

## HW 3 - 115B

Asher Christian 006-150-286

24.01.25

### 1 Exercise 1

Let  $A$  be a  $2 \times 2$  diagonalizable matrix. Prove the statement of Cayley-Hamilton theorem directly, using the fact that  $A = QDQ^{-1}$  for some invertible  $Q \in k^{2 \times 2}$  and some diagonal  $D \in k^{2 \times 2}$ . First we show that it is true for  $D$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$P_D(t) = (\lambda_1 - t)(\lambda_2 - t).$$

$$P_D(D) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This holds for any  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in k$ . Now we use determinant rules

$$\begin{aligned} \det(D - tI) &= \det(Q(D - tI)Q^{-1}) = \det((QD - Q(tI))Q^{-1}) = \det(QDQ^{-1} - t(QIQ^{-1})). \\ &= \det(A - tI). \end{aligned}$$

So the two matrices have the same characteristic polynomial. Additionally

$$P_D(A) = P_D(QDQ^{-1}) = QP_D(D)Q^{-1} = Q0Q^{-1} = 0.$$

The fact used here is that for each term  $a_i t^i$

$$a_i (QDQ^{-1})^i = a_i (QD^i Q^{-1}) = Q(a_i D^i)Q^{-1}.$$

This holds for every element of the polynomial and the  $Q$  and  $Q^{-1}$  can be factored out by the distributive property. So we have shown that the characteristic polynomial annihilates  $A$ .

### 2 Exercise 2 / 3

For each linear endomorphism  $T$  on the vector space  $V$  find an ordered basis for the  $T$ -cyclic subspace generated by the vector  $\vec{v}$ . Additionally Compute the characteristic polynomial of  $T|_W$ .

$$1. V = \mathbb{R}^4, T\left(\begin{pmatrix} w \\ x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} w+x \\ x-y \\ w+y \\ w+z \end{pmatrix}, \vec{v} = \vec{e}_1$$

Listing out elements generated

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{v}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{v}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

But  $\vec{v}_4 = -3\vec{v}_2 + 3\vec{v}_3$  so only the first three are linearly independent and from the theorem presented in lecture we may stop with the first three vectors that are linearly independent and they form a basis  
Using the basis as described before

$$[T|_W]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With

$$\begin{aligned} \det(T_W - \lambda I) &= \det\left(\begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -\lambda & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 3-\lambda \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= -\lambda(-\lambda(3-\lambda) + 3) = \lambda(-\lambda^2 + 3\lambda - 3). \end{aligned}$$

$$2. V = \mathbb{R}[x]_{\leq 3}, T(f(x)) = f''(x), \vec{v} = x^2 \text{ Listing elements}$$

$$\vec{v}_1 = x^2, \vec{v}_2 = 2, \vec{v}_3 = 0.$$

And so a basis is  $\vec{v}_1$  and  $\vec{v}_2$

Using the basis

$$[T|_W]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We get the characteristic polynomial

$$\det(T - \lambda I) = \lambda^2.$$

$$3. V = k^{2 \times 2}, T(A) = A^T, \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ Listing elements}$$

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is a linearly dependent set so only the first vector is considered and by itself it forms a basis of the T-cyclic subspace.

The characteristic polynomial associated with this matrix is

$$P_T(\lambda) = (1 - \lambda).$$

4.  $V = k^{2 \times 2}$ ,  $T(A) = L \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} (A)$ ,  $\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Listing elements

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{v}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 6 & 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This set is linearly dependent since  $\vec{v}_3 = 3\vec{v}_2$  so the first two vectors form a basis Using this basis a matrix representation is as follows

$$[T|_W]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With characteristic polynomial

$$P_T(\lambda) = (-\lambda)(3 - \lambda).$$

### 3 Exercise 4

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be non-zero finite dimensional  $k$ -vector spaces and let

$$T : V \rightarrow W.$$

be a linear transformation

1. Prove that  $T$  is onto if and only if  $T^*$  is one-one

For the first direction if  $T^*$  is one-one assume for contradiction that  $T$  is not onto. Then there exists some  $w \in W$  s.t.  $Tv \neq w$  for all  $v \in V$ . Since  $W$  is finite dimensional pick a basis for  $W$   $B = \{w_0, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$  with  $w_0$  as before. Consider the element  $f \in W^*$  defined on the basis as

$$f(w_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & i = 0 \\ 0 & i \neq 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then for any  $v \in V$ ,

$$T^*(f)(v) = f(Tv) = 0.$$

This implies that  $T^*(f) = 0 \in V^*$  but this is a contradiction since we assumed that  $T^*$  is one-one since  $T^*(0) = 0$  as well.

To prove the second direction, if  $T$  is onto assume for contradiction that  $T^*$  is not one-one Then there exists some  $f_1, f_2 \in W^*$ ,  $f_1 \neq f_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(f_1) &= T^*(f_2) \\ f_1(Tv) &= f_2(Tv) \end{aligned}$$

For all  $v \in V$ . But since  $f_1 \neq f_2$  there exists some  $w \in W$  such that  $f_1(w) \neq f_2(w)$  and by  $T$  onto we have there exists some  $v \in V$  such that  $T(v) = w$ . This is a contradiction because we have two  $v$  that agree

2. Prove that  $T^*$  is onto iff  $T$  is one-one

To prove the first direction, if  $T$  is one-one pick a basis  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  for  $V$ . Then since  $T$  is one-one the set  $\{Tv_1, Tv_2, \dots, Tv_n\}$  is linearly independent and extend it to a basis  $\{Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n, w_1, \dots, w_m\}$  for  $W$ . Let  $f \in V^*$ . Then

$$f = \alpha_1 v_1^* + \dots + \alpha_n v_n^*.$$

with each  $\alpha_i \in k$  where  $v_i^*$  is the linear functional defined on the basis taking  $v_i$  to one and all other basis vectors to 0. Then pick  $g \in W^*$  such that

$$g = \alpha_1 (Tv_1)^* + \dots + \alpha_n (Tv_n)^*.$$

Then

$$T^*g(v_i) = g(Tv_i) = \alpha_i = f(v_i).$$

and so the linear functionals agree on a basis and thus agree so we have shown that every  $f$  is in the form  $T^*g$  for some  $g \in W^*$ .

For the second direction if  $T^*$  is onto assume for contradiction that  $T$  is not one-one. Then there exists

$$v_1, v_2 \in V \quad v_1 \neq v_2 \quad Tv_1 = Tv_2.$$

since  $v_1 \neq v_2$  there exists some  $f \in V^*$  such that  $f(v_1) \neq f(v_2)$  but since  $T^*$  is onto there exists some  $g \in W^*$  such that  $T^*g = f$

$$g(Tv_1) = f(v_1) = \alpha = g(w).$$

$$g(Tv_2) = f(v_2) = \beta = g(w).$$

so  $g(w) = \alpha$  and  $g(w) = \beta$  but  $\beta \neq \alpha$  a contradiction thus  $T$  is one-one

## 4 Exercise 5

Fix some  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$  and some scalars  $a_0, \dots, a_{d-1} \in k$  let  $A$  denote the  $d \times d$  matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -a_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_{d-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -a_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Prove that the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is  $(-1)^d(a_0 + a_1t + \dots + a_{d-1}t^{d-1} + t^d)$ . First proving the base case  $d = 2$

$$\det\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix} - tI\right) = \det\left(\begin{pmatrix} -t & -a_0 \\ 1 & -a_1 - t \end{pmatrix}\right) = (-1)^2(a_0 + a_1t + t^2).$$

Now assume it holds for  $d < n$ , then for the  $n \times n$  matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
& \det \begin{pmatrix} -t & 0 & \dots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & -t & \dots & 0 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -a_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -t & -a_{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -a_{n-1} - t \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (-t) \left( \det \begin{pmatrix} -t & \dots & 0 & -a_1 \\ 1 & \dots & 0 & -a_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & -t & -a_{n-2} \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & -a_{n-1} - t \end{pmatrix} \right) + (-1)^{n-1} (-a_0) \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -t & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -t \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (-t) ((-1)^{n-1} (a_1 + a_2 t + \dots + a_{n-1} t^{n-2} + t^{n-1})) + (-1)^n (a_0) \\
&= (-1)^n (a_0 + a_1 t + \dots + a_{n-1} t^{n-1} + t^n).
\end{aligned}$$

Proving the iterative step, so by induction we have shown the relationship holds.

## 5 Exercise 6

Let  $T$  be a linear endomorphism of a finite dimensional vector space  $V$ .

1. Prove that if the characteristic polynomial of  $T$  splits, then so does the characteristic polynomial for the restriction of  $T$  to any  $T$ -invariant subspace of  $V$ .

Using the definition that splitting refers to being the product of linear factors it suffices to show that  $f_{T|_W} | f_T$  when  $W$  is  $T$ -invariant. Suppose that  $W$  is  $T$  invariant and consider any basis  $B_1 = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$  of  $W$  since  $W$  is finite dimensional. Extend that basis to  $B_2 = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n, v_1, \dots, v_d\}$  of  $V$  again since finite dimensional. Consider the representation

$$[T]_{B_2} = \begin{pmatrix} [T|_W]_{B_1} & A_1 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are block matrices. and so

$$[T - tI]_{B_2} = \begin{pmatrix} [T|_W - tI]_{B_1} & A_1 \\ 0 & A_2 - tI \end{pmatrix}.$$

And By the rules of taking determinants of block matrices

$$f_T = f_{T|_W} \det(A_2 - tI).$$

and so  $f_{T|_W}$  divides the characteristic polynomial and in particular if the characteristic polynomial splits so does the restriction to any  $T$  invariant subspace.

2. Deduce that if the characteristic polynomial of  $T$  splits, then any nonzero  $T$ -invariant subspace of  $V$  contains an eigenvector of  $T$

Consider the characteristic polynomial of the restriction  $f_{T|_W}$  with  $W$  being the  $T$ -invariant subspace. By the previous part of the question,  $f_{T|_W}$  splits and is of positive degree. In particular there exists a factor

$$(\alpha - t).$$

for some  $\alpha$  in the base field. We use the fact that since  $\alpha$  is a root of the characteristic polynomial it implies that the transformation  $T|_W - \alpha I$  is not invertible and so there exists some  $v \in W$  with  $v \neq 0$  such that  $(T|_W - \alpha I)v = 0$  which is equivalent to  $T|_W v = \alpha v$  thus  $W$  has an eigenvector of  $T|_W$  but by definition  $T|_W v = Tv$  since  $v \in W$  and so  $Tv = \alpha v$  and  $v$  is an eigenvector of  $T$

Let  $T$  be a linear operator on a finite dimensional vector space  $V$ , and let  $W$  be a  $T$ -invariant subspace of  $V$

1. suppose that  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d$  are eigenvectors of  $T$  corresponding to distinct eigenvalues. Prove that if  $\sum_{i=1}^d v_i$  is in  $W$ , then  $v_i \in W$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$

We prove inductively a stronger argument that if  $\sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i v_i \in W$  then each  $v_i \in W$  with  $\beta_i \neq 0$  for all  $i$ . For the base case if  $d = 1$  the statement is trivially true after dividing the single term by  $\beta_1$ . Assume now that the statement holds for  $d = n$  then for  $d = n + 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \beta_1 v_1 + \beta_2 v_2 + \dots + \beta_n v_n + \beta_{n+1} v_{n+1} \\ Tv &= \alpha_1 \beta_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_2 v_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \beta_n v_n + \alpha_{n+1} \beta_{n+1} v_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

Consider the element

$$\alpha_{n+1} v - Tv = \beta_1(\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_1)v_1 + \beta_2(\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_2)v_2 + \dots + \beta_n(\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n)v_n + \beta_{n+1}(\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_{n+1})v_{n+1}.$$

the coefficient for element  $v_i$  is

$$\beta_i(\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_i).$$

which is not zero if  $i \neq n + 1$  since all eigenvalues are distinct and so this vector

$$w = \alpha_{n+1} v - Tv \in W.$$

and by the inductive hypothesis this implies that each  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  is in  $W$ . But since each of these vectors is in  $W$  we can isolate the  $v_{n+1}$  term in the original vector by the equation

$$v_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\beta_{n+1}}(v - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i v_i).$$

and so  $v_{n+1}$  is in  $W$  proving the inductive step. in particular picking each  $\beta_i = 1$  we get the statement of the original question.

2. Suppose that  $\dim(V) = n$  and  $T$  has  $n$  distinct eigenvalues. Prove that  $V$  itself is a  $T$ -cyclic subspace.

Consider the vector  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i$  with each  $v_i$  an eigenvector which in a previous problem we showed could be found for each distinct eigenvalue and let  $W$  be the  $T$ -cyclic subspace generated by  $v$ . By the previous question each  $v_i \in W$ . The set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is linearly independent because assume for contradiction they were not then without loss of generality let  $v_1$  be a linear combination of the other vectors

$$v_1 = \beta_2 v_2 + \beta_3 v_3 + \dots + \beta_n v_n.$$

$$Tv_1 = \beta_2 \alpha_2 v_2 + \beta_3 \alpha_3 v_3 + \dots + \beta_n \alpha_n v_n \neq \alpha_1 v_1.$$

since the eigenvalues are distinct. And since  $W$  is a subspace of  $V$  its dimension is less than or equal to  $n$ , however since  $W$  has  $n$  linearly independent vectors it must have dimension at least  $n$ , and so its dimension will be exactly  $n$  and since  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  are  $n$  linearly independent vectors in  $W$  they form a basis. and since  $\dim(W) = \dim(V)$   $W = V$ . So  $V$  is a  $T$ -cyclic subspace generated by  $v$ .

## 6 Exercise 8

*Prove that the restriction of a diagonalizable linear operator  $T$  to any non-trivial  $T$ -invariant subspace is also diagonalizable*

Let  $T$  be a diagonalizable linear operator, then there exists some basis where every element is an eigenvector. Assume for contradiction that  $W$  is a  $T$ -invariant subspace but  $T|_W$  is not diagonalizable, then there is some vector  $v \in W$  such that  $v$  can not be represented as the sum of linearly independent eigenvectors. Consider the representation  $v = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i v_i$  of  $v$  in  $V$  where each  $v_i$  is an eigenvector under the transformation  $T$ . This is possible because  $T$  is diagonalizable. This sum must be finite and so by the previous question, grouping elements of equal eigenvalue there exist vectors corresponding to each eigenvalue in  $W$ , the span of which includes  $v$  a contradiction and so  $W$  is spanned by eigenvectors under  $T$  and  $T|_W$  is diagonalizable.

In particular if each eigenvalue is distinct we are done and if not for any eigenvalue let  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_d\}$  be the eigenvectors corresponding to that eigenvalue, if  $W$  has any linear combination of such vectors they can be grouped into sums of vectors with the same eigenvalue and whichever subset is in  $W$  can be represented as a unique basis element.

## 7 Exercise 9

Let  $A \in k^{n \times n}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ . Prove that  $\dim(\text{span}\{I_n, A, A^2, A^3, \dots\}) \leq n$  consider the characteristic polynomial  $f_A(t)$ .  $\deg(f_A) \leq n$  in particular if

$$f_A(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \dots + (-1)^n t^n.$$

then

$$f_A(A) = a_0 I_n + a_1 A + a_2 A^2 + \dots + (-1)^n A^n = 0.$$

so  $A^n$  is in the span of  $\{I_n, A, A^2, \dots, A^{n-1}\}$ . And so if  $T : k^{n \times n} \rightarrow k^{n \times n}$  defined by left multiplication by  $A$  the set described is a  $T$ -cyclic subspace generated by  $I_n$  and so by identical argument as described in class it contains no more than  $n$  elements since  $A^n$  is a linear combination of the other elements. Thus the dimension of the span is less than or equal to  $n$ .