

Additional Sample Problems

(to help with decomposition into products of Sylow subgroups etc.)

1. Prove: If H, K are normal subgroups of a finite group G then

$HK \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{hk : h \in H, k \in K\}$ is a normal subgroup of G .

Steps: (1) HK is closed under products

$$h_1 k_1 h_2 k_2 = h_1 (k_1 h_2) k_2$$

$$k_1 h_2 \in k_1 H = H k_1 \text{ since } H \text{ is normal}$$

$$\text{so } k_1 h_2 = h_3 k_1 \text{ and}$$

$$h_1 k_1 h_2 k_2 = h_1 h_3 k_1 k_2 \in HK$$

(2) ~~Subset~~ $\neq \emptyset$ of G closed under products is a subgroup.

$$(3) \quad g^{-1} HK g = g^{-1} H (g g^{-1}) K g = HK \text{ since } H \text{ and } K \text{ are normal.}$$

Proof 2: If H, K are normal in G
and $\gcd(|H|, |K|) = 1$, then
 $|HK| = |H||K|$.

Proof: $h_1 k_1 = h_2 k_2 \Rightarrow h_2^{-1} h_1 = k_2 k_1^{-1}$

So $h_2^{-1} h_1 = k_2 k_1^{-1} \in H$ and $\in K$.

If $g \in H \cap K$ then $|g| \mid |H|$ and
 $|g| \mid |K|$ and $\gcd(|H|, |K|) = 1 \Rightarrow$

$g = e$. So $h_1 = h_2$ and $k_1 = k_2$.

Conclusion follows $|HK| = |H||K|$.

Proof 3: If H, K are normal and $|H \cap K| = 1$
then $hk = kh$ for all $k \in K, h \in H$

Proof: $hkh^{-1}k^{-1} \in H$ since
 $= h(hh^{-1}h^{-1})$ since H is normal
so $hHh^{-1} = H$
 \cap
 H

Similarly $\underbrace{(hh^{-1}h^{-1})}_{\in K} k^{-1} \in K$ so

$hkh^{-1}k^{-1} \in H \cap K = \{e\}$ so

$hk = kh$

Prob 4 If H, K normal subgroups:

$\gcd(|H|, |K|) = 1$. Then

$$HK \cong H \otimes K \quad (\text{internal})$$

direct product

(follows from previous.)

Prob 5: If $|G| = p_1^{s_1} \cdots p_n^{s_n}$

G not necessarily abelian!
there!

and all p_j -Sylow subgroups are normal, then $G =$ direct product of

$$S_1, \dots, S_n$$

where $S_j = p_j$ -Sylow subgroup (unique since normal \Rightarrow only one since all are conj.)

Proof by induction:

$$S_1 S_2 = S_1 \otimes S_2 \quad \text{by previous.}$$

$$|S_1 S_2| = p_1^{s_1} p_2^{s_2} \quad \text{and } S_1 S_2 \text{ is normal.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } (S_1 S_2) S_3 &= (S_1 S_2) \otimes S_3 \\ &= S_1 \otimes S_2 \otimes S_3. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Continue to get } S_1 S_2 \cdots S_n \cong S_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes S_n$$

$$\text{But } \# S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n = p_1^{s_1} \cdots p_n^{s_n} = |G|$$

$$\text{So } S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n = G.$$

This of course works for G abelian automatically since all subgroups are normal.

Prop. 6. G abelian = direct product of
 i.e. cycles has been shown -
 in class (and
 in hand out)

$$G = \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$$

So to finish abelian group structure

we need

$$\text{Prop. 6: If } n = p_1^{h_1} \cdots p_k^{h_k}$$

then

$$\mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{h_1}} \cdots \mathbb{Z}_{p_k^{h_k}} \leftarrow \text{direct product}$$

To prove this we use Lemma on p. 39
 of handout which gives

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{h_1}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{h_2}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{h_1} p_2^{h_2}}$$

Inductively

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_3^{n_3}}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} p_3^{n_3}}$$

The previous item shows: $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \cong$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2}}$$

$$\text{since } \gcd(p_1^{n_1}, p_2^{n_2}) = 1.$$

So we get G abelian

come from
✓ \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} etc.

$$= \bigotimes_{\text{direct}} \mathbb{Z}_{\text{prime powers of some sort}}$$

What is the p_j -Sylow subgroup of G

$$H = \bigotimes \mathbb{Z}_{p_j \text{ powers}} \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{all } p_j \text{ power} \\ \text{items} \\ \text{produced} \\ \text{together.} \end{array}$$

$$\text{Example: } \mathbb{Z}_{36} \quad 36 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2$$

$$= \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_9$$

But note: abelian G $|G| = 36$

need not be \mathbb{Z}_{36} , could be $\mathbb{Z}_{36} = \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_9$

$$\text{or } \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_9 \text{ or } \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$$

or $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$.

So order does not tell whole story.

(Familiar from way back on account
 $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ both of order 4!)

2-Sylow of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_9$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$

3 Sylow of $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ is $\mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$

Get 2's Sylow by lumping ^{etc.} \mathbb{Z} power of 2 items

3 Sylow by lumping \mathbb{Z} power of 3 items

$G =$ direct product of p Sylows.

All fits together!