Homework 4

Benjamin Noland

1. (Cryer & Chan, Exercise 4.11) The process $\{Y_t\}$ is of the form

$$Y_t = \phi Y_{t-1} + e_t - \theta_1 e_{t-1} - \theta_2 e_{t-2},$$

with $\phi = 0.8$, $\theta_1 = -0.7$, and $\theta_2 = -0.6$. In particular, note that the process has AR characteristic polynomial $\phi(x) = 1 - 0.8x$, which has the single root x = 1.25 > 1. Thus the process is stationary.

First, we compute

$$E(e_t Y_t) = E[e_t(\phi Y_{t-1} + e_t - \theta_1 e_{t-1} - \theta_2 e_{t-2})] = E(e_t^2) = \sigma_e^2.$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}(e_{t-1}Y_t) &= \mathbf{E}[e_{t-1}(\phi Y_{t-1} + e_t - \theta_1 e_{t-1} - \theta_2 e_{t-2})] \\ &= \phi \mathbf{E}(e_{t-1}Y_{t-1}) - \theta_1 \mathbf{E}(e_{t-1}^2) \\ &= \phi \sigma_e^2 - \theta_1 \sigma_e^2 \\ &= (\phi - \theta_1) \sigma_e^2. \end{split}$$

Finally,

$$E(e_{t-2}Y_t) = E[e_{t-2}(\phi Y_{t-1} + e_t - \theta_1 e_{t-1} - \theta_2 e_{t-2})]$$

$$= \phi E(e_{t-2}Y_{t-1}) - \theta_2 E(e_{t-2}^2)$$

$$= \phi(\phi - \theta_1)\sigma_e^2 - \theta_2 \sigma_e^2$$

$$= [\phi(\phi - \theta_1) - \theta_2]\sigma_e^2.$$

Thus we can write the autocovariance function as

$$\gamma_{k} = \operatorname{Cov}(Y_{t}, Y_{t-k})
= \operatorname{E}[Y_{t-k}(\phi Y_{t-1} + e_{t} - \theta_{1} e_{t-1} - \theta_{2} e_{t-2})]
= \phi \operatorname{E}(Y_{t-k} Y_{t-1}) + \operatorname{E}(Y_{t-k} e_{t}) - \theta_{1} \operatorname{E}(Y_{t-k} e_{t-1}) - \theta_{2} \operatorname{E}(Y_{t-k} e_{t-2})
= \phi \gamma_{k-1} + \operatorname{E}(Y_{t-k} e_{t}) - \theta_{1} \operatorname{E}(Y_{t-k} e_{t-1}) - \theta_{2} \operatorname{E}(Y_{t-k} e_{t-2})$$

for any time t and lag k.

a. Let k > 2. Then the expression for γ_k above immediately gives us

$$\gamma_k = \phi \gamma_{k-1}$$

so that

$$\rho_k = \frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma_0} = \phi \rho_{k-1} = 0.8 \rho_{k-1}.$$

b. Again, using the expressions computed above, we get

$$\gamma_2 = \phi \gamma_1 - \theta_2 E(Y_{t-2}e_{t-2}) = \phi \gamma_1 - \theta_2 \sigma_e^2,$$

so that

$$\phi_2 = \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_0} = \phi \rho_1 - \frac{\theta_2 \sigma_e^2}{\gamma_0} = 0.8 \rho_1 + \frac{0.6 \sigma_e^2}{\gamma_0}.$$

- 2. (Cryer & Chan, Exercise 4.12)
 - a. Note that in general, an MA(2) process with parameters θ_1 and θ_2 has autocorrelation function ρ_k given by

$$\rho_{1} = \frac{-\theta_{1} + \theta_{1}\theta_{2}}{1 + \theta_{1}^{2} + \theta_{2}^{2}}$$

$$\rho_{2} = \frac{-\theta_{2}}{1 + \theta_{1}^{2} + \theta_{2}^{2}}$$

$$\rho_{k} = 0 \text{ for every } k > 2.$$

Thus we find that for both of the processes in question, $\rho_1 = -5/38$, $\rho_2 = -3/19$, and $\rho_k = 0$ for every k > 2. The two processes therefore have the same autocorrelation structure.

b. The process with $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = 1/6$ has MA characteristic polynomial $\theta(x) = 1 - (1/6)x - (1/6)x^2$, which has roots x = -3, 2. So this process is invertible. On the other hand, the process with $\theta_1 = -1$ and $\theta_2 = 6$ has MA characteristic polynomial $\theta(x) = 1 + x - 6x^2$, which has roots x = -1/3, 1/2, and so this second process is *not* invertible.

This is an example of the following result: there is only one set of parameter values $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_q$ that yield an invertible MA(q) process with a given autocorrelation function.

- 3. (Cryer & Chan, Exercise 4.21)
- 4.
- 5. (Cryer & Chan, Exercise 5.1)
- 6. (Cryer & Chan, Exercise 5.4)