

The code of ethics we put forward does not solve the open questions of how AI will be used in warfare, we instead seek to provide a realistically adoptable set of basic information that should be part of all AI warfare systems. The code provides a framework for military decision makers to understand an AI system better before their deployment in the field, making them more accountable for deployment decisions.

Our amended code combines both of our groups goals. Our code works to make AI warfare systems accountable through two methods. First, any AI warfare system needs to be thoroughly tested and data collected so a human decision maker can make an informed decision on its use. Additionally, we should not allow there to be fully autonomous weapons systems which are intended for warfare, the systems must have a human final decision-maker, at least until AI systems are able to truly replicate human intelligence.

I personally found this ethics reflection interesting because I heard lots of unique viewpoints from the members of my group. While I had done discussions like this in high school courses, the discussion held by my group offered a vastly greater span of views, likely because of our unique backgrounds and upbringings. I found that the best way to have my voice heard was to simply respond on topics that others brought up rather than try and lead the conversation myself. We had a fairly easy time reaching a consensus on the issues brought up, however I think this was mainly due to the fact that we need to reach consensus for the assignment. I believe that people may have been less prone to set aside differences in opinion if they had not benefited from it.

I also really appreciated that this assignment covered my up to date topics in ethics. I was really getting sick of hearing the trolley car problem.