Continuous Development Models for Greenup Phenology

Bijan Seyednasrollah http://bnasr.github.io/ bijan.s.nasr@gmail.com 4/28/2019

This document explains how the two-stage and three-stage phenology models are set up and structured.

Two-Stage Phenology Model

The two-stage model is based on only a single transition point: *Onset*. And therefore, the two stages are 1) pre-onset and 2) post-onset. Here, I explain the theoretical framework for a Hierarchical Bayesian model that can simulate the process.

We first define a latent development state where the greenup continuously develops:

$$h_{p,y,d+1} = h_{p,y,d} + \delta h_{p,y,d} \tag{1}$$

where $h_{p,y,d}$ is the latent state for pixel p, in year y and day d. $\delta h_{p,y,d}$ is the latent state increment. We use a exponential increment function that

$$\delta h_{p,y,d} = e^{X_{p,y,d}\beta + \epsilon} (1 - h_{p,y,d}/h_{max}) [T_{p,y,d} - T_{base}]$$
(2)

where $X_{p,y,d}$ is the matrix of predictors and ϵ is the process error, defined as:

$$\epsilon \sim Normal(0, \sigma^2)$$
 (3)

We set $h_{p,y,1} = 0$, and $h_{max} = 1$. To link the discrete observations (pre-onset/post-onset or True/False) to the continuous scale that the development happens, we use a logit transformation:

$$logit(P_{p,y,d}) = \kappa + \lambda * h_{p,y,d}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where κ and λ are the intercept and slope of the transformation and $P_{p,y,d}$ is the probability that the onset occurs at pixel P in year y and day d. $P_{p,y,d}$ has the Bernoulli distribution:

$$Y_{p,y,d} \sim Bernoulli(P_{p,y,d})$$
 (5)

where $Y_{p,y,d}$ is the observed phenological stage for pixel p in year y and day d.

Three-Stage Phenology Model

The three-stage model is based on two transition points: *Onset* and *Maturity*. And therefore, the three stages are 1) pre-greenup and 2) greenup and 3) post-greenup. Here, I explain the theoretical framework for a Hierarchical Bayesian model that can simulate the process.

The process level of the three-stage model is the same as the two-stage phenology model. However the link function level would be slightly different

Similar to two-stage model, the latent states are defined as:

$$h_{p,y,d+1} = h_{p,y,d} + \delta h_{p,y,d} \tag{6}$$

$$\delta h_{p,y,d} = e^{X_{p,y,d}\beta + \epsilon} (1 - h_{p,y,d}/h_{max}) [T_{p,y,d} - T_{base}]$$
(7)

$$\epsilon \sim Normal(0, \sigma^2)$$
 (8)

$$h_{p,y,1} = 0 (9)$$

$$h_{max} = 1 (10)$$

Since the latent state continuously increases over different phenology stages, we define $\theta_{p,y,d,m}$ as the probability that pixel p is in stage m in year y and day d, while $P_{p,y,d,m}$ is the the probability that pixel p is in any of stage 1 to m in year y and day d. The cumulative probability accounts for the compatibility with the development function.

The observations and the latent states are linked with the Multinomial distribution:

$$Y_{p,u,d} \sim Multinomial(1, \Theta_{p,u,d})$$
 (11)

where $\Theta_{p,y,d}$ is the probability that pixel p in year y and day d is in any of the stages 1 to M (in this case M=3) and

$$\theta_{p,y,d,1} = P_{p,y,d,1} \tag{12}$$

$$\theta_{p,y,d,2} = P_{p,y,d,2} - P_{p,y,d,1} \tag{13}$$

$$\theta_{p,y,d,3} = 1 - P_{p,y,d,2} \tag{14}$$

To link the discrete observations (pre-onset/post-onset or True/False) to the continuous scale that the development happens, we use a logit transformation:

$$logit(P_{p,u,d,1}) = \kappa_1 + \lambda_1 * h_{p,u,d}$$

$$\tag{15}$$

$$logit(P_{p,y,d,2}) = \kappa_2 + \lambda_2 * h_{p,y,d}$$
(16)

$$P_{p,u,d,3} = 1 (17)$$

where κ_m and λ_m are the intercept and slope of the transformation for stage m.