HW10

1) a) Let x, y be maximum elements. I.e., Yz x \ Z & YZ y \ Z.

But in particular (Owlz=y) x zy & (Owlz=x) y zx.

By antisymmetry, x = y.  $\square$ b) Suppose to the contrary that x, y are maximal,  $x \neq y$ , & z is maximum.

Then since & is max'm, ZEX. Since x is maximal, Z/x, so Z=X.

So x=y, 2 0

c) Suppose to the contrary that there is a finite poset (P, X) with

a unique maximal element x, but x is not maximum.

Let  $Z = \{z \in P : z \mid |x|\}$ . Since x is max'l but not max'm,  $Z \neq \emptyset$ . [not max'm =>  $\exists z (z \geq x \vee z \mid |x|)$ ,  $z \geq x$  contradicts maximality of x]

By Wkshop 20 #1, the restriction of & to Z is a poset. ZEP implies Z is finite, so --- #2 says that Z has a maximal element z.

Since  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $z \parallel x$ .

Since x is the only max'l element of P, Z is NOT max'l in P; i.e., JWEP WYZ. Since Z is max'l in Z, W&Z, i.e. w/x.

Caseline x. This contradicts the maximality of x.

Case 2: WXX. Then ZXWXX, so ZXX, contradicting that ZEZ.

d) Take  $(I, \leq)$  and add a new element of that is incomparable to every element of Z. Then Y is the unique maximal element, but there is no maximum element.

The property of Z is the unique maximal element, Z is the unique maximal element.

- 2) a) reflexive, symmetric. Not transitive, e.g. 2R6 & 6R3 but 2 \$\frac{1}{2}\$.
  - b) reflexive, neither symmetric e.g. 2R6 but 6 \$2 transitive, nor antisymmetric e.g. 2R4 and 4R2, but 274

  - d) equivalence relation. [2] =  $\{2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, \dots\}$ [6] =  $\{6, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, \dots\}$
  - e) equivalence relation. [2] =  $\{2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, \dots\}$ [6] =  $\{6, 10, 12, 14, 15, 18, \dots\}$

