

1f) Eigenvalues + Eigenvectors.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \vec{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \vec{x}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \lambda = 1 \end{matrix} \leftarrow \text{eigenvector}$$

$$A \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ 14 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \neq \text{not a multiple of } \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ so } \underline{\text{Not}} \text{ an eigenvector.}$$

$$A \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \searrow \\ \lambda = 3 \end{matrix} \text{ — eigenvector.}$$

$$A \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \searrow \\ \lambda = 1 \end{matrix} \text{ eigenvector.}$$

We know  $\lambda = 1$  and  $3$  are eigenvalues

I'll let you create your own example.

$$2a) \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 13 \\ 1 & 2 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 3 & -2 & -3 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 4 & -3 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{rref's are } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$A$  &  $C$  are row equivalent because they are both row equivalent to the same matrix in rref.

Schams 4.22.

To see if column spaces are same, ~~switch~~ switch to rows.

$$A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 \\ 5 & 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \quad B^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 7 \\ 2 & -3 & -4 \\ 3 & 12 & 17 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rref of  $A^T$  gives a basis for column space of  $A$ .  
 The rref of  $B^T$  gives a basis for " " "  $B$ .  
 If these rref's are the same, the spaces are the same.

$$\text{RREF}(A^T) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{RREF}(B^T) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So they both have the same column space as they both have a basis  $\{(1, 0, 3), (0, 1, -2)\}$ .  $\square$

$$2f) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 & -12 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

↑ ↑  
pivot columns.

Thm 3.15. • Rank of  $A = 2$

Thm 3.16 • Since not every column is a pivot column, the columns are dependent.

3.20 • Basis is  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

coordinates are

Vector	coordinates
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$	$(1, 0)$
$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$	$(0, 1)$
$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$(-2, 1)$
$\begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$	$(-12, 8)$

3.20 Basis for row space is  $\{ (1, 0, -2, -12), (0, 1, 1, 8) \}$

vector	coordinates
$(1 \ 2 \ 0 \ 4)$	$(1 \ 2)$
$(2 \ 3 \ -1 \ 0)$	$(2 \ 3)$
$(3 \ 5 \ -1 \ 4)$	$(3 \ 5)$

3.19 Dimensions of both row + column space are 2.

You can now repeat with another matrix.

2i)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 8 & -12 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 & 16 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

cofactor along column 1

$$1 \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 5 & 16 \\ 0 & -3 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = (1)(2) \begin{vmatrix} -3 & -4 \\ 0 & 4 \end{vmatrix} \\ = (1)(2)(-3)(4) \\ = \boxed{-24}$$

product of diagonal entries

Thm 3.28

$$|A - \lambda I| = \begin{vmatrix} 1-\lambda & 8 & -12 & 0 \\ 0 & 2-\lambda & 5 & 16 \\ 0 & 0 & -3-\lambda & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4-\lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (1-\lambda) \begin{vmatrix} 2-\lambda & 5 & 16 \\ 0 & -3-\lambda & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = (1-\lambda)(2-\lambda) \begin{vmatrix} -3-\lambda & -4 \\ 0 & 4-\lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

Characteristic polynomial  $\Rightarrow (1-\lambda)(2-\lambda)(-3-\lambda)(4-\lambda)$

eigenvalues

$$\lambda = 1, 2, -3, 4$$

The #s on diagonals.

Thm 3.36

I'll let you repeat with another matrix



2.)  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   $|A| = 1 \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ -3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} - 2 \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 \end{vmatrix} + 0 \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 2 & -3 \end{vmatrix}$

notice  $\downarrow$  transpose  $\downarrow$

$$= 1((3)(1) - (-3)(4)) - 2((-1)(1) - (2)(4))$$

$$= 1(15) - 2(-9) = \boxed{33}$$

$$|A^T| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & -3 \\ 0 & 4 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1 \begin{vmatrix} 3 & -3 \\ 4 & 1 \end{vmatrix} - 2 \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 \end{vmatrix} + 0 \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 3 & -3 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= 1((3)(1) - (4)(-3)) - 2((-1)(1) - (4)(2))$$

$$= 1(15) - 2(-9) = \boxed{33}$$

Notice how the determinant of the transpose just involves lots of ~~very similar~~ smaller determinants of transposes. on 2 by 2's,  $\begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = ad - bc = \begin{vmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{vmatrix}$  so we use the fact that on 2 by 2's  $|A^T| = |A|$  to show  $|A^T| = |A|$  for all  $A$ .

Eigen values of  $A$   $\begin{vmatrix} 1-\lambda & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 3-\lambda & 4 \\ 2 & -3 & 1-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = (1-\lambda)((3-\lambda)(1-\lambda) - (-12))$

$$- 2((-1)(1-\lambda) - 2(4))$$

same

of  $A^T$   $\begin{vmatrix} 1-\lambda & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3-\lambda & -3 \\ 0 & 4 & 1-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = (1-\lambda)((3-\lambda)(1-\lambda) - (-12))$

$$- 2((-1)(1-\lambda) - (4)(2))$$

same.

Since characteristic polynomials are the same, eigen values are the same.

page 71 - I'm looking @ the negative of each line.

$$4b) A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

① We solve  $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 2 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} \left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

There are  $\infty$  many solutions

②  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  may have  $\infty$  many solutions or none at all  
if ref is  $\left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} 2 & 1 & 9 \\ 4 & 2 & 6 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$

③  $\text{ref}(A) \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  it equals  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

④ column 2 is not a pivot column

⑤ The columns are dependent + dimension is 1 (which is less than 2)

⑥  $\text{rank}(A) = 1 \neq 2$

⑦ rows are dependent: a basis for row space is  $\{(1, \frac{1}{2})\}$

⑧  $\text{ref} \left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$  so  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is not in column space of  $A$ .

(rather is  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .)

⑨ No inverse since  $\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 2 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \text{ sta } A^{-1}$

⑩  $|A| = 2 \cdot 2 - 4 \cdot 1 = 0$  It is zero.

⑪  $\begin{vmatrix} 2-\lambda & 1 \\ 4 & 2-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = (2-\lambda)^2 - 4 = \lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 4 - 4 = \lambda^2 - 4\lambda = (\lambda-4)(\lambda)$   
 $\lambda = 4, \boxed{0}$

Zero is an eigen value.

4.6 We are just defining new ways to add + scale vectors and then showing those new ways do not define vector spaces. The point is to be able to notice when something is not a vector space. You'll want to pay attention to the axioms on page 73 (def 3.17).

a) usual addition: change scalar mult to  $k(a,b) = (ka, b)$ .  
The problem is with scalar mult. so let's check the axioms.

The problem is with scalar multiplication.

$$\underline{\underline{M_1}} \quad c(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = c\vec{u} + c\vec{v}.$$

holds

$$\begin{aligned} & k((a,b) + (c,d)) \\ &= k(a+b, b+d) \\ &= (k(a+c), b+d) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & k(a,b) + k(c,d) \\ &= (ka, b) + (kc, d) \\ &= (k(a+c), b+d) \end{aligned}$$

same.

$$m_2 (c+d) \vec{u} = c\vec{u} + d\vec{u}$$

$$b \neq 2b$$

so  $m_2$  fails

$$\begin{aligned} & \cancel{m_2} (k_1 + k_2) (a, b) \\ &= ((k_1 + k_2)a, \underline{\underline{b}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & k_1(a, b) + k_2(a, b) \\ &= (k_1 a, b) + (k_2 a, b) \\ &= ((k_1 + k_2)a, \underline{\underline{2b}}) \end{aligned}$$

b)  ~~$(a,b)$~~   $(a,b) + (c,d) = (a,b)$  ← usual multiplication.  
ignore 2nd.  $(- = (\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2))$

will show addition is not commutative. ( $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \neq \vec{v} + \vec{u}$ )

show addition is not commutative.  $(u+v) \neq (v+u)$ .  
 ~~$(c,d) + (a,b) = (c,d)$~~   $(a,b)$  does not have to equal  $(c,d)$ .

c) usual addition  $k(a,b) = (k^2 a, k^2 b)$

c) usual addition

~~option 1~~ ~~option 2~~

other option.  $\frac{?}{(1-1)(a,b)} \stackrel{?}{=} 1(a,b) + (-1)(a,b)$

$\stackrel{?}{=} (a,b) + (-a,b)$

$\stackrel{?}{=} (0,0) \xrightarrow{\text{not equal.}} (2a, 2b)$

Does  $(k_1 + k_2)(a, b) = k_1(a, b) + k_2(a, b)$

$(1+2)(3, 4) \neq \cancel{(3, 4)} +$   
 $= (9, 3), (9, 4)$   
 $= (27, 36)$

$1(3, 4) + 2(3, 4)$   
 $= (3, 4) + (12, 16) = (15, 20)$

not the same.



4:13 To show something is a subspace, we must show

- ①  $\vec{0}$  belongs to the space
- ②  $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$  belongs to the space whenever  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{v}$  do
- ③  $c\vec{u} \in V$  whenever  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\vec{u} \in V$ .

a)  $W = \{(a, b, c) \mid a=b=c\} = \{(a, a, a) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\}$

Yes

$= \text{span} \{(1, 1, 1)\}$

If  $a=b=c=0$ , then

①  $(0, 0, 0) \in W$

②  $(a, a, a) + (b, b, b) = (\underbrace{a+b}_{\text{equal}}, \underbrace{a+b}_{\text{equal}}, \underbrace{a+b}_{\text{equal}})$   
So  $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in W$

③  $c(a, a, a) = (\underbrace{ca}_{\text{all are equal}}, \underbrace{ca}_{\text{all are equal}}, \underbrace{ca}_{\text{all are equal}})$  ✓

b)  $W = \{(a, b, c) \mid a+b+c=0\} = \{(a, b, -a-b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$

$c = -a-b$   
 $= \text{span} \{(1, 0, -1), (0, 1, -1)\}$

①  $(0, 0, 0) \in W$  because  $0+0+0=0$  ✓

② If  $(a_1, \vec{u}, c_1) \in W$  and  $(a_2, \vec{v}, c_2) \in W$   
then  $a_1 + b_1 + c_1 = 0$  and  $a_2 + b_2 + c_2 = 0$ .

The sum  $\vec{u} + \vec{v} = (a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2, c_1 + c_2)$  has sum  
 $(a_1 + a_2) + (b_1 + b_2) + (c_1 + c_2)$

$= (a_1 + b_1 + c_1) + (a_2 + b_2 + c_2)$

$= 0 + 0 = 0$  so  $\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in W$ .

③  $k(a_1, b_1, c_1) = (ka_1, kb_1, kc_1)$  and  $k(a_1 + b_1 + c_1) = k \cdot 0 = 0$   
so  $k\vec{u} \in W$ .

Alternatively, if you can show  $W$  is the span of a set of vectors, then it automatically is a subspace.  
The simplest way to show something is a subspace is to find a spanning set.



4.22) see 2a) solution.

---

~~5~~ 5,18) Schaum's solution is great. No need to  
ref any matrices because there are only 2 vectors.  
for c) and d)

c)  $(1, 2, -3, 4)$  and  $(2, 4, -6, 8)$  are multiples  
so dependent

d)  $(1, -2, 0, 3)$  and  $(0, 1, -5, 3)$  are not multiples  
so independent.

---

6.6 First we ~~also~~ rewrite each matrix in the basis  
as ~~also~~ its coordinates relative to  $\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & -7 \end{bmatrix} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1, 1, 1, 1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0, -1, 1, 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1, -1, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1, 0, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 2, 3, 4, -7 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

We now put each coordinate vector in a column & then reduce.

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -7 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} & & & & -7 \\ & & & & 11 \\ & & & & -21 \\ & & & & 30 \end{array} \right]$$

So relative to new basis given, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & -7 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with coordinates } \begin{bmatrix} -7, 11, -21, 30 \end{bmatrix}_B.$$

$$\text{which means } \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & -7 \end{bmatrix} = -7 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + 11 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - 21 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + 30 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

6.9)

If we use the basis  $\{t^3, t^2, t, 1\}$  then the coordinates are

$$(u_1)_B = (1, 3, -2, 4), (u_2) = (2, 7, -2, 5), (u_3) = (1, 5, 2, -2)$$

Schaum's places these in rows + reduces, finding the rank is 2.

Alternatively, place them in columns

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 7 & 5 \\ -2 & -2 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

dependent  
column 3 is  
not a pivot  
column.

This again shows the rank is 2, and the third vector is a linear combination of the first 2.

Notice that because of our column vectors

we know  $u_3 = -3u_1 + 2u_2$ , whereas using the row approach in Schaum's does not help us see how the 3 vectors are related.

The row approach only shows us that the vectors are dependent, not how they depend on each other.