. "Deep residual learning for image recognition." CVPR. 2016.

ResNet50 Compared to VGG:

Superior accuracy in all vision tasks
5.25% top-5 error vs 7.1%

Less parameters
25M vs 138M



ResNet


ResNet50 Compared to VGG:

Superior accuracy in all vision tasks
5.25% top-5 error vs 7.1%

Less parameters
25M vs 138M

Computational complexity
3.8B Flops vs 15.3B Flops

He, Kaiming, et al. "Deep residual learning for image recognition." CVPR. 2016.



ResNet

He, Kaiming, et al. "Deep residual learning for image recognition." CVPR. 2016.


ResNet50 Compared to VGG:

Superior accuracy in all vision tasks
5.25% top-5 error vs 7.1%

Less parameters
25M vs 138M


Computational complexity
3.8B Flops vs 15.3B Flops

Fully Convolutional until the last layer



Deeper is better


ImageNet experiments



from Kaiming He slides "Deep residual learning for image recognition." ICML. 2016.

State of the art

- Finding right architectures: Active area or research




Modular building blocks engineering

from He slides "Deep residual learning for image recognition." ICML. 2016.

State of the art

- Finding right architectures: Active area or research





Modular building blocks engineering

from He slides "Deep residual learning for image recognition." ICML. 2016.

see also DenseNets, Wide ResNets, Fractal ResNets, ResNeXts, Pyramidal ResNets

State of the art

Top 1-accuracy, performance and size on ImageNet



See also: <https://paperswithcode.com/sota/image-classification-on-imagenet>

Canziani, Paszke, and Culurciello. "An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical Applications." (May 2016).

More ImageNet SOTA





Figure 5. FLOPS vs. ImageNet Accuracy – Similar to Figure 1 except it compares FLOPS rather than model size.



- Mingxing Tan, Quoc V. Le,

[EfficientNet: Rethinking Model Scaling for Convolutional Neural Networks](#), ICML 2019.

- Irwan Bello, [LambdaNetworks: Modeling long-range Interactions without Attention](#), ICLR 2021
- Dosovitskiy A. et al, [An Image is worth 16X16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale](#), ICLR 2021

State of the art

Method	# Params	Extra Data	ImageNet		ImageNet-Real [6]
			Top-1	Top-5	Precision@1
ResNet-50 [24]	26M	—	76.0	93.0	82.94
ResNet-152 [24]	60M	—	77.8	93.8	84.79
DenseNet-264 [28]	34M	—	77.9	93.9	—
Inception-v3 [62]	24M	—	78.8	94.4	83.58
Xception [11]	23M	—	79.0	94.5	—
Inception-v4 [61]	48M	—	80.0	95.0	—
Inception-resnet-v2 [61]	56M	—	80.1	95.1	—
ResNeXt-101 [78]	84M	—	80.9	95.6	85.18
PolyNet [87]	92M	—	81.3	95.8	—
SENet [27]	146M	—	82.7	96.2	—
NASNet-A [90]	89M	—	82.7	96.2	82.56
AmoebaNet-A [52]	87M	—	82.8	96.1	—
PNASNet [39]	86M	—	82.9	96.2	—
AmoebaNet-C + AutoAugment [12]	155M	—	83.5	96.5	—
GPipe [29]	557M	—	84.3	97.0	—
EfficientNet-B7 [63]	66M	—	85.0	97.2	—
EfficientNet-B7 + FixRes [70]	66M	—	85.3	97.4	—
EfficientNet-L2 [63]	480M	—	85.5	97.5	—
ResNet-50 Billion-scale SSL [79]	26M	3.5B labeled Instagram	81.2	96.0	—
ResNeXt-101 Billion-scale SSL [79]	193M	3.5B labeled Instagram	84.8	—	—
ResNeXt-101 WSL [42]	829M	3.5B labeled Instagram	85.4	97.6	88.19
FixRes ResNeXt-101 WSL [69]	829M	3.5B labeled Instagram	86.4	98.0	89.73
Big Transfer (BiT-L) [33]	928M	300M labeled JFT	87.5	98.5	90.54
Noisy Student (EfficientNet-L2) [77]	480M	300M unlabeled JFT	88.4	98.7	90.55
Noisy Student + FixRes [70]	480M	300M unlabeled JFT	88.5	98.7	—
Vision Transformer (ViT-H) [14]	632M	300M labeled JFT	88.55	—	90.72
EfficientNet-L2-NoisyStudent + SAM [16]	480M	300M unlabeled JFT	88.6	98.6	—
Meta Pseudo Labels (EfficientNet-B6-Wide)	390M	300M unlabeled JFT	90.0	98.7	91.12
Meta Pseudo Labels (EfficientNet-L2)	480M	300M unlabeled JFT	90.2	98.8	91.02

Meta Pseudo Labels, Hieu Pham et al. (Jan 2021)

Pre-trained models

Pre-trained models

Training a model on ImageNet from scratch takes **days or weeks**.

Pre-trained models

Training a model on ImageNet from scratch takes **days or weeks**.

Many models trained on ImageNet and their weights are publicly available!

Pre-trained models

Training a model on ImageNet from scratch takes **days or weeks**.

Many models trained on ImageNet and their weights are publicly available!

Transfer learning

- Use pre-trained weights, remove last layers to compute representations of images
- Train a classification model from these features on a new classification task
- The network is used as a generic feature extractor
- Better than handcrafted feature extraction on natural images

Pre-trained models

Training a model on ImageNet from scratch takes **days or weeks**.

Many models trained on ImageNet and their weights are publicly available!

Fine-tuning

Retraining the (some) parameters of the network (given enough data)

Pre-trained models

Training a model on ImageNet from scratch takes **days or weeks**.

Many models trained on ImageNet and their weights are publicly available!

Fine-tuning

Retraining the (some) parameters of the network (given enough data)

- Truncate the last layer(s) of the pre-trained network
- Freeze the remaining layers weights
- Add a (linear) classifier on top and train it for a few epochs

Pre-trained models

Training a model on ImageNet from scratch takes **days or weeks**.

Many models trained on ImageNet and their weights are publicly available!

Fine-tuning

Retraining the (some) parameters of the network (given enough data)

- Truncate the last layer(s) of the pre-trained network
- Freeze the remaining layers weights
- Add a (linear) classifier on top and train it for a few epochs
- Then fine-tune the whole network or the few deepest layers
- Use a smaller learning rate when fine tuning


Data Augmentation



Data Augmentation



Data Augmentation



See also: [RandAugment](#) and [Unsupervised Data Augmentation for Consistency Training](#).

Data Augmentation

With Keras:

```
from keras.preprocessing.image import ImageDataGenerator

image_gen = ImageDataGenerator(
    rescale=1. / 255,
    rotation_range=40,
    width_shift_range=0.2,
    height_shift_range=0.2,
    shear_range=0.2,
    zoom_range=0.2,
    horizontal_flip=True,
    channel_shift_range=9,
    fill_mode='nearest'
)

train_flow = image_gen.flow_from_directory(train_folder)
model.fit_generator(train_flow, train_flow.n)
```

