OpenStreetMap Data Project

author: Bob Cross date: December 15, 2016

re-submitted December 21, 2016

Map Area

I chose Austin, Texas as the area for this project. I am new to this city and thought this project would assist me in exploring Austin.

Identifying Problems in the Map

After taking a sample of the 1.16 GB dataset using sample_region.py, I used three techniques to identify problems in the sample:

Utilized Sublime to view portions of the data in it's original form.

Analyzed the audit.py script output to view unusual street names and postal codes.

Analyzed the CSV files created by the process_osm. script to view the data (in schema.md format) before and after cleaning code was applied.

Problems Encountered in the Map

Simplified versions of code for audit and cleaning the following problems is presented below.

Over abbreviated street names

```
Audit and cleanig code and output:
def audit(osmfile):
  osm file = open(osmfile, "r")
  street types = defaultdict(set)
  for event, elem in ET.iterparse(osm file, events=("start",)):
     if elem.tag == "node" or elem.tag == "way":
       for tag in elem.iter("tag"):
          if is street name(tag):
            audit street type(street types, tag.attrib['v'])
  osm file.close()
  #pprint.pprint (dict(street types))
  return street types
def update name(name, mapping):
  m = street type re.search(name)
  if m:
     street type = m.group()
     if street type in mapping.keys():
       print 'Before: ', name
       name = re.sub(m.group(), mapping[m.group()], name)
       print 'After: ', name
```

```
return name
def test():
  st types = audit(OSMFILE)
  #pprint.pprint(dict(st types))
  for st type, ways in st types.iteritems():
    for name in ways:
       better name = update name(name, mapping)
       #print name, "=>", better name
 test()
Partial output below:
Before: Woodrow Ave.
After: Woodrow Avenue
Before: Pecan St.
After: Pecan Street
Before: E 38th 1/2 St.
After: E 38th 1/2 Street
Before: E. 43rd St.
After: E. 43rd Street
Before: Pecan St
After: Pecan Street
Before: Rio Grande St
After: Rio Grande Street
```

Postcodes with prefix and suffix and other issues

```
return postcodes
def update postcode(postcode):
  if postcode in mapping.keys():
       print 'Before: ', postcode
       postcode = mapping[postcode]
       print 'After: ', postcode
  return postcode
def audit(osmfile):
  osm file = open(osmfile, "r")
  postcodes = defaultdict(set)
  #for i, elem in enumerate(get element(osmfile)):
  for event, elem in ET.iterparse(osm file, events=("start",)):
    if elem.tag == 'node' or elem.tag == 'way':
       for tag in elem.iter("tag"):
          if is postcode(tag):
            audit postcode(postcodes, tag.attrib['v'])
  pprint.pprint(dict(postcodes))
  osm file.close()
  return dict(postcodes)
  #pprint(dict(postcodes))
def test():
  flagged postcodes = audit(osmfile)
  #print flagged postcodes
  for key in flagged postcodes:
       update postcode(key)
test()
{'78724-1199': set(['78724-1199']), 'TX 78745': set(['TX 78745'])}
Before: TX 78745
After: 78745
Before: 78724-1199
After: 78724
```

Data Overview

File sizes

austin_map.osm 1.16 GB austin_map.db 773 MB nodes.csv 499 MB nodes_tags.csv 9 MB ways.csv 40 MB ways_tags.csv 144 MB ways_nodes.cv 59 MB

for validation and data familiarity: austin_map_sample.osm 59 MB test_sample.osm 9 MB

Number of nodes

sqlite> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM nodes; 5,358,645 sqlite> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM nodes_tags; 2,011,149

Number of ways, ways_nodes and ways_tags

sqlite> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM ways; 564388 sqlite> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM ways_nodes; 58,992,639 SELECT COUNT(*) FROM ways_nodes; 5,892,639

Number of unique users

sqlite> SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT(e.uid))
FROM (SELECT uid FROM nodes UNION ALL SELECT uid FROM ways) e;
1644

Top 10 contributing users

sqlite> SELECT e.user, COUNT(*) as num

- ...> FROM (SELECT user FROM nodes UNION ALL SELECT user FROM ways) e
- ...> GROUP BY e.user
- ...> ORDER BY num DESC
- ...> LIMIT 10;

patisilva_atxbuildings|2492624

ccjjmartin_atxbuildings|1062000

ccjjmartin__atxbuildings|834577

jseppi_atxbuildings|273706

wilsaj_atxbuildings|249835

kkt atxbuildings|157845

lyzidiamond atxbuildings|135318

woodpeck_fixbot|77816

johnclary_axtbuildings|48232

richlv|46822

Number of users with only 1 posting

```
sqlite> SELECT COUNT()
...> FROM
...> (SELECT e.user, COUNT() as num
...> FROM (SELECT user FROM nodes UNION ALL SELECT user FROM ways) e
...> GROUP BY e.user
...> HAVING num=1) u;
238
```

Additional Data Exploration

Top 10 appearing amenities

sqlite> SELECT value, COUNT(*) as num

...> FROM nodes_tags

...> WHERE key='amenity'

...> GROUP BY value

... > ORDER BY num DESC

...> LIMIT 10;

parking|1885

restaurant|700

waste_basket|601

fast_food|501

school|437

place_of_worship|413

bench|359

fuel|347

shelter|230

bank|150

thai|13

Most popular cuisines

```
sqlite> SELECT nodes_tags.value, COUNT(*) as num
...> FROM nodes tags
...> JOIN (SELECT DISTINCT(id) FROM nodes_tags WHERE value='restaurant') i
...> ON nodes_tags.id=i.id
...> WHERE nodes tags.key='cuisine'
...> GROUP BY nodes_tags.value
... > ORDER BY num DESC
...> LIMIT 10;
mexican|60
american|27
pizza|23
chinese|22
indian|14
sandwich|14
italian|13
regional|13
sushi|13
```

Biggest religion

```
sqlite> SELECT nodes_tags.value, COUNT(*) as num
...> FROM nodes_tags
...> JOIN (SELECT DISTINCT(id) FROM nodes_tags WHERE value='place_of_worship') i
...> ON nodes_tags.id=i.id
...> WHERE nodes_tags.key='religion'
...> GROUP BY nodes_tags.value
...> ORDER BY num DESC
...> LIMIT 1;
christian|367
```

Dataset Improvement

Classification issues from original source input is one of the most significant issues with this dataset and one of the most challenging to address. This is most often the cases with new contributors to the dataset. A periodic scrub of input from contributors with less than 5 entries may effectively address this concern. Additionally and in a similar category, there are manual input errors which could be flagged and with the periodic scrub be corrected. For example in running the postcode audit, I noted two postcodes which were entered as "TEXAS"; during the scrub the lat/lon values could be compared in the Python library "uszipcode" utilizing the 'ZipcodeSearchEngine' and then be properly corrected.

Marking the Open Street Maps with more handicap accessibility would information would add value to the database. There were only 194 nodes_tags marked for wheelchair accessibility.

```
SELECT COUNT(*) FROM nodes_tags WHERE key='wheelchair';
194
```

This effort could be assisted by reference to similar mapping sites and governmental guidelines such as the links listed below:

<u>Disabled Accessibility – axsmap.com</u> and <u>Guidelines for ADA and Texas Accessibility Standards - Adaptive Access</u>

Accessibility information for hundreds of restaurants, cafes, tourist attractions, community centers, and other public spaces could be added to the dataset. Programmatically extracting the yes/no information and adding it to the OpenStreetMap dataset would likely be most efficient. One difficulty would be dealing with naming inconsistencies between the reference resources data and the nodes already in the OpenStreetMap dataset. This could be overcome with careful string handling and a careful scrub of the input data.

Conclusion

The Austin OpenStreetMap dataset is a quite large and a bit messy. However, I believe the dataset is not materially deficint for the analysis purposes of this project. By quwrying the dataset, I learned a number of new things about Austin which will benefit me as I continue to explore this town. The dataset is very useful, though areas for improvement exist.