Exploratory Data Analysis

This notebook contains the exploratory data analysis aimed at predicting technology access among low-income Seattle residents. The EDA will investigate three data frames, each comprising data points for my target variable.

In [1]:
```
# Import necessary libraries
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
%matplotlib inline
import statistics

# Libraries for data visualization
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns

# Libraries for model building and evaluation
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler


# Import necessary functions and classes from sklearn
from sklearn.dummy import DummyClassifier
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split, GridSearchCV # For splitti
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression # For logistic regression mo
from imblearn.over_sampling import SMOTE
from sklearn.metrics import plot_confusion_matrix, classification_report, accura
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier, GradientBoostingClassifier

%run '/Users/brittneynitta-lee/Desktop/Data_Science/Phase_5/Capstone_Project/Tec
```

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 4315 entries, 0 to 4314
Columns: 479 entries, ID to no_response
dtypes: float64(251), int64(219), object(9)
memory usage: 15.8+ MB
The respondent IDs are not the same in both dataframes.
```

New CSV Import

In order to refine the survey questions I wish to concentrate on, I have generated a fresh CSV file that encompasses the essential characteristics.

In [2]:
```
# Create new dataframe import csv file
new_technology_df = pd.read_csv("edited_Technology_Access_and_Adoption_Survey_20
```

In [3]:
```
new_technology_df
```

Out[3]:

	ID	samplegroup	qzip	q1	q2a_1	q2a_2	q2a_3	q2a_4	q2a_5	q2a_6	...	POV135
0	2858	1	98125	1	1	2	3	4	0	0	...	0
1	2859	4	98101	1	2	3	4	0	0	0	...	0
2	2860	1	98144	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	...	0

	ID	samplegroup	qzip	q1	q2a_1	q2a_2	q2a_3	q2a_4	q2a_5	q2a_6	...	POV135
3	2861	4	98199	1	2	3	4	6	0	0	...	0
4	2862	3	98112	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	...	1
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
4310	90	1	98122	1	1	2	3	4	5	0	...	0
4311	23	1	98103	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	...	0
4312	24	1	98122	1	1	2	3	4	5	6	...	0
4313	2910	3	98199	2	0	2	3	0	0	0	...	0
4314	2911	1	98103	1	2	3	4	5	0	0	...	0

4315 rows × 85 columns

With 85 columns and 4315 rows, the new dataset is more manageable, and I will proceed with the exploratory data analysis using this updated dataframe. The dataset is narrowed down to 11 survey questions rather than 38 questions. The new questions from the survey include internet access, devices, ways individuals use internet and demographic information about the respondents.

In [4]:
```
# Set the figure size
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))

# Create a scatter plot of q1 and INCOME columns
plt.scatter(new_technology_df['q1'], new_technology_df['INCOME'])

# Add labels and title
plt.xlabel('q1')
plt.ylabel('INCOME')
plt.title('Scatter plot of Internet Access and Household Income')

# Show the plot
plt.show()
```



In [5]:
```
# Calculate the correlation coefficient between q1 and INCOME columns
correlation_coefficient = new_technology_df['q1'].corr(new_technology_df['INCOME

# Print the correlation coefficient
print(f"The correlation coefficient between q1 and INCOME is: {correlation_coeff
```

```
The correlation coefficient between q1 and INCOME is: -0.21239528669280353
```

The correlation coefficient between `q1` and `INCOME` is -0.2124. This shows a weak correlation between wether or not a household has internet access and a household's income. There's no relationship between internet access and household income.

Low Income Data

Once I had gone through the dataset and identified low-income households, I generated a final dataframe that only included households with an income below $74,999. Since the survey offered multiple ways of identifying low-income households, I decided to concentrate on a specific column that provided a straightforward indication of household income for the purposes of my modeling.

In [6]:
```
# create new dataframe for those who selected household income below $90,000
# include only values 0-10 in the q29 column
low_income_data = new_technology_df[new_technology_df['q29'].between(0, 10)]
```

In [7]:
```
low_income_data
```

Out[7]:

	ID	samplegroup	qzip	q1	q2a_1	q2a_2	q2a_3	q2a_4	q2a_5	q2a_6	...	POV135
0	2858	1	98125	1	1	2	3	4	0	0	...	0
1	2859	4	98101	1	2	3	4	0	0	0	...	0

	ID	samplegroup	qzip	q1	q2a_1	q2a_2	q2a_3	q2a_4	q2a_5	q2a_6	...	POV135
2	2860	1	98144	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	...	0
4	2862	3	98112	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	...	1
7	2865	2	98104	1	1	2	3	0	0	0	...	0
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
4295	66	1	98109	1	1	2	3	4	0	0	...	0
4297	150	3	98105	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	...	0
4301	5	2	98108	1	1	2	3	0	0	0	...	0
4305	11	1	98121	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	...	0
4309	89	1	98115	1	1	2	3	0	0	0	...	0

1654 rows × 85 columns

In [8]:
```
# Set the figure size
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))


# Create a histogram plot of the INCOME column in the low_income_data dataframe
plt.hist(low_income_data['INCOME'])

# Add labels and title
plt.xlabel('Income')
plt.ylabel('Frequency')
plt.title('Distribution of Income for Low Income Data')

# Show the plot
plt.show()
```



Income Ranges

- 0 - No response
- 1 - Below $25,000
- 2 - $25,000 - \$49,000
- 3 - $50,000 - \$74,999

According to the distribution of income, most households earn below $25,000. The $low_{\in}come_{d}ata$ frame includes households with incomes between \$50,000 to $74,999 as they fall within the low-income category. The upper limit for this category is set at \$74,999 as the next income range of $75,000 to \$99,999 is not considered low-income by the City of Seattle low-income limits.

Missing Values

In [9]:
```
# find missing values in all_data
missing_values = low_income_data.isnull().sum()

# print the number of missing values for each column
print(missing_values)
```

```
ID                0
samplegroup       0
qzip              0
q1                0
q2a_1             0
                 ...
```

```
Age_range2       303
Age_range3       303
Age2_range2     1127
Age2_range3     1127
INCOME             0
Length: 85, dtype: int64
```

There's a lot of missing values in the Age_range columns, I could assume that individuals did not respond so I will drop that column.

In [10]:
```
low_income_data = low_income_data.drop(['Age_range2', 'Age_range3', 'Age2_range2
```

In [11]:
```
# find missing values in all_data
missing_values = low_income_data.isnull().sum()

# print the number of missing values for each column
print(missing_values)
```

```
ID              0
samplegroup     0
qzip            0
q1              0
q2a_1           0
               ..
POV400          0
ethnicity       0
q6R             0
q20R            0
INCOME          0
Length: 81, dtype: int64
```

In [12]:
```
# check if there are any NaN values in the DataFrame
print(low_income_data.isna().any().any())
```

```
True
```

In [13]:
```
# replace all NaN values with 0
low_income_data = low_income_data.fillna(0)

# check for NaN values in the DataFrame
print(low_income_data.isna().sum())
```

```
ID              0
samplegroup     0
qzip            0
q1              0
q2a_1           0
               ..
POV400          0
ethnicity       0
q6R             0
q20R            0
INCOME          0
Length: 81, dtype: int64
```

In [14]:
```
# check if there are any NaN values in the DataFrame
print(low_income_data.isna().any().any())
```

```
False
```

Great, there's no missing values so I can move on to creating visualizations.

Visualizations

In [15]:
```
# Count the number of households who have internet access
num_internet = len(low_income_data[low_income_data['q1'] == 1])

# Count the number of households who do not have internet access
num_internet_no = len(low_income_data[low_income_data['q1'] == 2])

# Print the result of people who got the seasonal flu vaccine
print("Number of people who have internet access:", num_internet)

# Print the result of people who did not get the seasonal flu vaccine
print("Number of people who did not have internet access:", num_internet_no)
```

```
Number of people who have internet access: 1483
Number of people who did not have internet access: 171
```

In [16]:
```
# Create a pandas DataFrame with the counts
df = pd.DataFrame({'Internet Access': [num_internet], 'No Internet Access': [num

# Create a bar graph
ax = df.plot.bar(rot=0, figsize=(8,6))

# Set the title and axis labels
ax.set_title("Internet Access in Low-Income Households")
ax.set_xlabel("Internet Access")
ax.set_ylabel("Number of Households")

# Show the plot
plt.show()
```



There are 1483 households with internet access and 171 households without internet access. While the number of households with internet access is relatively low.

Class Labels

The survey includes a question labeled as `q1` which asks whether the household has internet access at their current place of residence. The response is encoded below:

- 0 - No response
- 1 - Yes
- 2 - No

To evaluate the performance of the machine learning models to predict negative and positive cases, I will change class 0 to no, I don't have internet access in my home and class 1 to yes, there's internet access in my home. By changing the class labels, this will be easier to interpret.

In [17]:
```
low_income_data['q1'].value_counts()
```

Out[17]:
```
1    1483
2     171
Name: q1, dtype: int64
```

In [18]:
```
# Replace the value of 2 with 0 in the q1 column of the low_income_data datafram
low_income_data.loc[low_income_data['q1'] == 2, 'q1'] = 0
```

In [19]:
```
low_income_data['q1'].value_counts()
```

Out[19]:
```
1    1483
0     171
Name: q1, dtype: int64
```

Features and target dataframe

I'm going to split the `low_income_data` dataset into two dataframes called `features` and `target` . This will help with my visualizations.

In [20]:
```
# select all columns except 'q1' and store them in features dataframe
features = low_income_data.loc[:, low_income_data.columns != 'q1']

# select only the 'q1' column and store it in target dataframe
target = low_income_data['q1']
```

In [21]:
```
features
```

Out[21]:

	ID	samplegroup	qzip	q2a_1	q2a_2	q2a_3	q2a_4	q2a_5	q2a_6	q2a_7	...	q16c_
0	2858	1	98125	1	2	3	4	0	0	0.0	...	
1	2859	4	98101	2	3	4	0	0	0	0.0	...	
2	2860	1	98144	0	2	3	0	0	0	0.0	...	
4	2862	3	98112	0	2	3	0	0	0	0.0	...	
7	2865	2	98104	1	2	3	0	0	0	0.0	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
4295	66	1	98109	1	2	3	4	0	0	0.0	...	

	ID	samplegroup	qzip	q2a_1	q2a_2	q2a_3	q2a_4	q2a_5	q2a_6	q2a_7	...	q16c_
4297	150	3	98105	0	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	...	
4301	5	2	98108	1	2	3	0	0	0	0.0	...	
4305	11	1	98121	1	0	3	0	0	0	0.0	...	
4309	89	1	98115	1	2	3	0	0	0	0.0	...	

1654 rows × 80 columns

In [22]:
```
target
```

Out[22]:
```
0       1
1       1
2       1
4       1
7       1
       ..
4295    1
4297    1
4301    1
4305    1
4309    1
Name: q1, Length: 1654, dtype: int64
```

Standard Scaler and Train Test Split

In [23]:
```
X = low_income_data.drop('q1', axis=1)
y = low_income_data['q1']

x_train, x_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2, random_
```

In [24]:
```
scaler = StandardScaler()
```

In [25]:
```
# Fit the scaler on the training data and transform it
X_train_norm = scaler.fit_transform(x_train)

# Transform the test data using the scaler fitted on the training data
X_test_norm = scaler.transform(x_test)
```

Baseline Model

For the baseline model, I chose to use logistic regression because the goal is to classify households in two classes: those who do not have internet access. Logistic regression is also a great baseline model for binary classification problems.

SMOTE

SMOTE (Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique) is a technique for oversampling imbalanced datasets. Due to having an imbalance dataset of those who have internet and those

who do not, I will utilize SMOTE (Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique), which is a technique for oversampling imbalanced datasets. This can help with better representation, reduced bias and no loss of information.

In [26]:
```
# create instance of SMOTE class with specified random_state parameter
smote = SMOTE(random_state=42)
# fit SMOTE with normalized training data
X_train_resampled, y_train_resampled = smote.fit_resample(X_train_norm, y_train)
```

In [27]:
```
# train the baseline model on the resampled training set
baseline_model = LogisticRegression()
baseline_model.fit(X_train_resampled, y_train_resampled)

# make predictions on the training and test sets
y_train_pred = baseline_model.predict(X_train_resampled)
y_test_pred = baseline_model.predict(X_test_norm)


# print the classification report for the training set
print("Training Report Matrix")
print(classification_report(y_train_resampled, y_train_pred))

# print the classification report for the test set
print("Test Report Matrix")
print(classification_report(y_test, y_test_pred))
```

```
Training Report Matrix
              precision    recall  f1-score   support

           0       0.99      1.00      0.99      1190
           1       1.00      0.99      0.99      1190

    accuracy                           0.99      2380
   macro avg       1.00      0.99      0.99      2380
weighted avg       1.00      0.99      0.99      2380

Test Report Matrix
              precision    recall  f1-score   support

           0       0.87      0.89      0.88        38
           1       0.99      0.98      0.98       293

    accuracy                           0.97       331
   macro avg       0.93      0.94      0.93       331
weighted avg       0.97      0.97      0.97       331
```

The baseline model performs well on both the training and test datasets. Class 0 are households who do have internet access and class 1 are households who do not have internet access.

The Training Report Matrix shows Class 0 has precision and recall of 0.99 and 1.00, while Class 1 has a perfect precision and recall of 0.99.

The Test Report Matrix shows the same metrics for both classes on the test dataset. Class 0 has a precision of 0.99 and a recall of 0.98, while class 0 has a precision of 0.87 and a recall of 0.89. The lower performance for class 0 may indicate that the model is struggling to accurately

predict this class due to a smaller number of samples or class imbalance in the test dataset. The macro avg and weighted avg f1-score are slightly lower at 0.93, indicating good performance.

To evaluate my machine learning models, I will be precision, recall, F1-score and accuracy.

Conclusion

After performing exploratory data analysis, the initial dataframe was reduced to 80 relevant columns for predicting internet access among low-income households in Seattle. The low-income category includes households with an income below $74,999, and the distribution analysis revealed that most households have an income below \$25,000.

The initial visualiation shows 1483 households has internet access and 171 households do not have internet access. To address the class imbalance, I used SMOTE to oversample the imbalanced datasets. This will help to improve the performance of the machine learning models in predicting those who do not have internet access.