

# Adjoint of the Derivative

## 1 What was I talking about?

This is what I was trying to say in discussion today as a fun example. Take  $V = \mathbb{R}[x]_{\leq n}$  and define inner product on  $V$  by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx$$

Since we're working over  $\mathbb{R}$  we can ignore the complex conjugation. Take  $T$  as the differentiation operator

$$T(f) = \frac{d}{dx} f \in V$$

What is the adjoint of  $T$ ? We can use integration by parts to get that for all  $f, g$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Tf, g \rangle = \langle f, T^*g \rangle &\implies \int_0^1 f'(x)g(x)dx = \int_0^1 f(x)(T^*(g))(x)dx \\ &\implies f(x)g(x) \Big|_{t=0}^{t=1} - \int_0^1 f(x)g'(x)dx = \int_0^1 f(x)(T^*(g))(x)dx \end{aligned}$$

This is almost what we want to find the adjoint: Pretend  $S$  is an operator on  $V$  such that  $S = (E_1 - E_0) - T$  and  $E_a$  is some operator that takes in a function  $h$  and spits out a function  $E_a h$  where

$$\int (E_a h)(x) f(x) dx = h(a) f(a)$$

However, no such operator exists because it would need to be something resembling multiplication by a function that integrates to 1 but yet is only nonzero at a single point. This is what people call the “Dirac delta function” (which you can google) and it's not actually a function. The rigorous way to define it is actually the way we've done here; it comes about just as some formal linear algebra device (but usually this is all done on an infinite-dimensional function space).

I finished what I said in discussion by saying that the adjoint of differentiation doesn't actually have a nice form because what it's “supposed” to be isn't actually a function. But keep in mind that the adjoint of a linear map on an inner product space always exists and is uniquely defined.

## 2 What can we compute?

Here's an example that shows you a computation of what the adjoint actually does in one of these cases. Let's take  $V$  to be polynomials of degree at most 2 over  $\mathbb{R}$ . This has a basis

$$\{1, x, x^2\}$$

Gram-Schmidt on this basis gives an orthonormal basis for  $V$  of the form

$$\{1, \sqrt{12}(x - \frac{1}{2}), \sqrt{180}(x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6})\}$$

Remember that in an orthonormal basis the adjoint is supposed to be the conjugate transpose of the matrix of the map. So writing the differentiation map  $T$  in matrix form using our orthonormal basis, we get

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2\sqrt{15} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \implies T^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{15} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

What does  $T^*$  do in our original basis? Using a change of basis matrix, we can recover

$$T^*(1) = 12x - 6$$

$$T^*(x) = 30x^2 - 24x + 2$$

$$T^*(x^2) = 30x^2 - 26x + 3$$

You can check that, for example

$$\int_0^1 f'(x)x^2 dx = \int_0^1 f(x)(30x^2 - 26x + 3) dx$$

for any polynomial  $f$  of degree at most 2. However, this equation is false for higher-degree polynomials (including the example  $x^3$ )!