

CSCI 5521 – Introduction to Machine Learning

Homework – 2

Mourya Karan Reddy Baddam – 5564234

$$1) a) \quad P(x/\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \theta} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\theta^2}\right), \quad \theta > 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i/\theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \cdot \theta^n} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n -x_i^2}{2\theta^2}\right) = P(x/\theta)$$

log likelihood:-

$$l(\theta) = -\frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi - n \log \theta - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{2\theta^2}$$

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} l(\theta) :- \frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{\theta^3} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{n}$$

$$\therefore \text{ML estimate} \Rightarrow \theta = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{n}}$$

$$b) \quad P(x/\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\theta}\right), \quad 0 \leq x < \infty, \quad \theta > 0.$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i/\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n -x_i}{\theta}\right)$$

$$l(\theta) = -n \log \theta - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta}$$

$$\text{argmax}_{\theta} l(\theta) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n} \quad \text{is the ML Estimate.}$$

$$c) \quad p(x|\theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\theta) = \theta^n \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{\theta-1}$$

$$l(\theta) = n \log \theta + (\theta-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i$$

$$\text{argmax}_{\theta} l(\theta) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta = \frac{-n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i}$$

$$d) \quad p(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \theta, \quad \theta > 0$$

$\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \Rightarrow$ This is a monotonically decreasing function with θ . For likelihood to be maximum, θ should be minimum. Hence minimum value of $\theta = \max \{x_i\}$ since $\theta \geq x$

$$2.) \quad p(x|\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} (x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x-\mu)\right]$$

$$a) \quad \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{dn/2} |\Sigma|^{n/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x_i-\mu)\right]$$

$$l(\mu, \Sigma) = -\frac{dn}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x_i-\mu)$$

$$\text{argmax}_{\mu, \Sigma} l(\mu, \Sigma) :-$$

$$\frac{\partial l(\mu, \Sigma)}{\partial \mu} = 0 \Rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) \Sigma^{-1} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\hat{\mu} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n}$$

$$\frac{\partial l(\mu, \Sigma)}{\partial \Sigma^{-1}}$$

$$l(\mu, \Sigma) = -\frac{dn}{2} \log 2\pi + \frac{n}{2} \log |\Sigma^{-1}| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x_i - \mu)$$

$$\frac{\partial l(\mu, \Sigma)}{\partial \Sigma^{-1}} = \frac{n}{2} \Sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T$$

using $\frac{\partial}{\partial A} \log |A| = A^{-T}$; $\Sigma^T = \Sigma$; $\text{tr}[ABC] = \text{tr}[BAC] = \text{tr}[CBA]$ and $x^T A x = \text{tr}[x^T A x] = \text{tr}[x x^T A]$.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial A} \text{tr}[AB] = B^T.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 b) \quad E[\hat{\mu}_n] &= E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n E[x_i]\right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu \\
 &= \frac{n\mu}{n} = \underline{\underline{\mu}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$E[\hat{\mu}_n] = \mu$. Hence $\hat{\mu}_n$ is an un-biased estimate of μ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 c) \quad E[\hat{\Sigma}_n] &= E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T\right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i x_i^T - \mu x_i^T - x_i \mu^T + \mu \mu^T)\right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n E[x_i x_i^T - \mu \mu^T]\right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} (n \Sigma_x - n \Sigma_{\hat{\mu}}) \quad \text{--- (1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

(since $\hat{\mu}$ is unbiased estimate of μ).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_{\hat{\mu}} &= E\left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)\right)\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^T\right)\right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n^2} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T\right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n^2} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i x_i^T - \mu \mu^T)\right] \\
 \Sigma_{\hat{\mu}} &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E(x_i x_i^T - \mu \mu^T) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot n \Sigma_x = \Sigma_x / n.
 \end{aligned}$$

↪ Analogous to $E[x^2 - \mu^2] = \sigma^2$

Substituting in ①,

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left(n \sum x - n \frac{\sum x}{n} \right)$$

$$E[\hat{\Sigma}_n] = \frac{n-1}{n} \Sigma$$

$\therefore \hat{\Sigma}_n$ is ~~not~~ a biased estimate of Σ

3.) a) $\lambda = 10$, $P(C_1/x_{\text{test}}) = 0.5$, $P(C_2/x_{\text{test}}) = 0.25$, $P(C_3/x_{\text{test}}) = 0.25$

$$R(\text{Reject}/x_{\text{test}}) = \lambda = 10$$

$$R(\alpha_1/x_{\text{test}}) = 0.25 \times 10 + 0.25 \times 100 = 27.5$$

$$R(\alpha_2/x_{\text{test}}) = 0.5 \times 1 + 0.25 \times 100 = 25.5$$

$$R(\alpha_3/x_{\text{test}}) = 0.5 \times 1 + 0.25 \times 10 = 3$$

Since C_3 has least risk, my predicted class is C_3 .

b) $\lambda = 5$, $P(C_1/x_{\text{test}}) = 0.4$, $P(C_2/x_{\text{test}}) = 0.5$, $P(C_3/x_{\text{test}}) = 0.1$

$$R(\text{Reject}/x_{\text{test}}) = \lambda = 5$$

$$R(\alpha_1/x_{\text{test}}) = 0.5 \times 10 + 100 \times 0.1 = 15$$

$$R(\alpha_2/x_{\text{test}}) = 0.4 \times 1 + 100 \times 0.1 = 10.4$$

$$R(\alpha_3/x_{\text{test}}) = 0.4 \times 1 + 0.5 \times 10 = 5.4.$$

I would predict "Reject" because other classes have higher risk.

Q4: Summary of Error Rates:

MultiGaussClassify with full covariance matrix on Boston50

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	Std Dev
18.63%	18.81%	19.8%	22.77%	24.75%	20.95%	2.41%

Summary: MultiGaussClassify with full covariance matrix on Boston75

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	Std Dev
21.57%	32.67%	27.72%	22.77%	20.79%	25.11%	4.49%

Summary: MultiGaussClassify with full covariance matrix on Digits

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	Std Dev
2.78%	3.06%	2.79%	5.29%	3.62%	3.51%	0.94%

Summary: MultiGaussClassify with diagonal covariance matrix on Boston50

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	Std Dev
20.59%	20.79%	18.81%	26.73%	28.71%	23.13%	3.87%

Summary: MultiGaussClassify with diagonal covariance matrix on Boston75

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	Std Dev
29.41%	36.63%	32.67%	26.73%	24.75%	30.04%	4.24%

Summary: MultiGaussClassify with diagonal covariance matrix on Digits

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	Std Dev
8.89%	10.28%	10.31%	11.7%	9.75%	10.18%	0.91%

Summary: LogisticRegression with Boston50

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	Std Dev
8.82%	13.86%	13.86%	11.88%	20.79%	13.84%	3.93%

Summary: LogisticRegression with Boston75

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	Std Dev
6.86%	14.85%	11.88%	6.93%	9.9%	10.09%	3.04%

Summary: LogisticRegression with Digits

Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	Std Dev
2.5%	2.22%	2.23%	4.46%	3.06%	2.89%	0.84%