

# Homework 2

Benjamin Noland

## Linear algebra example

Recall that row-equivalent matrices have the same rank. We have

$$P - I = \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/4 & -1/4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Row reduces to}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = R, \quad (1)$$

and therefore  $\text{rank}(P - I) = \text{rank}(R) = 1$ .

To find the subspace  $V$ , note that  $h$  solves  $Ph = h$  if and only if  $(P - I)h = 0$ , and that the row reduction (1) implies that this latter system has the same solutions as the system  $Rh = 0$ , which is easily seen to have the solution space

$$V = \left\{ x \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

To find the subspace  $W$ , note that  $\mu$  solves  $\mu P = \mu$  if and only if  $\mu(P - I) = 0$ , which is equivalent to the system  $(P - I)^t \mu^t = 0$ . Now,

$$(P - I)^t = \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & 1/4 \\ 1/2 & -1/4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Row reduces to}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = R,$$

and thus  $(P - I)^t \mu^t = 0$  has the same solutions as the system  $R\mu^t = 0$ , which is easily seen to have the solution space

$$W = \left\{ x \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

## Basic properties of Markov chains

### Exercise 1

For the first part of this problem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(ABCDE) &= P(E|ABCD)P(ABCD) \\ &= P(E|ABCD)P(D|ABC)P(ABC) \\ &= P(E|ABCD)P(D|ABC)P(C|AB)P(AB) \\ &= P(E|ABCD)P(D|ABC)P(C|AB)P(B|A)P(A) \end{aligned}$$

through basic properties of conditional probability.

As for the generalization to events  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ , I claim that

$$P(A_1 \cdots A_n) = P(A_n | A_{n-1} \cdots A_1) P(A_{n-1} | A_{n-2} \cdots A_1) \cdots P(A_2 | A_1) P(A_1). \quad (1)$$

To prove this, I will proceed by induction on  $n$ . When  $n = 1$  the result is trivial, so let  $n > 1$  and suppose that (1) holds for any events  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ . Let  $A_{n+1}$  be an arbitrary event. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_1 \cdots A_n A_{n+1}) &= P(A_{n+1} | A_n \cdots A_1) P(A_1 \cdots A_n) \\ &= P(A_{n+1} | A_n \cdots A_1) P(A_n | A_{n-1} \cdots A_1) P(A_{n-1} | A_{n-2} \cdots A_1) \cdots P(A_2 | A_1) P(A_1) \end{aligned}$$

by the induction hypothesis. Hence (1) holds for every  $n$  by way of induction.

## Exercise 2

By Exercise 1 and the Markov property,

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu\{X_t = x_t, X_{t-1} = x_{t-1}, \dots, X_0 = x_0\} &= P_\mu\{X_t = x_t | X_{t-1} = x_{t-1}, \dots, X_0 = x_0\} P_\mu\{X_{t-1} = x_{t-1} | X_{t-2} = x_{t-2}, \dots, X_0 = x_0\} \\ &\quad \times \cdots \times P_\mu\{X_1 = x_1 | X_0 = x_0\} P_\mu\{X_0 = x_0\} \\ &= P_\mu\{X_t = x_t | X_{t-1} = x_{t-1}\} P_\mu\{X_{t-1} = x_{t-1} | X_{t-2} = x_{t-2}\} \\ &\quad \times \cdots \times P_\mu\{X_1 = x_1 | X_0 = x_0\} P_\mu\{X_0 = x_0\} \\ &= P(x_{t-1}, x_t) P(x_{t-2}, x_{t-1}) \cdots P(x_0, x_1) \mu(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

## Exercise 3

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu\{f(X_0, \dots, X_k) = 1\} &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_\mu\{f(X_0, \dots, X_k) = 1 | X_0 = x\} P_\mu\{X_0 = x\} \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_x\{f(X_0, \dots, X_k) = 1\} \mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

## Exercise 4

$$P_\mu\{f(X_0, \dots, X_k) = 1\} = P_\mu\{(X_0, \dots, X_k) \in \mathcal{P}\} = \sum_{(x_0, \dots, x_k) \in \mathcal{P}} P_\mu\{X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_k = x_k\}$$

## Exercise 5

In order to clarify the manipulations that follow, I will define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= \{(x_0, \dots, x_t) \in \mathcal{X}^{t+1} : p(x_0, \dots, x_t) = 1\} \\ \mathcal{F} &= \{(x_t, \dots, x_{t+k}) \in \mathcal{X}^{k+1} : f(x_t, \dots, x_{t+k}) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
& P_\mu\{f(X_t, \dots, X_{t+k}) = 1 | X_t = x_t, p(X_0, \dots, X_t) = 1\} \\
&= P_\mu\{(X_t, \dots, X_{t+k}) \in \mathcal{F} | X_t = x_t, (X_0, \dots, X_t) \in \mathcal{P}\} \\
&= P_\mu\{(X_t, \dots, X_{t+k}) \in \mathcal{F} | X_t = x_t\} \\
&= P_\mu\{f(X_t, \dots, X_{t+k}) = 1 | X_t = x_t\}
\end{aligned}$$

by way of the Markov property. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\mu\{f(X_t, \dots, X_{t+k}) = 1 | X_t = x_t\} &= P_\mu\{f(X_0, \dots, X_k) = 1 | X_0 = x_t\} \\
&= P_{x_t}\{f(X_0, \dots, X_k) = 1\}
\end{aligned}$$

since forcing the chain to be in state  $x_t$  at time  $t$  has the same effect on the state of the chain at time  $t' > t$  that starting the chain in state  $x_t$  has on the state of the chain at time  $t' - t > 0$ .

## Exercise 6

By Exercise 5 and basic properties of conditional probability,

$$\begin{aligned}
& P_\mu\{f(X_t, \dots, X_{t+k}) = 1, p(X_0, \dots, X_t) = 1 | X_t = x_t\} \\
&= P_\mu\{f(X_t, \dots, X_{t+k}) = 1 | p(X_0, \dots, X_t) = 1, X_t = x_t\} P_\mu\{p(X_0, \dots, X_t) = 1 | X_t = x_t\} \\
&= P_\mu\{f(X_t, \dots, X_{t+k}) = 1 | X_t = x_t\} P_\mu\{p(X_0, \dots, X_t) = 1 | X_t = x_t\} \\
&= P_{x_t}\{f(X_0, \dots, X_k) = 1\} P_\mu\{p(X_0, \dots, X_t) = 1 | X_t = x_t\}.
\end{aligned}$$

## Exercise 7

In order to clarify the manipulations that follow, I will define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P} &= \{(x_0, \dots, x_t) \in \mathcal{X}^{t+1} : p(x_0, \dots, x_t) = 1\} \\
\mathcal{F} &= \{(x_{t+m}, \dots, x_{t+m+k}) \in \mathcal{X}^{k+1} : f(x_{t+m}, \dots, x_{t+m+k}) = 1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
& P_\mu\{f(X_{t+m}, \dots, X_{t+m+k}) = 1, p(X_0, \dots, X_t) = 1 | E\} \\
&= P_\mu\{f(X_{t+m}, \dots, X_{t+m+k}) = 1 | E \cap \{p(X_0, \dots, X_t) = 1\}\} P_\mu\{p(X_0, \dots, X_t) = 1 | E\} \\
&= P_\mu\{(X_{t+m}, \dots, X_{t+m+k}) \in \mathcal{F} | E \cap \{(X_0, \dots, X_t) \in \mathcal{P}\}\} P_\mu\{(X_0, \dots, X_t) \in \mathcal{P} | E\} \\
&= P_\mu\{(X_{t+m}, \dots, X_{t+m+k}) \in \mathcal{F} | E\} P_\mu\{(X_0, \dots, X_t) \in \mathcal{P} | E\} \\
&= P_\mu\{f(X_{t+m}, \dots, X_{t+m+k}) = 1 | E\} P_\mu\{p(X_0, \dots, X_t) = 1 | E\}
\end{aligned}$$

by way of the Markov property and basic properties of conditional probability. Moreover, the Markov property and the result of Exercise 5 imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
& P_\mu\{f(X_{t+m}, \dots, X_{t+m+k}) = 1 | E\} P_\mu\{p(X_0, \dots, X_t) = 1 | E\} \\
&= P_\mu\{(X_{t+m}, \dots, X_{t+m+k}) \in \mathcal{F} | X_{t+m} = x_{t+m}\} P_\mu\{(X_0, \dots, X_t) \in \mathcal{P} | X_t = x_t\} \\
&= P_\mu\{f(X_{t+m}, \dots, X_{t+m+k}) = 1 | X_{t+m} = x_{t+m}\} P_\mu\{p(X_0, \dots, X_t) = 1 | X_t = x_t\} \\
&= P_{x_{t+m}}\{f(X_0, \dots, X_k) = 1\} P_\mu\{p(X_0, \dots, X_t) = 1 | X_t = x_t\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the above equalities still hold if we condition on  $\{X_t = x_t, X_{t+m} = x_{t+m}\}$  rather than  $E$ . This is because, due to the Markov property, conditioning on  $E$  in the above is equivalent to conditioning on either  $X_t = x_t$  or  $X_{t+m} = x_{t+m}$  depending on context; specifically,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\mu\{(X_{t+m}, \dots, X_{t+m+k}) \in \mathcal{F} | E\} &= P_\mu\{(X_{t+m}, \dots, X_{t+m+k}) \in \mathcal{F} | X_{t+m} = x_{t+m}\} \\
P_\mu\{(X_0, \dots, X_t) \in \mathcal{P} | E\} &= P_\mu\{(X_0, \dots, X_t) \in \mathcal{P} | X_t = x_t\}.
\end{aligned}$$

## Problems from the book

### Exercise 1.2

Suppose  $G$  is connected. Let  $x, y \in V$ . Since  $G$  is connected there exists a sequence  $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k = y$  of vertices leading from  $x$  to  $y$ . In particular, the definition of the random walk on  $G$  implies that there is a positive probability of reaching  $y$  from  $x$  in precisely  $k$  steps. Hence  $P^k(x, y) > 0$ , so that the random walk is irreducible.

Conversely, suppose the random walk on  $G$  is irreducible. Let  $x, y \in V$ . Since the random walk is irreducible there exists an integer  $k \geq 0$  with  $P^k(x, y) > 0$ . The definition of the random walk on  $G$  therefore implies that it is possible to reach  $y$  from  $x$  in precisely  $k$  steps; that is, there exists a sequence  $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k = y$  of vertices leading from  $x$  to  $y$ . Hence  $G$  is connected.

### Exercise 1.6

Fix a state  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ , and for each  $1 \leq i \leq b-1$  define a set

$$C_i = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : P^{mb+i}(x_0, x) > 0 \text{ for some } m \geq 0\}.$$

I claim that the  $C_i$ 's form a partition of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then since the chain is irreducible there exists an integer  $t \geq 0$  with  $P^t(x_0, x) > 0$ . However,  $t = mb + i$  for some choice of integers  $m \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq i \leq b-1$ . Hence  $x \in C_i$ . Now suppose  $x \in C_j$  as well for some other index  $0 \leq j \leq b-1$ . Since the chain is irreducible there exists an integer  $r \geq 0$  with  $P^r(x, x_0) > 0$ . Hence

$$P^{mb+i+r}(x_0, x_0) \geq P^{mb+i}(x_0, x) P^r(x, x_0) > 0.$$

Thus, since the chain has period  $b$ , we have that  $b$  divides  $(mb + i + r)$ , and hence divides  $(i + r)$ . Similarly,  $b$  divides  $(j + r)$ . If  $i \geq j$ , then  $b$  divides  $(i + r) - (j + r) = i - j \geq 0$ , and since  $0 \leq i, j \leq b - 1$ , we must have  $i - j = 0$ , so that  $i = j$ . If  $i \leq j$ , then we reach the same conclusion. Thus  $i = j$  in any case, so that  $x$  belongs to one and only one of the  $C_i$ 's. So the  $C_i$ 's partition  $\mathcal{X}$ , as claimed.

Now let  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  satisfy  $P(x, y) > 0$ . By the above argument,  $x \in C_i$  for some  $0 \leq i \leq b - 1$ . Thus  $P^{mb+i}(x_0, x) > 0$  for some integer  $m \geq 0$ , so that

$$P^{mb+(i+1)}(x_0, y) \geq P^{mb+i}(x_0, x)P(x, y) > 0.$$

Hence  $y \in C_{i+1}$  (where the addition  $(i + 1)$  is modulo  $b$ ).

## Exercise 1.7

Note that the stationary distribution  $\pi$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is given by

$$\pi(x) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|} \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

In particular,  $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$  for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ . Thus for any  $y \in \mathcal{X}$ , the symmetry of  $P$  implies that

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \pi(x)P(x, y) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \pi(y)P(y, x) = \pi(y) \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(y, x) = \pi(y),$$

so that  $\pi$  is stationary for  $P$ .

## Exercise 1.8

For any  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x)P^2(x, y) &= \pi(x) \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} P(x, z)P(z, y) = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} \pi(x)P(x, z)P(z, y) \\ &= \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} \pi(z)P(z, x)P(z, y) = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} \pi(z)P(z, y)P(z, x) \\ &= \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} \pi(y)P(y, z)P(z, x) = \pi(y) \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} P(y, z)P(z, x) \\ &= \pi(y)P^2(y, x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $P^2$  is also reversible with respect to  $\pi$ .

## Exercise 1.9

Let  $z \in \mathcal{X}$  be the starting state of the chain. For any  $y \in \mathcal{X}$ , define a random variable  $N_y$  to be the number of visits the chain makes to  $y$  before returning to  $z$ . Then the distribution  $\tilde{\pi}$  is defined by

$$\tilde{\pi}(y) = E_z(N_y) \quad \text{for any } y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

For any  $y \in \mathcal{X}$  and integer  $t \geq 0$ , define a random variable  $N_{y,t}$  to be the number of visits the chain makes to  $y$  at time  $t$  before returning to  $z$  (hence  $N_{y,t} = 0$  or  $N_{y,t} = 1$ ). Note that the condition  $N_{y,t} = 1$  is equivalent to the condition that the chain be in state  $y$  at time  $t$ , and that  $\tau_z^+ > t$ . Therefore,

$$E(N_{y,t}) = 0 \cdot P\{N_{y,t} = 0\} + 1 \cdot P\{N_{y,t} = 1\} = P\{N_{y,t} = 1\} = P\{X_t = y, \tau_z^+ > t\}.$$

Moreover, note that  $N_y = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} N_{y,t}$ . Thus, for any  $y \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\tilde{\pi}(y) = E_z(N_y) = E_z\left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} N_{y,t}\right) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} E_z(N_{y,t}) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} P\{X_t = y, \tau_z^+ > t\}.$$

### Exercise 1.11

First, note that if  $\pi$  is a stationary distribution for an irreducible Markov chain, then  $\pi(x) > 0$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . To see this, let  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Since  $\pi$  is a probability distribution, there exists a state  $z \in \mathcal{X}$  with  $\pi(z) > 0$ . Then since the chain is irreducible there exists an integer  $t \geq 0$  with  $P^t(z, x) > 0$ . Therefore, since  $\pi$  is stationary,

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{X}} \pi(y) P^t(y, x) \geq \pi(z) P^t(z, x) > 0.$$

In particular, this means that the ratio  $\pi_1(x)/\pi_2(x)$  is defined for any  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ . Thus, since the state space  $\mathcal{X}$  is finite, there exists a state  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  that minimizes the ratio  $\pi_1(x)/\pi_2(x)$ . The fact that  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are stationary for this chain implies that for any integer  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\pi_1(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{X}} \pi_1(y) P^t(y, x) \tag{1}$$

and

$$\pi_1(x) = \frac{\pi_1(x)}{\pi_2(x)} \pi_2(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{\pi_1(x)}{\pi_2(x)} \pi_2(y) P^t(y, x). \tag{2}$$

Subtracting (2) from (1), we get

$$\sum_{y \in \mathcal{X}} \left[ \pi_1(y) - \frac{\pi_1(x)}{\pi_2(x)} \pi_2(y) \right] P^t(y, x) = 0. \tag{3}$$

Note that for any  $y \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\frac{\pi_1(x)}{\pi_2(x)} \pi_2(y) \leq \frac{\pi_1(y)}{\pi_2(y)} \pi_2(y) = \pi_1(y)$$

since  $x$  minimizes the ratio  $\pi_1(x)/\pi_2(x)$  by construction. Thus each term in the sum (3) is zero, and hence for any  $y \in \mathcal{X}$  with  $P^t(y, x) > 0$ ,

$$\pi_1(y) = \frac{\pi_1(x)}{\pi_2(x)} \pi_2(y). \tag{4}$$

However, since the chain is irreducible, we can always choose  $t \geq 0$  such that  $P^t(y, x) > 0$  for a given state  $y \in \mathcal{X}$ , and hence by rearranging (4) we see that

$$\frac{\pi_1(y)}{\pi_2(y)} = \frac{\pi_1(x)}{\pi_2(x)} \quad \text{for any } y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

In particular,  $\pi_1(y)/\pi_2(y) = \pi_1(z)/\pi_2(z)$  for any  $y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ , and therefore,

$$1 = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{X}} \pi_1(y) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{\pi_1(z)}{\pi_2(z)} \pi_2(y) = \frac{\pi_1(z)}{\pi_2(z)},$$

so that  $\pi_1(z) = \pi_2(z)$ . Thus  $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ , so that the chain has a unique stationary distribution.