

1.

$$\begin{aligned}f_x &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 2x^2 y^2 e^{x^2} + y^2 e^{x^2}; & f_y &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 2xy e^{x^2} \\f_{yx} &= \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(f_y) = 4x^2 y e^{x^2} + 2y e^{x^2} \\f_{xy} &= \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(f_x) = 4x^2 y e^{x^2} + 2y e^{x^2}.\end{aligned}$$

We notice that  $f_{xy} = f_{yx}$ , as predicted by Clairaut's Theorem (Here, as required by Clairaut, the functions  $f_{xy}$  and  $f_{yx}$  exist and are continuous.)

2. Consider  $P(a, b)$ .

(a) Keep  $y = b$  constant and allow  $x$  to vary around  $a$ . Then the function  $g_1(x) = f(x, b)$  is seen to increase, showing that  $g'_1(a) = f_x(a, b) > 0$ .

(b) Keep  $x = a$  constant and allow  $y$  to vary around  $b$ . The function  $g_2(y) = f(a, y)$  is seen to satisfy  $g_2(b+h) - g_2(b) = f(a, b+h) - f(a, b) \geq 0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0^+$ , showing that  $g'_2(b) = f_y(a, b) \geq 0$ . On the other hand  $g_2(b-h) - g_2(b) \geq 0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0^+$ , showing that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g_2(b-h) - g_2(b)}{-h} = g'_2(b) = f_y(a, b) \leq 0$ . We conclude that  $f_y(a, b) = 0$ . (Note that we assumed that  $f_y(a, b) = g'_2(b)$  does exist.)

(c) Fixing  $y = b$  and allowing  $x$  to vary near  $a$ , we see that to the right of  $P$  the level curves are closer together than to the left of  $P$ , suggesting that  $f_x$  increases in the positive direction with respect to  $x$ , and thus  $f_{xx} = (f_x)_x$  is positive at  $P$ .

(d) An analog argument as in (c) shows that  $f_y$  is increasing faster with respect to  $y$  in the positive direction above  $P$  than below  $P$ , so  $f_{yy} = (f_y)_y$  is positive at  $P$ .

(e)  $f_{xy} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(f_x)$ , so  $f_{xy}$  represents the rate of change of  $f_x$  as  $y$  increases. The level curves are closer together in the  $x$ -direction at points above  $P$  than below  $P$ . This shows that  $f$  increases faster with respect to  $x$  for  $y$ -values above  $P$  than below  $P$ . As a result  $f_x$  increases while moving through  $P$  in the positive  $y$ -direction, and so  $f_{xy} = f_{yx}$  is positive at  $P$ .

3. (a) The quantity

$$f_T(-20, 40) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(-20+h, 40) - f(-20, 40)}{h}$$

can be approximated ( $h = 5$  respectively  $h = -5$ ) as:

$$\begin{aligned}f_T(-20, 40) &\approx \frac{f(-15, 40) - f(-20, 40)}{5} = \frac{-27 - (-34)}{5} = \frac{7}{5}, \\f_T(-20, 40) &\approx \frac{f(-25, 40) - f(-20, 40)}{-5} = \frac{-41 - (-34)}{-5} = \frac{7}{5}.\end{aligned}$$

Averaging these values, we obtain  $f_T(-20, 40) \approx \frac{7}{5}$ .

Similarly,

$$f_v(-20, 40) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(-20, 40 + h) - f(-20, 40)}{h}$$

can be approximated (considering  $h = 10$  respectively  $h = -10$ ) as:

$$\begin{aligned} f_v(-20, 40) &\approx \frac{f(-20, 50) - f(-20, 40)}{10} = \frac{-35 - (-34)}{10} = -\frac{1}{10}, \\ f_v(-20, 40) &\approx \frac{f(-20, 30) - f(-20, 40)}{-10} = \frac{-33 - (-34)}{-10} = -\frac{1}{10}. \end{aligned}$$

Averaging these values, we find  $f_v(-20, 40) \approx -\frac{1}{10}$ .

(b) The linear approximation of  $f$  at  $(-20, 40)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f(T, v) &\approx L(T, v) = f(-20, 40) + f_T(-20, 40)(T - (-20)) + f_v(-20, 40)(v - 40) \\ &\approx -34 + \frac{7}{5}(T + 20) - \frac{1}{10}(v - 40). \end{aligned}$$

$$(c) f(-22, 45) \approx L(-22, 45) \approx -34 + \frac{7}{5}(-22 + 20) - \frac{1}{10}(45 - 40) = -37.3.$$

4. (a) The domain of  $f$  is defined by  $1 - x^2 - y^2 \geq 0$ , or equivalently

$$D = \{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\},$$

which represents the closed disc of center  $(0, 0)$  and radius 1.

(b) The equality  $z = \sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} z \geq 0 \\ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1, \end{cases}$$

which represents the upper hemisphere of the sphere of center  $(0, 0, 0)$  and radius 1.

(c) Differentiating  $z = f(x, y)$  with respect to  $x$  and  $y$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} &= -\frac{x}{1 - \sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}}, & \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} &= -\frac{y}{\sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}} \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) &= -\frac{1/2}{\sqrt{1 - 1/4 - 1/4}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

So an equation of the tangent plane to the surface  $z = \sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2}$  at  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  is

$$\begin{aligned} z - f\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) &= f_x\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) + f_y\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(y - \frac{1}{2}\right), & \text{or equivalently} \\ z - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(y - \frac{1}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$x + y + z\sqrt{2} = 2. \quad (1)$$

(d) Equation (1) shows that a normal vector to the tangent plane is  $\vec{n} = \langle 1, 1, \sqrt{2} \rangle$ . The angle  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  between  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{n}$  is characterized by

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n}}{|\vec{v}| |\vec{n}|} = \frac{\langle 1/2, 1/2, 1/\sqrt{2} \rangle \cdot \langle 1, 1, \sqrt{2} \rangle}{1 \sqrt{1+1+2}} = \frac{2}{2} = 1,$$

showing that  $\theta = 0$ . (We could have directly noticed that the vectors  $\vec{n}$  and  $\vec{v}$  are proportional.)

5. (a)  $f_x(0,0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h,0) - f(0,0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt[3]{h}}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h}{h} = 1.$

(b) As in part (a) we find  $f_y(0,0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0,h) - f(0,0)}{h} = 1.$

Suppose that  $f$  is differentiable at  $(0,0)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta z &= f(\Delta x, \Delta y) - f(0,0) = \sqrt[3]{(\Delta x)^3 + (\Delta y)^3} = f_x(0,0)\Delta x + f_y(0,0)\Delta y + \varepsilon_1\Delta x + \varepsilon_2\Delta y \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon_1)\Delta x + (1 + \varepsilon_2)\Delta y, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$  and  $\Delta y \rightarrow 0$ . The particular choice  $y = x$  gives  $\Delta x = \Delta y$  and so

$$\sqrt[3]{2}\Delta x = (2 + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)\Delta x \quad \text{with } \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \Delta x \rightarrow 0.$$

This yields  $\sqrt[3]{2} = 1$ , which is impossible.

It remains that  $f$  cannot be differentiable at  $(0,0)$ .