Sprint Retrospective 1

Tuesday, October 5, 2021 9:22 PM

What worked?

- Good communication
- Sharing documents
- Giving feedback
- Good splitting work, teamwork
- Added daily scrum meetings

What was bad?

- Lots of time conflicts
- One person at Office Hours
- Do not try to please the professor
 - o build to the project idea
- Schedules are offset

Any changes for next sprint?

- Limit leisure talk
- Enter hours in the Daily Scrum Meeting
- Swap teammates from sub-teams
- Sharing our progress every time we meet
 - o prepare itemized list
- Be more descriptive in Daily Scrum
- Predict Story Points better based on first sprints
 - More Sprint planning

Professor's Feedback:

- Project Backlog
 - estimated
 - priority fo
- Backlog Groom
 - allows for
 - mino
 - majo
 - o reduces So
- Sprint Planning
 - o everyone's
 - acco
 - Work Item
- Burndown Char
 - track ever
 - track evertrack indiv
 - indiv
 - in the cont
 - amo
 - hour
 - Ex. 5
 - How many
- Push all charts t

time for Work Item hours r each Work Item ing Client feedback to make necessary change before Sprint or changes don't affect Project Plan or changes may require resubmission of Project Plan rope Creep should include: availability for the Sprint unts for Risk in the Sprint and Task hours ts: yone in the Sprint for a deliverable viduals progress for Tasks in the Work Item of the Sprint idual charts ext of Tasks, and unt of time it takes to complete some hour(s) of productivity s total > hours productivity hrs researching, 1 hr progress y hours spent? vs. How many hours for progress? o GitHub

must clearly, explicitly, conveniently describe: