

## HW 4 - 131AH

ASHER CHRISTIAN 006-150-286

### 1. EXERCISE 1.2

If  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0, 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , three limits we can consider are

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x, y), \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} f(x, y), \quad \lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)} f(x, y).$$

Compute these limits if they exist for

$$f(x, y) = \frac{xy}{x^2 + y^2}, \quad f(x, y) = \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

### 2. EXERCISE 1.3

Find a sequence of functions  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that converges to the zero function and such that the sequence  $(\int_0^1 f_n(x) dx)_n$ , increases without bound.

Let  $(f_n)_n$  be defined such that

$$f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} := \begin{cases} -e^n(x - \frac{1}{n}) & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{n} < x \leq 1 \end{cases}.$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = 0.$$

because for each  $x \in [0, 1]$  if  $x = 0$  it is always zero, and if  $x \neq 0$  then pick  $n > \frac{1}{x}$  which makes  $f_n(x) = 0$ . Thus the function converges to zero for each point in  $[0, 1]$ .

The integral:

$$\int_0^1 f_n(x) dx = \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} -e^n(x^2 - \frac{x}{n}) = -e^n \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} x^2 - \frac{x}{n}.$$

since  $x^2 - \frac{x}{n}$  is continuous, by the fundamental theorem of calculus we have

$$\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} x^2 - \frac{x}{n} = \frac{(\frac{1}{n})^3}{3} - \frac{(\frac{1}{n})^2}{2n} - 0 + 0 = n^{-3}(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2}) = -\frac{1}{6}n^{-3}.$$

and

$$\int_0^1 f_n(x) dx = \frac{1}{6}e^n n^{-3} = \frac{e^n}{6n^3}.$$

$$\frac{e^1}{1^3} > 1.$$

$$\frac{e^{n+1}}{(n+1)^3} = \frac{e^n}{n^3} \frac{e}{\frac{(n+1)^3}{n^3}} = \frac{e^n}{n^3} (\frac{n}{n+1})^3 e > \frac{e^n}{n^3} (\frac{4}{5})^3 e = \frac{e^n}{n^3} \frac{64}{125} e > \frac{e^n}{n^3} \frac{128}{125}.$$

for  $n > 4$  since  $\frac{n}{n+1} = 1$

and so the integral is unbounded and its limit does not exist.

---

Date: 15.11.24.

## 3. EXERCISE 1.5

Show that if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is a convergent series of real numbers and  $(v_k)_k$  is a subsequence of  $(n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  then

$$(a_1 + \dots + a_{v_1}) + (a_{v_1+1} + \dots + a_{v_2}) + (a_{v_2+1} + \dots + a_{v_3}) + \dots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n.$$

Let  $(s_n)_n$  be a sequence with each  $s_n$  defined by  $(s_n) = (a_{v_{n-1}} + a_{v_{n-1}+1} + \dots + a_{v_n})$  taking  $v_0$  to be 1. We aim to show that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n$ . First we show that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n$  exists. For this let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that the partial sums  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i, \sum_{i=1}^m a_i$  are less than  $\epsilon$  apart when  $n, m > N$ . Pick  $N'$  s.t.  $v_{N'} > N$  then any two partial sums

$$\sum_{i=1}^n s_i - \sum_{i=1}^m s_i = s_{n+1} + s_{n+2} + \dots + s_m = a_{v_n} + \dots + a_{v_m}.$$

assuming  $n < m$  and this is the same form as a cauchy sequence for the original sum and thus is less than epsilon and the sum exists.

To show that the two sums are equal, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  pick  $N_1$  such that the partial sums of  $N_1$  or more terms of  $a_i$  are within  $\frac{\epsilon}{3}$  of each other and pick  $N_2$  such that  $s_i$  sums are the same and set  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i + \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} a_i.$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_i = \sum_{i=1}^N s_i + \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} s_i.$$

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_i \right| \leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^N s_i - \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \right| + \left| \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} a_i \right| + \left| \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} s_i \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon.$$

Since  $(v_n)_n$  is a subsequence of  $\mathbb{N}$  and by the definition of  $s_n$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^N s_i$  is equivalent to  $\sum_{i=1}^{v_N} a_i$  and  $v_N \geq N$  so the first term is equivalent to the cauchy statement made previously and thus less than  $\frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . Consider additionally the partial sums of the last two terms. Each partial sum is equivalent to  $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i - \sum_{i=1}^N x_i$  with  $x_i \in \{a_i, s_i\}$  and thus is also a cauchy difference since  $m, N \geq N$  and so those terms too are less than  $\frac{\epsilon}{3}$  justifying the last step. Therefore the two sums are within  $\epsilon$  of each other for any  $\epsilon$  and are therefore the same.

## 4. EXERCISE 1.6

Let  $(a_n)_n \subset [0, +\infty)$  be a sequence of positive numbers which is monotone non increasing. Show that the following hold.

(i) If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is convergent then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n a_n = 0$ .

(ii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is convergent if and only if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n a_{2^n}$  is convergent.

Since the series is convergent it is also cauchy in particular for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $N_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right| < \epsilon.$$

whenever  $n, m \geq N_\epsilon$ . To show the limit converges to zero, for any epsilon pick  $N = 2(N_\epsilon + 1)$  for  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$  as before and for any  $n > N$  consider  $m = \frac{1}{2}n$  flooring  $m$  if odd and  $n - 1$

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} \geq |a_{\frac{n}{2}} + a_{\frac{n}{2}+1} + \dots + a_n| = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} a_i \geq |a_n + a_n + \dots + a_n| \geq \frac{1}{2}n|a_n|.$$

The last part is an inequality because of the case where  $\frac{n}{2}$  is floored and an extra term is included thus overcounting by  $\frac{1}{2}a_n$  multiplying through by 2 on both sides we get

$$\epsilon \geq n|a_n|.$$

for any  $n > N$  and thus the limit of  $n|a_n|$  is equal to zero proving (i)

For (ii) first note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n a_{2^n} \leq a_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n a_{2^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n a_{2^n}.$$

which also converges since the two differ by a constant  $a_1$ . Expanding this out we see every partial sum

$$\sum_{i=0}^n 2^i a_{2^i} = a_1 + a_2 + a_2 + a_4 + a_4 + a_4 + a_4 + \dots + a_{2^n} \geq a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + a_7 + \dots + a_{2^{n+1}-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n+1}-1} a_i.$$

This is due to the fact that  $a_n$  is monotonic non-increasing. By the comparison test this series converges proving the first direction that the convergence of  $2^n a_{2^n}$  implies the convergence of  $a_n$ . to show the second direction that  $a_n$  convergence implies  $2^n a_{2^n}$  convergence.

To show this first we note that since  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$  converges, for all  $n > N$   $\frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} < \xi$  with  $\xi \in [0, 1)$ . This implies then for all  $n$  s.t.  $2^n > N$

$$\frac{|a_{2^{n+1}}|}{|a_{2^n}|} < \xi^{2^n}.$$

Since there are  $2^n$  elements between the two values and so

$$\frac{|2^{n+1} a_{2^{n+1}}|}{|2^n a_{2^n}|} < 2\xi^{2^n}.$$

Increasing  $N$  so  $\xi^{2^N} < \frac{1}{2}$  (which is possible because the value converges to zero as  $n$  goes to infinity) we see that for this new (possibly larger)  $N$  the series defined by  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i a_{2^i}$  satisfies the ratio test and therefore converges proving the second direction.

## 5. EXERCISE 1.7

*Integral Test) Let  $f : [1, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a monotone non increasing function. Prove that the following are equivalent.*

1. (i)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)$  is convergent
2. (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_1^n f$  exists

First to prove (i) implies (ii) consider the two functions  $f_1, f_2 : [1, N] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$f_1(x) = f(\lfloor x \rfloor), f_2(x) = f(\lceil x \rceil).$$

For arbitrary  $N$  And note that since  $N$  is finite,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are step functions. additionall

$$\forall x \in [0, N] \quad f_2(x) \leq f(x) \leq f_1(x).$$

By the monotonicity of  $f$ . The integrals of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are well defined and in particular

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^N f_1(x)dx &= \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} f(n). \\ \int_1^N f_2(x)dx &= \sum_2^N f(n). \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\int_1^N f_2(x)dx \leq \int_1^N f(x)dx \leq \int_1^N f_1(x)dx.$$

But the integrals of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are bounded since the sums are bounded and since we can assume each  $f(x) \geq 0$  since by the sum converging  $f(x)$  must approach 0. So the integrals are bounded above by the infinite sum  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)$ , below by 0 and are monotone increasing. Additionally since  $f$  is montone and bounded it is integrable. so the integral exists for each  $N$  and since the partial sums below and above are cauchy, the integral itself must be cauchy and so it converges and the limit exists. Seen below for any  $\epsilon > 0$  pick  $N$  such that the lower and upper sums are cauchy within  $\epsilon$ . for all  $m, n > N$  Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_m^n f_2(x)dx &\leq \int_m^n f(x)dx \leq \int_m^n f_1(x)dx. \\ -\epsilon &\leq \int_m^n f(x)dx \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally since the limit is over all real numbers note that since the integral is strictly increasing a bound that works for all integer differences greater than  $N$  will work for all real numbers greater than  $N$

Now to prove (ii) implies (i). Assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^n f$  exists. Let  $I_n = \int_{n-1}^n f$  Then  $\int_1^n f = \sum_2^n I_n$ . Since  $f$  is monotone nonincreasing it achieves its maximum on the interval  $[n-1, n]$  at  $n-1$  and its minimum at  $n$ . In particular  $|I_n| \geq |f(n)|$  since  $f(n)$  is nonnegative. Thus by the comparison test if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^n f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_2^n I_n$  exists, by the comparison test  $\sum_1^{\infty} f(n)$  exists and converges absolutely

## 6. EXERCISE 1.8

For which  $p > 0$  the following series converge:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}, \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^p}, \quad \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log(n)(\log \log(n))^p}.$$

All elements of these series are strictly posive for large  $N$  so if they converge the converge absolutely. So it suffices to check if they converge absolutely.

For any  $p > 1$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}.$$

converges by the integral test for if  $p \neq 1$  then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^n \frac{1}{x^p} dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{1-p}}{1-p} - \frac{1}{1-p}.$$

The non-constant term of this limit converges to 0 if  $p > 1$  and diverges towards infinity if  $p < 1$ . In the case  $p = 1$  we have shown in class that this is the harmonic series and it diverges. Using the result from Exercise 1.6 we see that the second sum converges if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n a_{2^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{2^n (\log(2^n))^p} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p \log(2)^p} = \frac{1}{\log(2)^p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}.$$

We have shown this in the previous question to only converge when  $p > 1$ . Similarly for the last series using the same rule

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{2^n \log(2^n) (\log(\log(2^n)))^p} = \frac{1}{\log(2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n (\log(n \log(2)))^p}.$$

This series also only converges if the following converges

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{2^n (\log(2^n \log(2)))^p} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n \log(2) + \log \log(2))^p} = \frac{1}{(\log(2))^p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n + \frac{\log \log(2)}{\log(2)})^p}.$$

This series only converges when  $p > 1$  since by the integral test and a substitution as before this is simply a shifted version of the first example.

## 7. EXERCISE 1.9

On the set  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, -2, \dots\}$  show the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+x} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+x-n}{n(n+x)} = x \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 + nx}.$$

If  $x \geq 0$  then by the comparison test this series converges since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$  converges. If  $x < 0$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$  pick  $N$  such that if  $n_2 > n_1 > N$  the series  $\frac{1}{n^2}$  is Cauchy within  $\epsilon$ . i.e.

$$\sum_{n=n_1}^{n_2} \frac{1}{n^2} < \epsilon.$$

then pick  $M = N + |x|$ . Then for the same  $n_2, n_1$

$$\sum_{n=n_1+|x|}^{n_2+|x|} \frac{1}{n^2 + nx} = \frac{1}{(n_1 + |x|)^2 - (n_1 + |x|)|x|} + \dots + \frac{1}{(n_2 + |x|)^2 - (n_2 + |x|)|x|}.$$

and for any  $n > 0$

$$\frac{1}{(n + |x|)^2 - (n + |x|)|x|} = \frac{1}{n^2 + 2n|x| + |x|^2 - n|x| - |x|^2} = \frac{1}{n^2 + n|x|} < \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

so within this new  $M$ , the series is Cauchy. Thus for any  $x$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists some  $M$  such that for any  $n, m > M$  the partial sums up to  $n$  and  $m$  are within  $\epsilon$  apart and the series converges. The series does not converge uniformly however since picking  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  for any  $N$  such that if  $n_1, n_2 > N$

$$\sum_{n=n_1}^{n_2} \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+x} < \epsilon.$$

pick  $x = -N + \frac{1}{2}$  Then the term

$$\frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{N - N + \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{N} + 2.$$

Is clearly greater than  $\frac{1}{2}$  and so the series does not converge uniformly.

#### 8. EXERCISE 1.10

*Root test: let  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  be a series of real numbers such that there exists  $r \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\sqrt[n]{|a_n|} \leq r$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ . Show that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is absolutely convergent.*

$$|a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq r \rightarrow |a_n| \leq r^n.$$

chopping off the first terms until the inequality holds

$$\sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} r^n.$$

In particular the second series converges since it is the geometric series with each partial sum equal to

$$\frac{1 - r^n}{1 - r}.$$

The limit of which is well defined. And so  $a_n$  in series converges absolutely by comparison test.

#### 9. EXERCISE 1.11

*Prove that if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  are absolutely convergent series of real numbers then the series  $\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_m$  is also absolutely convergent and*

$$\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_m = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \right).$$

#### 10. EXERCISE 1.12

Let  $(c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Prove that the radius of convergence of the power series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n$  is  $\frac{1}{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}}$  if  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = \infty$  then clearly  $R = 0$  because we can always find a  $c_n$  arbitrarily large so for this proof assume  $R \neq 0$  First note that a power series converges absolutely within its radius of convergence. Now to show that if  $R = \frac{1}{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}}$  for any  $-R < x < R$  considering the absolute sum. And noting that  $|c_n|$  is bounded let  $M = \sup_n |c_n|$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n x^n| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n| \left( \frac{1}{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} + \epsilon} \right)^n \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{|c_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}}{|c_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} + \epsilon} \right)^n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\epsilon}{|c_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}}} \right)^n \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\epsilon}{M^{\frac{1}{n}}}} \right)^n \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\epsilon}{M}} \right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming  $M \geq 1$  otherwise, replace  $\frac{\epsilon}{M}$  with  $\epsilon$  in the last statement. Either way this is clearly a power series and so converges absolutely. Thus proving that the radius of convergence holds. Now to prove that  $R$  is the maximum such bound for the radius of convergence. Assume for contradiction that there exists some  $R' > R$  that can serve as a radius of convergence. Pick  $R < x < R'$  and consider the subsequence  $(c_{n_k})_k$  such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |c_{n_k}|^{\frac{1}{n_k}}$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n_k} x^{n_k} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n.$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_{n_k} x^{n_k}| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_{n_k}| \left| \frac{1}{\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |c_{n_k}|^{\frac{1}{n_k}} - \epsilon} \right|^{n_k}.$$

Consider the individual terms and  $N > 0$  such that  $\left| |c_{n_k}|^{\frac{1}{n_k}} - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |c_{n_k}|^{\frac{1}{n_k}} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

$$\left| \frac{|c_{n_k}|^{\frac{1}{n_k}}}{\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |c_{n_k}|^{\frac{1}{n_k}} - \epsilon} \right|^{n_k} \geq \left| \frac{|c_{n_k}|^{\frac{1}{n_k}}}{|c_{n_k}|^{\frac{1}{n_k}} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}} \right|^{n_k} > 1.$$

Thus every element after  $N$  terms is greater than 1 so the partial sums of this new series do not converge. Additionally there are infinitely many elements corresponding to these  $c_{n_k}$  in the original series so for arbitrary partial sums greater than  $N$  there are some that have an element of  $c_{n_k}$  in them and thus are in absolute value larger than 1. Therefore the original series does not converge this is a contradiction which shows that  $R$  is the least upper bound on the radius of convergence.