## TYPE IN YOUR DEFAULT TITLE HERE: YOU MAY NEED TO CHANGE THE SPACING IF THE TITLE IS TOO LONG AND PUSHES THE COPYRIGHT OFF OF THE TITLEPAGE

#### A Dissertation

by

#### STEVEN ALVARO BOADA

Submitted to the Office of Graduate and Professional Studies of Texas A&M University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

#### DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Chair of Committee, Casey J. Papovich Committee Members,

Wolfgang Bangerth

Louis Strigari

Nicholas Suntzeff Head of Department, George Welch

August 2016

Major Subject: Physics and Astronomy

Copyright 2016 Steven Alvaro Boada

#### ABSTRACT

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Integer lectus quam, condimentum quis bibendum eu, sollicitudin eget lacus. Praesent non sodales odio. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos himenaeos. Nulla ac luctus sapien. Morbi cursus sapien eget lorem fermentum hendrerit. Nam ac erat dui, in cursus velit. Vivamus hendrerit porttitor nisi, ut porttitor lorem volutpat eget. In ligula ligula, euismod ut condimentum sit amet, pulvinar sit amet diam. Pellentesque interdum, ipsum ullamcorper consequat dignissim, sem arcu egestas mauris, vitae interdum sem tortor ut ante. Nunc blandit laoreet nisi, non rutrum lorem hendrerit quis. Cras nunc diam, convallis et feugiat at, auctor id libero. Nunc facilisis massa eu eros imperdiet vestibulum. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Donec non velit vitae tortor blandit semper.

Etiam vitae dolor nulla. Ut eros odio, rhoncus eget placerat vitae, elementum ac ante. Proin vitae odio eu nisl pharetra mattis. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Phasellus fermentum lacus consectetur neque consequat ullamcorper. Cras blandit urna non dui consequat molestie. Curabitur viverra nibh at nisi semper faucibus. Nam egestas mauris a enim dignissim nec consectetur tortor rutrum. Mauris at nisi in est luctus congue ut mattis est. Ut pretium, mi quis elementum cursus, ante eros suscipit ligula, ut porttitor elit leo sed turpis. Nam sed dui ligula.

#### **DEDICATION**

This is an optional page. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Integer lectus quam, condimentum quis bibendum eu, sollicitudin eget lacus. Praesent non sodales odio. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos himenaeos. Nulla ac luctus sapien. Morbi cursus sapien eget lorem fermentum hendrerit. Nam ac erat dui, in cursus velit. Vivamus hendrerit porttitor nisi, ut porttitor lorem volutpat eget. In ligula ligula, euismod ut condimentum sit amet, pulvinar sit amet diam. Pellentesque interdum, ipsum ullamcorper consequat dignissim, sem arcu egestas mauris, vitae interdum sem tortor ut ante. Nunc blandit laoreet nisi, non rutrum lorem hendrerit quis. Cras nunc diam, convallis et feugiat at, auctor id libero. Nunc facilisis massa eu eros imperdiet vestibulum. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Donec non velit vitae tortor blandit semper.

Etiam vitae dolor nulla. Ut eros odio, rhoncus eget placerat vitae, elementum ac ante. Proin vitae odio eu nisl pharetra mattis. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Phasellus fermentum lacus consectetur neque consequat ullamcorper. Cras blandit urna non dui consequat molestie. Curabitur viverra nibh at nisi semper faucibus. Nam egestas mauris a enim dignissim nec consectetur tortor rutrum. Mauris at nisi in est luctus congue ut mattis est. Ut pretium, mi quis elementum cursus, ante eros suscipit ligula, ut porttitor elit leo sed turpis. Nam sed dui ligula.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Integer lectus quam, condimentum quis bibendum eu, sollicitudin eget lacus. Praesent non sodales odio. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos himenaeos. Nulla ac luctus sapien. Morbi cursus sapien eget lorem fermentum hendrerit. Nam ac erat dui, in cursus velit. Vivamus hendrerit porttitor nisi, ut porttitor lorem volutpat eget. In ligula ligula, euismod ut condimentum sit amet, pulvinar sit amet diam. Pellentesque interdum, ipsum ullamcorper consequat dignissim, sem arcu egestas mauris, vitae interdum sem tortor ut ante. Nunc blandit laoreet nisi, non rutrum lorem hendrerit quis. Cras nunc diam, convallis et feugiat at, auctor id libero. Nunc facilisis massa eu eros imperdiet vestibulum. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Donec non velit vitae tortor blandit semper.

Etiam vitae dolor nulla. Ut eros odio, rhoncus eget placerat vitae, elementum ac ante. Proin vitae odio eu nisl pharetra mattis. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Phasellus fermentum lacus consectetur neque consequat ullamcorper. Cras blandit urna non dui consequat molestie. Curabitur viverra nibh at nisi semper faucibus. Nam egestas mauris a enim dignissim nec consectetur tortor rutrum. Mauris at nisi in est luctus congue ut mattis est. Ut pretium, mi quis elementum cursus, ante eros suscipit ligula, ut porttitor elit leo sed turpis. Nam sed dui ligula.

## NOMENCLATURE

B/CS Bryan/College Station

HSUS Humane Society of the United States

P Pressure

T Time

TVA Tennessee Valley Authority

TxDOT Texas Department of Transportation

This page is optional.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	. ii
DEDICATION	. iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	. iv
NOMENCLATURE	. v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	. vi
LIST OF FIGURES	. vii
LIST OF TABLES	. viii
1. Introduction: The Importance of Research	. 1
1.1 Cluster Cosmology	
1.3 Cluster Surveys in the near-future	. 6
2. LITERATURE REVIEW: THE IMPORTANCE OF RESEARCH PART TWO- THIS IS DESIGNED TO TEST LONG TITLES IN THE TOC .	
2.1 New Section          2.1.1 Subsection          2.1.2 Subsection          2.2 Another Section	. 10 . 10
3. LAST CHAPTER: THE IMPORTANCE OF RESEARCH	. 12
3.1 New Section          3.2 Another Section          3.2.1 Subsection          3.2.2 Subsection          3.3 Another Section	. 12 . 13 . 13
REFERENCES	15

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGUR	RE	Page
2.1	TAMU figure - This is an example of a long figure title. Figure titles need to be single-spaced within and double spaced between in the list of figures	
2.2	Sunset figure	. 10
3.1	TAMU figure	. 12

## LIST OF TABLES

<ul> <li>TABLE</li> <li>2.1 This is a table template - This is an example of a long table title. Table titles need to be single-spaced within and double spaced between in the list of tables.</li> <li>2.2 This is a table template - This is an example of a long table title. Table titles need to be single-spaced within and double spaced between in</li> </ul>	ge
titles need to be single-spaced within and double spaced between in	0
	.0
3.1 This is a table template	.3

#### 1. INTRODUCTION: THE IMPORTANCE OF RESEARCH

\*

Clusters of galaxies form the largest bound objects in the universe, and as such their study is a cornerstone in modern day astronomy. First recognized by 19th century astronomers, their place in astronomical canon was solidified when Edwin Hubble proofed their constituent nebulae where not bound to the Milky Way (Hubble, 1926) but collections of stars similar to the Milky Way. Work to understand their nature and origin began in ernest when Hubble and Humason (1931) used the virial theorem and the galaxy velocities in the centers of the Virgo (Smith, 1936) and Coma (Zwicky, 1933) clusters to derive their masses. The immense mass derived exceeded the total stellar mass contributed by all galaxies many times over. This lead Zwicky to theorize the existence of large amounts of non-luminous matter, and coining the term "dark matter" (DM), which we still use today.

Modern astronomy gives the composition of galaxy clusters in three many parts. The galaxies themselves comprise the most obvious feature, and contain a large portion (but not the entirety) of the luminous matter (stars) in the cluster. The intracluster medium (ICM) is the space between the cluster galaxies and is composed many of ordinary matter (baryons) which are super heated to tens of thousands of kelvin. The ICM contains the bulk of the cluster's baryonic matter, and while it is very hot, it is not very dense, with a typical value of  $10^{-3}$  particles per cubic centimeter. The majority of the cluster's mass is located in the DM halo which surrounds the cluster.

<sup>\*</sup>Reprinted with permission from "Introduction: The Importance of Research" by AUTHOR et al., 2015. The Astrophysical Journal, Volume XYZ, Issue X, article id. XY, XY pp., Copyright 20XX by the American Astronomical Society.

Thought to form out of the primordial density fluctuations in the very early universe, the investigation of their formation and growth began in the 1960s. Soon thereafter, the hierarchical model of structure formation (Press and Schechter, 1974; Gott and Rees, 1975; White and Rees, 1978) was introduced. It suggests the first stars and stellar clumps grew first then subsequently merged together with dark matter and other gas clumps to form the first galaxies which then continued to merge and grow into the clusters and large scale structures we see today. This accretion of smaller systems is thought to be driven by the gravity of the DM associated with the cluster. Of course, many complicated astrophysical processes are at work during cluster growth and similarly complicated theoretical models seek to explain these processes. For a detailed review of cluster formation see Kravtsov and Borgani (2012).

The number and distribution of galaxy clusters across the sky is the finger print of the cosmology imprinted on the universe at its birth. To uncover the underlying cosmology a detailed understanding of the astrophysical processes that describe the motion of constituent galaxies and their impact on the ICM is required. So, galaxy clusters stand at the intersection of cosmology and astrophysics.

#### 1.1 Cluster Cosmology

The current concordance cosmology is a parametrization of the Big Bang cosmological model where the universe contains a cosmological constant ( $\Lambda$ ; often referred to as dark energy) and cold dark matter (CDM). It is often characterized by six parameters; the Hubble Constant ( $H_0$ ), the baryonic matter density ( $\Omega_b$ ), the dark matter density ( $\Omega_c$ ), the dark energy density ( $\Omega_{\Lambda}$ ); the normalization of the power spectrum ( $\sigma_8$ ); the spectral index of the power spectrum ( $n_s$ ). Galaxy clusters are sensitive probes of  $\Omega_m$ , the total mass ( $\Omega_b + \Omega_c$ ) density in the universe, through

tracing the peaks in the universal matter density often referred to as the power spectrum of matter density fluctuations or the matter power spectrum and  $\sigma_8$  by the comparison of the number density of observed halos to that predicted in cosmological models.

The determination of cosmological parameters is done by comparing the number of galaxy clusters per unit mass per unit comoving volume (n(M, z)) to models. See Allen et al. (2011) for a comprehensive review or Murray et al. (2013) for a more practical approach. n(M, z), referred to as the halo mass function (HMF) captures the number evolution through a function which defines the particular model used. Early work by Press and Schechter (1974) and Bond et al. (1991) which assumed spherical halos, have largely been replaced by more modern fitting functions which, at the expense of an analytical solution, provide more accurate results when fit to simulation data. See Murray et al. (2013) for a review of the most common fitting functions used. Through this approach, the two parameters which clusters are most sensitive to,  $\Omega_m$  and  $\sigma_8$  are in reality measured as  $\sigma_8\Omega_m^{\alpha}$ , where the value of  $\alpha$  depends on the masses of the halos considered. The degeneracy is broken through the evolution of the HMF as a function of redshift.

The  $\Lambda$ CDM model of cosmology makes explicit predictions about the number and masses of galaxy clusters throughout the universe. Connecting these predictions to a set of, sufficiently large in size, observed clusters remains a principal problem. Specifically, the largest threat to modern, precision, cluster cosmology is not the identification of large numbers of clusters (the total number of clusters known is only going up) but the accurate recovery of galaxy cluster mass. This problem extends to both the very rich clusters (those with high mass) and, importantly, the poor clusters (those with low mass) as the relationship between galaxy cluster mass and many of the observables which trace mass is not well understood for such low

mass clusters.

#### 1.2 State of Play

As mass is not a direct observable, a lot of work is underway to characterize galaxy cluster masses with an observable feature of galaxy clusters. In this section, we will briefly touch on a few of the ways cluster mass is determined, and address any short comings the method may have. Generally, the methods fall into two distinct camps, simulation based and direct or statistical calibration. The goal is to constrain, as best possible, P(X|M,z) or the probability (P) that a galaxy cluster of given mass (M) located at redshift (z) using observable parameter (X).

One could use various simulations to attempt to calibrate this observable—mass relation (e.g., Vanderlinde et al. 2010; Sehgal et al. 2011). However, the primary challenge to this method is the incomplete understanding of the baryonic physics which take place in galaxy cluster environments. While there have been (and continue to be) many improvements in the accuracy and power of simulations it is doubtful that in the coming years they will reach the accuracy level required to the point where the observable—mass relation is dominated only by statistics (Weinberg et al., 2013).

The second broad camp is the direct calibration of cluster masses. This recipe has two distinct but not always independent tracks. The "direct" method uses the direct observations of a small set of clusters and then uses known mass estimators, X-ray hydrostatic or weak lensing (WL) as examples, which provide a "true" mass. This directly calibrates the observable—mass relation which is then applied to a much larger sample. The complications lie in that the "true" masses are in fact estimations, and the methods used to recover these masses are subject to their own limitations. X-ray hydrostatic estimations assume hydrostatic equilibrium (e.g., Mantz et al.

2015) which may only be valid for a very small number and range of cluster masses. The Sunyaev–Zeldovich (SZ; Sunyaev and Zeldovich 1972) effect, which uses the up–scattering of cosmic microwave background (CMB) photons to estimate cluster masses, provides accurate estimations of mass, but the ability to detect low mass galaxy clusters is currently limited by technology (e.g., Carlstrom et al. 2002). WL estimates are, in principle, correct in the mean, but they suffer from signal-to-noise requirements, limiting their usefulness in low mass clusters, and potentially suffer from line-of-sight effects as the effect is sensitive to all mass along the line of sight. Virial mass estimators which determine the cluster mass based on the motions of the member galaxies is promising in that it is a direct measurement of the depth of clusters potential well, but suffers from systematics due to cluster formation physics which disrupts the velocity field.

The statistical method of determining galaxy cluster mass relies not on direct measurements of individual clusters but the calibration of observables for the entire sample which correlate with cluster mass. One example is the spatial clustering of the galaxy clusters themselves. See Weinberg et al. (2013) for a comprehensive review. In practice, it will be a combination of the three methods touched on that will provide the most reliable determination of cluster masses.

Virial mass estimators, specifically, can be applied in both a direct and statistical fashion. Currently, the accuracy of such a method, especially to the level required for today's precision cosmology, is not well constrained. In the coming years large spectroscopic surveys will provide enough coverage, and so these methods warrant further investigation (e.g., Saro et al. 2013).

#### 1.3 Cluster Surveys in the near-future

In the coming years, many large surveys will add further statistical advantages to the determination of cosmological parameters using galaxy clusters. At their completion, the South Pole Telescope (SPT; Carlstrom et al. 2011) and the Atacama Cosmology Telescope (ACT; Swetz et al. 2011) are expected to find approximately one thousand clusters using observations in the millimeter combined with the SZ effect. Attempts are already underway to calibrate these observations using subsamples of clusters (approximately 100 cluster candidates and 60 clusters respectively) and other observables such as virial estimates or X-ray temperature measurements (e.g., Sifón et al. 2013; Bocquet et al. 2015).

X-ray identified clusters, up until today, have mostly been observed fortuitously through targeted *Chandra* or *XMM-Newton* observations. That is soon to change with the *eROSITA* telescope onboard the Spektrum-Roentgen-Gamma Mission, which will perform an all–sky survey during its four year mission and detect an estimated 50,000 or more clusters.

Large optical surveys such as the Dark Energy Survey (DES; The Dark Energy Survey Collaboration 2005) and the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST) will survey enormous portions of the sky extremely deeply and will identify vast numbers of clusters using optical selection methods (e.g., Rykoff et al. 2014; ?). However, the majority of these surveys will be photometric, and any spectral information will be obtained from preexisting datasets. And while it is possible to estimate cluster masses using photometric redshifts, primarily through the richness–mass relation, (e.g., Rykoff et al. 2012, 2014), spectroscopic followup is required to both better calibrate the relation and to obtain the level of precision needed to compete with other mass estimators.

#### 1.3.1 Impact of This Work

As the sample of known clusters grows to many tens of thousands, spectroscopic followup becomes unfeasible. Large spectroscopic surveys will be required to reduce systematics to a level that will allow accurate mass estimations using virial methods. The Hobby Eberly Dark Energy Experiment (HETDEX; Hill et al. 2008a) is a forthcoming blind spectroscopic survey that could potentially be used to accurately calibrate the observable–mass relation for a significant number of galaxy clusters at both extremes of the mass scale. HETDEX is designed to measure the dark energy equation of state at  $z \sim 2$ , and so the applicability to galaxy cluster science has not yet been investigated.

Given how much progress could be made with HETDEX, this work seeks to address this issue in two ways. First, using a set of state-of-the-art simulations we will simulate the observing strategy of HETDEX to determine the number and nature of clusters that might be observed. See Section ??. This is done in four distinct ways and in each part we will measure the dynamical properties, such as redshift, LOSVD, and mass of the clusters. First we will use targeted observations and perfect knowledge of the observed galaxy clusters, which includes center, membership, and number to recover the desired properties. Secondly, we will assume that we know the location but not the center, membership, or number of constituent galaxies. Then we will employ the HETDEX observing strategy, including realistic pointing pattern, observational magnitude constraints, and spectral sensitivity limits to generate a set of realistic observations which are then used with perfect and less than perfect knowledge scenarios to determine the cluster properties.

In all cases, we will attempt to characterize the observable—mass relation (or relations) to better understand the dominate sources of uncertainty when using HET- DEX like observations. This will enable us to more fully understand and constrain the HMF which, in turns, allows us to make more accurate measurements of the cosmological parameters traced by galaxy clusters.

The second effort of this work, outlined in Section ??, will use targeted spectroscopic observations of ten nearby clusters with the Mitchell Spectrograph (formerly known as VIRUS-P; Hill et al. 2008b), an integral field unit (IFU) in a square array of 246 4.24" diameter optical fibers, to test some of the methods used in the first method. This will provide insight in how the observable—mass relation may be improved through followup observations of targeted clusters.

# 2. LITERATURE REVIEW: THE IMPORTANCE OF RESEARCH PART TWO- THIS IS DESIGNED TO TEST LONG TITLES IN THE TOC

Text goes here.

#### 2.1 New Section



Figure 2.1: TAMU figure - This is an example of a long figure title. Figure titles need to be single-spaced within and double spaced between in the list of figures.

## 2.1.1 Subsection

Table 2.1: This is a table template - This is an example of a long table title. Table titles need to be single-spaced within and double spaced between in the list of tables.

Product	1	2	3	4	5
Price	124	136	85	156	23
Guarantee [years]	1	2	_	3	1
Rating	89%	84%	51%		45%
Recommended	yes	yes	no	no	no

## 2.1.2 Subsection



Figure 2.2: Sunset figure

#### 2.1.2.1 This is a subsubsection

Table 2.2: This is a table template - This is an example of a long table title. Table titles need to be single-spaced within and double spaced between in the list of tables.

Product	1	2	3	4	5
Price	124	136	85	156	23
Guarantee [years]	1	2	_	3	1
Rating	89%	84%	51%		45%
Recommended	yes	yes	no	no	no

## 2.2 Another Section

## 3. LAST CHAPTER: THE IMPORTANCE OF RESEARCH

Text goes here?.

#### 3.1 New Section



Figure 3.1: TAMU figure

## 3.2 Another Section

Text between the figures. Text

between the figures. Text between the figures. Text between the figures. Text between the figures.

3.2.1 Subsection

3.2.2 Subsection

A table example is going to follow.

Table 3.1: This is a table template

Product	1	2	3	4	5
Price	124	136	85	156	23
Guarantee [years]	1	2	_	3	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \end{vmatrix}$
Rating	89%	84%	51%		45%
Recommended	yes	yes	no	no	no

#### 3.2.2.1 This is a subsubsection

#### 3.3 Another Section

fix spacing in bibliography, if any...

#### REFERENCES

- Steven W. Allen, August E. Evrard, and Adam B. Mantz. Cosmological Parameters from Observations of Galaxy Clusters. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.*, 49(1):409–470, sep 2011. ISSN 0066-4146. doi: 10.1146/annurev-astro-081710-102514. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ARA&A..49..409A.
- S. Bocquet, A. Saro, J. J. Mohr, K. A. Aird, M. L. N. Ashby, M. Bautz, M. Bayliss, G. Bazin, B. A. Benson, L. E. Bleem, M. Brodwin, J. E. Carlstrom, C. L. Chang, I. Chiu, H. M. Cho, A. Clocchiatti, T. M. Crawford, A. T. Crites, S. Desai, T. de Haan, J. P. Dietrich, M. A. Dobbs, R. J. Foley, W. R. Forman, D. Gangkofner, E. M. George, M. D. Gladders, A. H. Gonzalez, N. W. Halverson, C. Hennig, J. Hlavacek-Larrondo, G. P. Holder, W. L. Holzapfel, J. D. Hrubes, C. Jones, R. Keisler, L. Knox, A. T. Lee, E. M. Leitch, J. Liu, M. Lueker, D. Luong-Van, D. P. Marrone, M. McDonald, J. J. McMahon, S. S. Meyer, L. Mocanu, S. S. Murray, S. Padin, C. Pryke, C. L. Reichardt, A. Rest, J. Ruel, J. E. Ruhl, B. R. Saliwanchik, J. T. Sayre, K. K. Schaffer, E. Shirokoff, H. G. Spieler, B. Stalder, S. A. Stanford, Z. Staniszewski, A. A. Stark, K. Story, C. W. Stubbs, K. Vanderlinde, J. D. Vieira, A. Vikhlinin, R. Williamson, O. Zahn, and A. Zenteno. MASS CALIBRATION AND COSMOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF THE SPT-SZ GALAXY CLUSTER SAMPLE USING VELOC-ITY DISPERSION  $\sigma$  v AND X-RAY Y X MEASUREMENTS. ApJ, 799(2): 214, jan 2015. ISSN 1538-4357. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/799/2/214. http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...799..214B.
- J. R. Efstathiou, Ρ. Lubin, Р. R. Meinhold. Bond, G. Μ. and Cosmic-structure constraints from one-degree microwave-background a

- anisotropy experiment. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 66(17):2179–2182, apr 1991. ISSN 0031-9007. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.66.2179. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1991PhRvL..66.2179B.
- J. E. Carlstrom, P. A. R. Ade, K. A. Aird, B. A. Benson, L. E. Bleem, S. Busetti,
  C. L. Chang, E. Chauvin, H.-M. Cho, T. M. Crawford, A. T. Crites, M. A. Dobbs, N. W. Halverson, S. Heimsath, W. L. Holzapfel, J. D. Hrubes, M. Joy,
  R. Keisler, T. M. Lanting, A. T. Lee, E. M. Leitch, J. Leong, W. Lu, M. Lueker,
  D. Luong-Van, J. J. McMahon, J. Mehl, S. S. Meyer, J. J. Mohr, T. E. Montroy, S. Padin, T. Plagge, C. Pryke, J. E. Ruhl, K. K. Schaffer, D. Schwan,
  E. Shirokoff, H. G. Spieler, Z. Staniszewski, A. A. Stark, C. Tucker, K. Vanderlinde, J. D. Vieira, and R. Williamson. The 10 Meter South Pole Telescope.
  PASP, 123(903):568–581, may 2011. ISSN 00046280. doi: 10.1086/659879. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011PASP..123..568C.
- John E. Carlstrom, Gilbert P. Holder, and Erik D. Reese. Cosmology with the Sunyaev-Zeldovich Effect. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.*, 40(1):643–680, sep 2002. ISSN 0066-4146. doi: 10.1146/annurev.astro.40.060401.093803. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ARA%26A..40..643C.
- J. R. IIIGott and Μ. J. Rees. theory of galaxy formation and clustering.  $A \mathcal{E} A$ , 45:365–376, 1975. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1975A%26A....45..365G.
- G. J. Hill, K. Gebhardt, E. Komatsu, N. Drory, P. J. MacQueen, J. Adams, G. A. Blanc, R. Koehler, M. Rafal, M. M. Roth, A. Kelz, C. Gronwall, R. Ciardullo, and D. P. Schneider. The Hobby-Eberly Telescope Dark Energy Experiment (HETDEX): Description and Early Pilot Survey Results. *Panor. Views Galaxy Form. Evol. ASP Conf. Ser.*, 399, 2008a. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008ASPC..399..115H.

- Gary J. Hill, Phillip J. MacQueen, Michael P. Smith, Joseph R. Tufts, Martin M. Roth, Andreas Kelz, Joshua J. Adams, Niv Drory, Frank Grupp, Stuart I. Barnes, Guillermo A. Blanc, Jeremy D. Murphy, Werner Altmann, Gordon L. Wesley, Pedro R. Segura, John M. Good, John A. Booth, Svend-Marian Bauer, Emil Popow, John A. Goertz, Robert D. Edmonston, and Christopher P. Wilkinson. Design, construction, and performance of VIRUS-P: the prototype of a highly replicated integral-field spectrograph for HET. In Ian S. McLean and Mark M. Casali, editors, *Ground-based Airborne Instrum. Astron. II. Ed. by McLean*, volume 7014, pages 701470–701470–15, jul 2008b. doi: 10.1117/12.790235. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008SPIE.7014E.231H.
- E. P. Hubble. Extragalactic nebulae. ApJ, 64:321, dec
   1926. ISSN 0004-637X. doi: 10.1086/143018. URL
   http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1926ApJ....64..321H.
- Edwin Hubble Milton L. The and Humason. Velocity-Distance Relation among Extra-Galactic Nebulae. ApJ, 74:43, jul **ISSN** 1931. 0004-637X. doi: 10.1086/143323.URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1931ApJ....74...43H.
- Andrey V. Kravtsov and Stefano Borgani. Formation of Galaxy Clusters. Annu.Rev.Astron. Astrophys., 50(1):353-4092012. ISSN 0066-4146. doi: 10.1146/annurev-astro-081811-125502. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012ARA&A..50..353K.
- A. B. Mantz, S. W. Allen, R. G. Morris, R. W. Schmidt, A. von der Linden, and O. Urban. Cosmology and astrophysics from relaxed galaxy clusters - I. Sample selection. MNRAS, 449(1):199–219, mar 2015. ISSN 0035-8711. doi: 10.1093/mn-ras/stv219. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015MNRAS.449..199M.
- S.G. Murray, C. Power, and A.S.G. Robotham. HMFcalc: An online tool

- for calculating dark matter halo mass functions. *Astron. Comput.*, 3-4:23–34, nov 2013. ISSN 22131337. doi: 10.1016/j.ascom.2013.11.001. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013A%26C....3...23M.
- William H. Press and Paul Schechter. Formation of Galaxies and Clusters of Galaxies by Self-Similar Gravitational Condensation. *ApJ*, 187: 425, feb 1974. ISSN 0004-637X. doi: 10.1086/152650. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1974ApJ...187..425P.
- E. S. Rykoff, B. P. Koester, E. Rozo, J. Annis, A. E. Evrard, S. M. Hansen, J. Hao, D. E. Johnston, T. A. McKay, and R. H. Wechsler. ROBUST OPTICAL RICHNESS ESTIMATION WITH REDUCED SCATTER. ApJ, 746 (2):178, feb 2012. ISSN 0004-637X. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/746/2/178. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012ApJ...746..178R.
- E. S. Rykoff, E. Rozo, M. T. Busha, C. E. Cunha, A. Finoguenov, A. Evrard, J. Hao, B. P. Koester, A. Leauthaud, B. Nord, M. Pierre, R. Reddick, T. Sadibekova, E. S. Sheldon, and R. H. Wechsler. redMaP-Per. I. ALGORITHM AND SDSS DR8 CATALOG. ApJ, 785(2):104, apr 2014. ISSN 0004-637X. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/785/2/104. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...785..104R.
- Alex Saro, Joseph J. Mohr, Gurvan Bazin, and Klaus Dolag. TOWARD UNBIASED GALAXY CLUSTER MASSES FROM LINE-OF-SIGHT VE-LOCITY DISPERSIONS. *ApJ*, 772(1):47, jul 2013. ISSN 0004-637X. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/772/1/47. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.5708 http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013ApJ...772...47S.
- Neelima Sehgal, Hy Trac, Viviana Acquaviva, Peter A. R. Ade, Paula Aguirre, Mandana Amiri, John W. Appel, L. Felipe Barrientos, Elia S. Battistelli, J. Richard Bond, Ben Brown, Bryce Burger, Jay Chervenak, Sudeep Das, Mark J. De-

vlin, Simon R. Dicker, W. Bertrand Doriese, Joanna Dunkley, Rolando Dünner, Thomas Essinger-Hileman, Ryan P. Fisher, Joseph W. Fowler, Amir Hajian, Mark Halpern, Matthew Hasselfield, Carlos Hernández-Monteagudo, Gene C. Hilton, Matt Hilton, Adam D. Hincks, Renée Hlozek, David Holtz, Kevin M. Huffenberger, David H. Hughes, John P. Hughes, Leopoldo Infante, Kent D. Irwin, Andrew Jones, Jean Baptiste Juin, Jeff Klein, Arthur Kosowsky, Judy M. Lau, Michele Limon, Yen-Ting Lin, Robert H. Lupton, Tobias A. Marriage, Danica Marsden, Krista Martocci, Phil Mauskopf, Felipe Menanteau, Kavilan Moodley, Harvey Moseley, Calvin B. Netterfield, Michael D. Niemack, Michael R. Nolta, Lyman A. Page, Lucas Parker, Bruce Partridge, Beth Reid, Blake D. Sherwin, Jon Sievers, David N. Spergel, Suzanne T. Staggs, Daniel S. Swetz, Eric R. Switzer, Robert Thornton, Carole Tucker, Ryan Warne, Ed Wollack, and Yue Zhao. THE ATA-CAMA COSMOLOGY TELESCOPE: COSMOLOGY FROM GALAXY CLUS-TERS DETECTED VIA THE SUNYAEV-ZEL'DOVICH EFFECT. ApJ, 732 (1):44, may 2011. ISSN 0004-637X. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/732/1/44. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJ...732...44S.

Cristóbal Sifón, Felipe Menanteau, Matthew Hasselfield, Tobias A. Marriage, John P. Hughes, L. Felipe Barrientos, Jorge González, Leopoldo Infante, Graeme E. Addison, Andrew J. Baker, Nick Battaglia, J. Richard Bond, Devin Crichton, Sudeep Das, Mark J. Devlin, Joanna Dunkley, Rolando Dünner, Megan B. Gralla, Amir Hajian, Matt Hilton, Adam D. Hincks, Arthur B. Kosowsky, Danica Marsden, Kavilan Moodley, Michael D. Niemack, Michael R. Nolta, Lyman A. Page, Bruce Partridge, Erik D. Reese, Neelima Sehgal, Jon Sievers, David N. Spergel, Suzanne T. Staggs, Robert J. Thornton, Hy Trac, and Edward J. Wollack. THE ATACAMA COSMOLOGY TELESCOPE: DYNAMICAL MASSES AND SCALING RELATIONS FOR

- A SAMPLE OF MASSIVE SUNYAEV-ZEL'DOVICH EFFECT SELECTED GALAXY CLUSTERS \$^,\$. ApJ, 772(1):25, jul 2013. ISSN 0004-637X. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/772/1/25. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1201.0991 http://stacks.iop.org/0004-637X/772/i=1/a=25?key=crossref.dbce3dfdfc137953a337663
- Sinclair Smith. The Mass of Virgo Cluster. ApJ, the 83: ISSN 0004-637X. doi: URL 23,jan 1936.10.1086/143697.http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1936ApJ....83...23S.
- R. A. Sunyaev and Ya. B. Zeldovich. The Observations of Relic Radiation as a Test of the Nature of X-Ray Radiation from the Clusters of Galaxies. *Comments Astrophys. Sp. Phys.*, 4, 1972. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1972CoASP...4..173S.
- D. S. Swetz, P. A. R. Ade, M. Amiri, J. W. Appel, E. S. Battistelli, B. Burger, J. Chervenak, M. J. Devlin, S. R. Dicker, W. B. Doriese, R. Dünner, T. Essinger-Hileman, R. P. Fisher, J. W. Fowler, M. Halpern, M. Hasselfield, G. C. Hilton, A. D. Hincks, K. D. Irwin, N. Jarosik, M. Kaul, J. Klein, J. M. Lau, M. Limon, T. A. Marriage, D. Marsden, K. Martocci, P. Mauskopf, H. Moseley, C. B. Netterfield, M. D. Niemack, M. R. Nolta, L. A. Page, L. Parker, S. T. Staggs, O. Stryzak, E. R. Switzer, R. Thornton, C. Tucker, E. Wollack, and Y. Zhao. OVERVIEW OF THE ATACAMA COSMOLOGY TELESCOPE: RECEIVER, INSTRUMENTATION, AND TELESCOPE SYSTEMS. ApJS, 194 (2):41, jun 2011. ISSN 0067-0049. doi: 10.1088/0067-0049/194/2/41. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJS..194...41S.
- The Dark Energy Survey Collaboration. The Dark Energy Survey. eprint arXiv:astro-ph/0510346, page 42, oct 2005. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005astro.ph.10346T.
- K. Vanderlinde, T. M. Crawford, T. de Haan, J. P. Dudley, L. Shaw, P. A. R.

- Ade, K. A. Aird, B. A. Benson, L. E. Bleem, M. Brodwin, J. E. Carlstrom, C. L. Chang, A. T. Crites, S. Desai, M. A. Dobbs, R. J. Foley, E. M. George, M. D. Gladders, N. R. Hall, N. W. Halverson, F. W. High, G. P. Holder, W. L. Holzapfel, J. D. Hrubes, M. Joy, R. Keisler, L. Knox, A. T. Lee, E. M. Leitch, A. Loehr, M. Lueker, D. P. Marrone, J. J. McMahon, J. Mehl, S. S. Meyer, J. J. Mohr, T. E. Montroy, C.-C. Ngeow, S. Padin, T. Plagge, C. Pryke, C. L. Reichardt, A. Rest, J. Ruel, J. E. Ruhl, K. K. Schaffer, E. Shirokoff, J. Song, H. G. Spieler, B. Stalder, Z. Staniszewski, A. A. Stark, C. W. Stubbs, A. van Engelen, J. D. Vieira, R. Williamson, Y. Yang, O. Zahn, and A. Zenteno. GALAXY CLUSTERS SELECTED WITH THE SUNYAEV-ZEL'DOVICH EFFECT FROM 2008 SOUTH POLE TELESCOPE OBSERVATIONS. ApJ, 722 (2):1180–1196, oct 2010. ISSN 0004-637X. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/722/2/1180. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJ...722.1180V.
- David Η. J. J. Weinberg, Michael Mortonson, Daniel Eisenstein, Adam G. Riess, Christopher Hirata, and Eduardo Rozo. Observational probes of cosmic acceleration. Phys. Rep., 530(2):87-255, sep 2013. ISSN 03701573. doi: 10.1016/j.physrep.2013.05.001. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013PhR...530...87W.
- S. D. M. White and M. J. Rees. Core condensation in heavy halos A two-stage theory for galaxy formation and clustering. *MNRAS*, 183:341–358, 1978. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1978MNRAS.183..341W.
- F. Zwicky. Die Rotverschiebung von extragalaktischen Nebeln. *Helv. Phys. Acta*, 6: 110–127, 1933. URL http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1933AcHPh...6..110Z.