Homework 1

Benjamin Noland

1. (Boyd & Vandenberghe, Exercise 2.2) Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex set, and let $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a line. Then L is also a convex set. Since set intersection preserves convexity, $L \cap C$ is therefore a convex set.

Conversely, let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an arbitrary set, and suppose that for every line $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $L \cap C$ is convex. Let $x_1, x_2 \in C$ and $0 \le \theta \le 1$. Let

$$L = \{\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2 : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Then $L \cap C$ is convex by assumption. Thus, since $x_1, x_2 \in L \cap C$, $\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2 \in L \cap C$, and so $\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2 \in C$ in particular. Thus C is convex.

The corresponding result for affine sets requires a short lemma: if $S_1, S_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ are affine sets, then $S_1 \cap S_2$ is affine. To prove this, let $x_1, x_2 \in S_1 \cap S_2$, and let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $x_1, x_2 \in S_i$ (i = 1, 2) in particular. Thus, since each of the sets S_1 and S_2 are affine, $\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2 \in S_i$ (i = 1, 2). Thus $\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2 \in S_1 \cap S_2$, so that $S_1 \cap S_2$ is affine.

Now for the main result. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an affine set, and let $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a line. Then L is also an affine set. Thus $L \cap C$ is affine by the lemma above.

Conversely, let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an arbitrary set, and suppose that for every line $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $L \cap C$ is affine. Let $x_1, x_2 \in C$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$L = \{\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2 : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}\$$

Then $L \cap C$ is affine by assumption. Thus since $x_1, x_2 \in L \cap C$, $\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2 \in L \cap C$. In particular, $\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2 \in C$, so that C is affine.

2. (Boyd & Vandenberghe, Exercise 2.3) Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be closed and midpoint convex. Let $x_1, x_2 \in C$ and $0 \le \theta \le 1$. I claim that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set

$$P_n = \left\{ \frac{m}{2^n} x_1 + \left(1 - \frac{m}{2^n}\right) : m \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \le m \le 2^n \right\}$$

is contained in C. I will proceed by induction on n. When n = 1, we have

$$P_1 = \left\{ x_1, \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2), x_2 \right\} \subseteq C,$$

since $(x_1 + x_2)/2 \in C$ because C is midpoint convex. This establishes the base case. Now let n > 1 and suppose that $P_n \subseteq C$. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $0 \le k \le 2^{n+1}$. If k is of the form k = 2m for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \le m \le 2^n$, then

$$\frac{k}{2^{n+1}}x_1 + \left(1 - \frac{k}{2^{n+1}}\right)x_2 = \frac{m}{2^n}x_1 + \left(1 - \frac{m}{2^n}\right)x_2 \in P_n.$$

So suppose k is of the form k=2m+1 for some $m\in\mathbb{Z},\ 0\leq m<2^n$. Then by midpoint convexity of C,

$$C \ni \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{m}{2^n} x_1 + \left(1 - \frac{m}{2^n} \right) x_2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{m+1}{2^n} x_1 + \left(1 - \frac{m+1}{2^n} \right) x_2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{2m+1}{2^{n+1}} x_1 + \left(1 - \frac{2m+1}{2^{n+1}} \right) x_2$$

$$= \frac{k}{2^{n+1}} x_1 + \left(1 - \frac{k}{2^{n+1}} \right) x_2.$$

Thus $P_{n+1} \subseteq C$. Hence $P_n \subseteq C$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction.

Next, define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in C as follows:

$$y_n = \frac{m}{2^n} x_1 + \left(1 - \frac{m}{2^n}\right) x_2$$
 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

where

$$m = \max \left\{ k \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 \le k < 2^n, \frac{k}{2^n} \le \theta \right\}.$$

Thus, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{m}{2^n} \le \theta \le \frac{m+1}{2^n},$$

or equivalently (by rearranging this inequality),

$$\left|\theta - \frac{m}{2^n}\right| \le \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Now let $y = \theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2$. I claim that $y_n \to y$ as $n \to \infty$. We have

$$||y_n - y||_2 = \left\| \left[\frac{m}{2^n} x_1 + \left(1 - \frac{m}{2^n} \right) x_2 \right] - \left[\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta) x_2 \right] \right\|_2$$

$$= \left\| \left(\frac{m}{2^n} - \theta \right) x_1 - \left(\frac{m}{2^n} - \theta \right) x_2 \right\|_2$$

$$= \left| \frac{m}{2^n} - \theta \right| ||x_1 - x_2||_2$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2^n} ||x_1 - x_2||_2 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Thus $||y_n - y||_2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, so that $y_n \to y$ as $n \to \infty$, as claimed. Thus, since C is closed, $y \in C$. This shows that C is convex.

- 3. (Boyd & Vandenberghe, Exercise 2.10)
 - (a) Let $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and define the line

$$L = \{x + \theta v : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

It suffices to show that $L \cap C$ is convex. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$(x+\theta v)^T A(x+\theta v) + b^T (x+\theta v) + c$$

= $x^T A x + 2\theta x^T A v + \theta^2 v^T A v + b^T x + \theta b^T v + c$
= $\alpha \theta^2 + \beta \theta + \gamma$,

where

$$\alpha = v^T A v$$

$$\beta = 2x^T A v + b^T v$$

$$\gamma = x^T A x + b^T x + c.$$

Thus we can write

$$L \cap C = \{x + \theta v : \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \theta^2 + \beta \theta + \gamma \le 0\}.$$

Next, define the set

$$S = \{ \theta \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha \theta^2 + \beta \theta + \gamma \le 0 \}.$$

Since $A \succeq 0$, $\alpha = v^T A v \geq 0$, and so $\alpha \theta^2 + \beta \theta + \gamma$ is a (possibly degenerate) upward-curving parabola. If the parabola has no real roots, then $S = \emptyset$, which is trivially convex. If $\alpha \neq 0$, then it has roots $\theta_1 \leq \theta_2$ (not necessarily distinct), and $S = [\theta_1, \theta_2]$, which is a convex set. If $\alpha = 0$ (i.e., the degenerate case), then S reduces to

$$S = \{ \theta \in \mathbb{R} : \beta \theta + \gamma \in (-\infty, 0] \},\$$

i.e., the preimage of the convex set $(-\infty, 0]$ under the affine function $\theta \mapsto \beta \theta + \gamma$, and thus is convex.

Finally, define an affine function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by $f(\theta) = x + \theta v$. Then we can write $L \cap C = f(S)$. This shows that $L \cap C$ is convex, and therefore C is convex.

The converse, however, does not hold. To see this, consider the set

$$C = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : -x^2 - 1 \le 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^2 + 1 \ge 0\} = \mathbb{R},$$

which is convex, but A = -1 < 0.

4. (Boyd & Vandenberghe, Exercise 2.12)

(a) A slab can be expressed as the intersection of two halfspaces:

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \alpha \le a^T x \le \beta\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a^T x \ge \alpha\} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a^T x \le \beta\}.$$

Thus, since halfspaces are convex and set intersection preserves convexity, a slab is convex.

(b) Let

$$R = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \alpha_i \le x_i \le \beta_i, 1 \le i \le n \}$$

denote a rectangle. Let $x, y \in R$ and $0 \le \theta \le 1$. Then for every $1 \le i \le n$,

$$\theta x_i + (1 - \theta)y_i \ge \theta \alpha_i + (1 - \theta)\alpha_i = \alpha_i$$

$$\theta x_i + (1 - \theta)y_i \le \theta \beta_i + (1 - \theta)\beta_i = \beta_i.$$

Thus $\theta x + (1 - \theta)y \in R$, so that R is convex.

(c) A wedge can be expressed as the intersection of two halfspaces:

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_1^T x \le b_1, a_2^T x \le b_2\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_1^T x \le b_1\} \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_2^T x \le b_2\}$$

Thus, since halfspaces are convex and set intersection preserves convexity, a wedge is convex.

(d) This set can be expressed as the intersection

$$C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x - x_0||_2 \le ||x - y||_2 \text{ for every } y \in S\} = \bigcap_{y \in S} C_y,$$

where for every $y \in S$, the set C_y is defined as

$$C_y = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x - x_0||_2 \le ||x - y||_2\}.$$

Let $y \in S$. Then $x \in C_y$ if and only if

$$(x - x_0)^T (x - x_0) \le (x - y)^T (x - y),$$

or equivalently (after some algebra),

$$(2y - x_0)^T x \le y^T y - x_0^T x_0.$$

Thus

$$C_y = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (2y - x_0)^T x \le y^T y - x_0^T x_0 \}.$$

If $2y - x_0 \neq 0$, then C_y is a halfspace, hence convex. If $2y - x_0 = 0$, then $x_0^T x_0 = 4y^T y$, and so $C_y = \emptyset$ if y = 0, and $C_y = \mathbb{R}$ if $y \neq 0$. In either case, C_y is convex

Therefore, since C_y is convex for every $y \in S$, the intersection $C = \bigcap_{y \in S} C_y$ is also convex.

5. (Boyd & Vandenberghe, Exercise 2.21) Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ denote the set in question. Let $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \in A$, and let $\theta_1, \theta_2 \geq 0$. Then

$$\theta_1(a_1, b_1) + \theta_2(a_2, b_2) = (\theta_1 a_1 + \theta_2 a_2, \theta_1 b_1 + \theta_2 b_2).$$

Thus, for every $x \in C$,

$$(\theta_1 a_1 + \theta_2 a_2)^T x = \theta_1 a_1^T x + \theta_2 a_2^T x \le \theta_1 b_1 + \theta_2 b_2,$$

and for every $x \in D$,

$$(\theta_1 a_1 + \theta_2 a_2)^T x = \theta_1 a_1^T x + \theta_2 a_2^T x \ge \theta_1 b_1 + \theta_2 b_2.$$

Thus $\theta_1(a_1, b_1) + \theta_2(a_2, b_2) \in A$, so that A is a convex cone. In particular, if there is no hyperplane separating C and D, then for every $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with

$$a^T x \le b$$
 for every $x \in C$
 $a^T x \ge b$ for every $x \in D$

we must have a=0, since otherwise $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a^Tx=b\}$ would be a hyperplane separating C and D. Hence $0 \le b$ and $0 \ge b$, so that b=0. It therefore follows that A is the singleton $A = \{0\}$ in this case.

6. (Convexity of $x \mapsto e^{ax}$ on \mathbb{R}) First, note that the domain \mathbb{R} is convex. In addition, since $x \mapsto e^{ax}$ is twice differentiable, we can compute

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}e^{ax} = a^2e^{ax} \ge 0 \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus $x \mapsto e^{ax}$ is convex.

7. (Concavity of $x \mapsto \log x$ on \mathbb{R}_{++}) First, note that the domain $\mathbb{R}_{++} = (0, \infty)$, being an interval in \mathbb{R} , is convex. In addition, since $x \mapsto \log x$ is twice differentiable, we can compute

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log x = -\frac{1}{x^2} \le 0 \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}.$$

Thus $x \mapsto \log x$ is concave.

8. (Convexity of $X \mapsto -\log \det X$ on S_{++}^n) Let $f: S^n \to \mathbb{R}$, with dom $f = S_{++}^n$, be defined by $f(X) = \log \det X$. Let L be a line with $L \cap S_{++}^n \neq \emptyset$, say

$$L = \{Z + tV : t \in \mathbb{R}\},\$$

where $Z, V \in S^n$. Let $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, with

$$dom g = L \cap S_{++}^{n} = \{ t \in \mathbb{R} : Z + tV \succ 0 \},\$$

denote the restriction of f to L; that is, g(t) = f(Z + tV). It suffices to show that g is a convex function. We can assume without loss of generality that $Z \succ 0$, since because dom $g \neq \emptyset$, we can always choose a basepoint $Z' = Z + t'V \in S_{++}^n$ for some $t' \in \text{dom } g$. Note that dom g is simply the preimage of S_{++}^n under the continuous affine function $t \mapsto Z + tV$. Thus, since S_{++}^n is an open subset of S^n , dom g is an open, convex subset of \mathbb{R} , hence an open interval.

Since $Z \succ 0$, Z has a unique matrix square root $Z^{1/2}$. Thus we can write

$$\begin{split} g(t) &= \log \det(Z + tV) \\ &= \log \det[Z^{1/2}(I + tZ^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2})Z^{1/2}] \\ &= \log[\det(Z^{1/2})\det(I + tZ^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2})\det(Z^{1/2})] \\ &= \log[\det(Z)\det(I + tZ^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2})] \\ &= \log \det Z + \log \det(I + tZ^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2}). \end{split}$$

Note that

$$\det(I + tZ^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2}) = t^n \det\left(\frac{1}{t} - (-Z^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2})\right)$$
$$= t^n \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{t} - (-\lambda_i)\right)$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + t\lambda_i),$$

where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of $Z^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2}$. Thus,

$$g(t) = \log \det Z + \log \det (I + tZ^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2})$$

$$= \log \det Z + \log \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + t\lambda_i)$$

$$= \log \det Z + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 + t\lambda_i).$$

Taking derivates, we find that

$$g'(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i}{1 + t\lambda_i}$$
 and $g''(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -\frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + t\lambda_i)^2} \le 0$

for every $t \in \text{dom } g$. Since dom g is open, we therefore conclude that g, and hence f, is concave. In particular, $-\log \det X$ is convex on S_{++}^n .

9. (Boyd & Vandenberghe, Exercise 3.11) Since f is convex and differentiable, we have

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x)$$

$$f(x) \ge f(y) + \nabla f(y)^T (x - y)$$

for every $x, y \in \text{dom } f$. Thus, negating both sides of the second inequality, we find

$$-f(x) \le -f(y) + \nabla f(y)^T (y - x),$$

and adding this to the first inequality above, we get

$$\nabla f(y)^T (y - x) \ge \nabla f(x)^T (y - x),$$

or equivalently,

$$(\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x))^T (y - x) \ge 0.$$

Therefore ∇f is monotone.

The converse, however, does not hold. As an example, consider the function ψ : $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1 + x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

$$x^{T} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} x = x_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} + x_{1}x_{2}$$
$$= x_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}x_{1}x_{2} + \frac{1}{2}x_{1}x_{2}$$
$$= x^{T} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} x \ge 0,$$

since the matrix in the last inequality is positive definite (this can be easily verified using Sylvester's criterion). It follows immediately that ψ is monotone, since for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$(\psi(x) - \psi(y))^T (x - y) = (x - y)^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (x - y) \ge 0.$$

But if we had $\psi = \nabla f$ for some differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, we would necessarily have

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = 1,$$

which is impossible.

- 10. (Boyd & Vandenberghe, Exercise 3.18)
 - (a) As in problem 8, let $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the restriction of f to the line

$$L = \{Z + tV : t \in \mathbb{R}\},\$$

where as before we can assume without loss of generality that $Z \succ 0$. Thus Z has a unique matrix square root $Z^{1/2}$. Furthermore, the matrix $Z^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2}$ is real

symmetric, and can therefore be written as $Z^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2} = Q^T\Lambda Q$, where Q is an orthogonal matrix and $\Lambda = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\}$, where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of $Z^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2}$. We can therefore write

$$\begin{split} g(t) &= f(Z+tV) \\ &= \text{Tr}[(Z+tV)^{-1}] \\ &= \text{Tr}[Z^{-1/2}(I+tZ^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2})^{-1}Z^{-1/2}] \\ &= \text{Tr}[Z^{-1}(I+tZ^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2})^{-1}] \\ &= \text{Tr}[Z^{-1}(I+tQ^T\Lambda Q)^{-1}] \\ &= \text{Tr}[Z^{-1}(Q^T+t\Lambda Q)^{-1}Q] \\ &= \text{Tr}[Z^{-1}Q^T(I+t\Lambda)^{-1}Q] \\ &= \text{Tr}[QZ^{-1}Q^T(I+t\Lambda)^{-1}] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n [QZ^{-1}Q^T]_{ii} \frac{1}{1+t\lambda_i}. \end{split}$$

Each of the functions $t \mapsto 1/(1+t\lambda_i)$ is convex on dom g, and it therefore follows that g itself is convex. Thus f is convex.

(b) As in problem 8, let $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the restriction of f to the line

$$L = \{ Z + tV : t \in \mathbb{R} \},$$

where as before we can assume without loss of generality that $Z \succ 0$. Thus Z has a unique matrix square root $Z^{1/2}$. We can therefore write

$$g(t) = f(Z + tV)$$

$$= [\det(Z + tV)]^{1/n}$$

$$= [\det[Z^{1/2}(I + tZ^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2})Z^{1/2}]]^{1/n}$$

$$= [\det Z \det(I + tZ^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2})]^{1/n}$$

$$= (\det Z)^{1/n} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + t\lambda_i)\right]^{1/n},$$

where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of $Z^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2}$. Since Z > 0, det Z > 0. In addition, the geometric mean function $x \mapsto (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{1/n}$ is concave on \mathbb{R}^n_{++} . It therefore follows that g is concave. Thus f is concave as well.