

# From Mathematics to Generic Programming

Brooks Mershon

March 2017

## 6.6

*Solution.*

We can refer to the table of nonzero remainders modulo 7 and simply trace the steps taken from repeated applications of a particular element  $a$ . The first application is simply  $a$  itself, so if we determine that  $a \cdot a \cdot a = 1 = e$ , then we shall say the order of  $a$  is 3.

	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<i>order</i>
<b>1</b>	1	2	3	4	5	6	1
<b>2</b>	2	4	6	1	5	5	3
<b>3</b>	3	6	2	5	q	4	6
<b>4</b>	4	1	5	2	6	3	3
<b>5</b>	5	3	1	6	4	2	6
<b>6</b>	6	5	4	3	2	1	2

For example, we have the following progression of repeated applications of the element 5:

$$5 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1.$$

There are 5 arrows, but we must include  $5^1$  as the “first” application of 5; the order of the element 5 is 6. Note that the only element with order 1 is the identity element 1.

A larger value of  $n$  involves more labor if we choose to continue finding solutions by hand. We might consider a programmatic solution to finding orders of elements in a multiplicative group modulo  $n$ . The following example renders a table like the one seen above for  $n = 11$ . One can see how such a solution lends itself to a generalized solution that obviates the need to use pencil and paper to find the orders of, say,  $n = 101$ . Of course, even a fairly humble algorithm like the one seen in the implementation below requires a bit of thinking to get right.

<https://bl.ocks.org/bmershon/7938f064dc2202364cdd52acbd24805d>