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# 

# Document Purpose

This document describes the testing outcomes for a single test phase of the solution for PeopleSoft FMS – BAU 22 May 2021 release- S2P.

# Recommendation

## Recommendation

Based on the results and findings of System Testing, it is recommended that PeopleSoft FMS – BAU 22 May 2021 release – S2P

Progresses to System Interface Testing (SIT).

## Comments

System testing for PeopleSoft FMS – BAU 22 May 2021 release – S2P has completed with no outstanding defects. The list of user stories covered in System Testing for this release are as follows.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| PTPS-926- Adhoc AML NR template ORG Unit issue.  PTPS-962-  Ariba Dashboard for EAs  PTPS-923- Fix Control-M Dependency AP transaction files to RSDS |  |
|  |  |

# Test Cases

## Test Cases vs Actuals

The number of planned vs actual Test Cases (TC) exiting the test phase.

| Related Scope Item | Application  OR  Subsystem | Automated Test Cases | Manual Test Cases | Reason for not using Automation | Start of Test Phase - Planned | Actual | Difference | Reason for Change |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PTPS-926 | PeopleSoft FMS | 0 | 3 | “ANZ ORG-Unit” field to be Verified in the AML Net Reveal Adhoc template Extract. | 3 | 3 | 0 |  |
| PTPS-962 | ARIBA | 0 | 5 | Ariba Dashboard Creation cannot be tested using PTF tool. | 5 | 5 | 0 |  |
| PTPS-923 | PeopleSoft FMS | 0 | 11 | As this Change is a Control-M Job , no need of considering the PTF Scripting in scope. | 11 | 11 | 0 |  |

## Test Cases Status Summary

The number of Test Scripts exercised at the time of exiting the test phase by status.

| Related Scope Item | Application OR  Subsystem | Passed | Failed | Blocked | Not Complete | No Run | N/A | Total No. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PTPS-926 | PeopleSoft FMS | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| PTPS-962 | ARIBA | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| PTPS-923 | PeopleSoft FMS | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |

## Failed Test Cases

All Test Cases failed during this phase of testing.

| Related Scope Item | Application  OR  Subsystem | Test Script ID/s | Associated Defect ID | Fix Application | Impact and Action Required  Level of impact and the effect it may have on remaining test phases, i.e. why it is acceptable to exit this phase with this position\* |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PTPS-926 | PeopleSoft FMS | - | - | - | No failed test cases when exiting ST |
| PTPS-962 | ARIBA | - | - | - | No failed test cases when exiting ST |
| PTPS-923 | PeopleSoft FMS | - | - | - | No failed test cases when exiting ST |

## 3.4 Test Cases Not Executed

The following table details all Test Cases that have not been executed fully (Not Run, Blocked, Not Complete or Deferred) during this phase of testing.

| Related Scope Item | Application  OR  Subsystem | Test Script ID/s | Status | Associated Defect ID | Fix Application | Impact and Action Required  Level of impact and the effect it may have on remaining test phases, i.e. why it is acceptable to exit this phase with this position\* |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PTPS-926 | PeopleSoft FMS | - | - | - | - | No remaining test cases |
| PTPS-962 | ARIBA | - | - | - | - | No remaining test cases |
| PTPS-923 | PeopleSoft FMS | - | - | - | - | No remaining test cases |

## Removed Test Cases

All Test Scripts that were removed from scope during this phase of testing.

| Related Scope Item | Application/s  *OR*  Subsystem | Test Script Name | Removal Reason  Brief description why it is outstanding | Impact and Action Required  Level of impact and the effect it may have on remaining test phases, i.e. why it is acceptable to exit this phase with this position |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PTPS-926 | PeopleSoft FMS | - | - | No test cases are removed |
| PTPS-962 | ARIBA | - | - | No test cases are removed |
| PTPS-923 | PeopleSoft FMS | - | - | No test cases are removed |

## Developed Code Unit Test Coverage

The following table details development line code coverage.

| Related Scope Item | Application/s  OR  Subsystem | Lines of code prior to start of change | **Lines of code at end of change** | **Code coverage % prior to start of change** | **Code coverage % at end of change** | Modified by  Brief description about the modifications |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PTPS-926 | PeopleSoft FMS | - | - | - | 100 | **Adhoc AML NR template ORG Unit issue :**  Adhoc Template ORG Unit-ANZ-VN drop down value not available for Vietnam.NetReveal team not able to load the values for Vietnam.  NetReveal team come up with latest template which ORG Unit provided ANZ-VN-INC and which need to incorporate in Peoplesoft side. |
| PTPS-962 | ARIBA | - | - | - | 100 | **Ariba** **Dashboard for EAs:**  EAs unable to see the invoices that they have submitted on behalf of the executives for checking the status  Current State: EA's create invoices on behalf of executives and once the same is submitted, they are unable to see the same.  This Change is to provide a dashboard to the preparers to be able to check the status of their submitted invoices. |
| PTPS-923 | PeopleSoft FMS | - | - | - | 100 | **Fix Control-M Dependency AP transaction files to RSDS:**  AP transaction data like voucher, payment, requisitions & POs for India is now being sent in production in daily incremental files. This was part of S2P release done on 17th April 2021. Each AP transaction table is sent in separate file and there is one run control for each country that runs in batch, generating all files (for all tables). Dependencies added on this newly created control-M job is AP batch completion e.g. IND run control for AP data for RSDS will run after AP batch for India runs to completion.  However post release it was noticed that AP batch completion can happen before EOD update for the day runs. The files sent have date in header and that is taken from as of date on the run control, which in turn is updated by EOD setup. So this results in date in file header being incorrect (because our new job ran before EOD was run for that country). We have asked CMS team to ensure that our new jobs run only after EOD for that country and they are doing it by adding a dependency after network gets loaded every day (they can't change in control-M base tables, that is done only as part of change). |

# Defect Metrics

## Defect Summary

Overall Summary of defects discovered during this phase of testing

| Related Scope Item | Application | # Defects Raised  (All Statuses) | # Defects Closed  (Status = Closed) | # Defects Deferred | # Defects Rejected | # Defects Outstanding  (Outstanding = Raised – Closed) | Comments. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PTPS-926 | PeopleSoft FMS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PTPS-962 | ARIBA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PTPS-923 | PeopleSoft FMS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Outstanding Defects

All outstanding defects associated with this phase of testing at the time of exiting the phase.

| Defect ID | Defect Descriptions | Number of Test Cases impacted | Severity | Application/s  OR  Subsystem | Impact and Action Required  Level of impact and the effect it may have on remaining test phases, i.e. why it is acceptable to exit this phase with this position | Fix Expected | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Date | Phase |
| - | - | - | - | - | No outstanding defects while exiting System testing. | - | - |

### Deferred and Rejected Defects

All Deferred and Rejected defects associated with this phase of testing at the time of exiting the phase.

| Defect ID | Defect Descriptions | Number of Test Cases impacted | Severity | Application/s  OR  Subsystem | Impact and Action Required  Level of impact and the effect it may have on remaining test phases, i.e. why it is acceptable to exit this phase with this position | Target Release |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|
| - | - | - | - | - | No deferred/rejected defects while exiting System testing | - |

# Test environment

## ST Environment URL

GBFUTRN1

<http://dpuma03l.unix.anz:8100/psp/GBFUTRN1/?cmd=login&languageCd=ENG&>

## Tools

Test Cases and Test Evidences are stored in JIRA in the below specified path.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Tool** | **JIRA** |
| Project | Source to Pay squad |
| Path | **https://jira.service.anz/browse/PTPS-926** |
|  | **https://jira.service.anz/browse/PTPS-962**  [**https://jira.service.anz/browse/PTPS-923**](https://jira.service.anz/browse/PTPS-923) |

# Document Control

## 6.1 Version Control

| Version | Date | Change Description | Author |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0.1 | 13/05/2021 | Draft | Bhanu Chandhar Nynari |

## 

## Review

This work product is reviewed by the below:
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## Acceptance

The work product is accepted by the below:
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| Product Owner | | Amy leung | | Draft |  | 13/05/2021 | |
| Release Manager | | Sameer Khanna | | Draft |  | 13/05/2021 | |
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