$\underline{\mathbf{SPAMM}}$

The Simple, Poor-man's Allocator/Memory-Manager Garbage collection extensions for C Manual version Revision: 2.7\$ \$Date: $1994/11/29 \ 01:59:54$ \$



Introduction

This document describes the application programmer's interface to SPAMM, a library package for adding automatic garbage collection to C programs.

1 Garbage collection overview

SPAMM is a simple yet powerful package for adding garbage-collection to C programs. Garbage collection frees the programmer from concern about memory management. The programmer simply requests memory when it's needed, then forgets about it. The allocated memory is automatically reclaimed when it's no longer needed.

SPAMM uses a straightforward mark-and-sweep garbage collection algorithm. In this scheme, a garbage collection consists of two phases. In the *mark phase*, a special list of pointers called the *root* is traversed. The referents of these *rooted pointers* are marked as reachable. After the mark phase, the sweep phase takes place, during which all objects not marked reachable are reclaimed (for later reuse). It is the programmer's responsibility to ensure that any pointers to SPAMM-managed objects are properly rooted (that is, that they appear in the root list).

The application programmer asks SPAMM to manage the allocation and reclamation for particular data types. For each different type that is to be managed by SPAMM, the programmer creates a SPAMM pool. New objects of a particular type are allocated from the corresponding pool. Managed objects are automatically reclaimed when they are no longer needed; i.e., when there no longer exist any pointers to them.

A garbage collection is invoked automatically when the application requests a new object but the corresponding pool is full. If the garbage collection does not result in freeing up some items in the pool, then the pool size is increased. A garbage collection can be explicitly invoked by the application, or it can be suppressed for the duration of some critical computation.

Each data type has an associated trace function and a reclaim function. The reclaim function is invoked on an object when a garbage collection (in the sweep phase) is about to reclaim it. The trace function's purpose is to allow the mark phase to find and traverse the pointers inside a SPAMM-managed data object. See Chapter 6 [Rooting and tracing], page 9.

2 Mechanisms

This chapter discusses the technology behind SPAMM, and is intended to motivate and clarify the sections that follow. If you are not interested in the technical details of SPAMM, feel free to skip this chapter.

2.1 Definitions

SPAMM internally maintains two data structures, the root list and the pool list.

The root list is a list of addresses of pointers to SPAMM-managed objects. In other words, if you've asked SPAMM to manage objects of type struct foo, then the root list will contain values of type struct foo **. This is because SPAMM needs to know the address of any variable that can point to a struct foo, as explained below.

The pool list is a list of object pools, one pool for each data type you've asked SPAMM to manage. Each pool, in turn, consists of three page lists, designated the empty page list, the full page list, and the neither page list. A page list is a list of pages.

A page contains a fixed number of allocatable objects; this fixed number is chosen per data type when the type's pool is created. When the application requests a new instance of some object, SPAMM hands back a pointer to one of the objects in one of the already-allocated pages of objects. That object is then flagged (within the page) as in use. An empty page is one in which none of the constituent objects is in use. A full page is one in which all of the constituent objects are in use. A neither page is one which is neither empty nor full (i.e., some but not all of its constituent objects are in use).

2.2 Allocation

When the application requests a new object from a given object pool, SPAMM examines the pool to see whether it contains any neither pages. If so, an object is allocated from the first neither page and returned. (If that was the last unused object in the neither page, then the page becomes a full page and is moved from the neither page list to the full page list.)

If the pool contains no neither pages, then the empty pages are consulted. If there is an empty page, an object is allocated from it and returned, and the page is moved to the neither page list (or the full page list if it is a one-element page).

If the pool contains neither neither pages nor empty pages, then a garbage collection is triggered to try and create some empty pages or neither pages. See Section 2.3 [Garbage collection], page 4.

If the garbage collection fails to turn full pages into empty or neither pages, then SPAMM relents and actually allocates a new empty page.

If the application has turned off garbage collection (see Chapter 7 [Controlling SPAMM], page 13), then this sequence happens slightly differently. If no empty or neither page is found, then SPAMM tries to allocate a new page right away. If that fails, SPAMM will try a garbage collection (regardless of the request to suspend garbage collection). If even that fails to produce new allocatable objects, then a new-page allocation is tried one more time before giving up.

2.3 Garbage collection

In a garbage collection, all objects in all pages in all pools start out marked as unreachable. The mark phase then begins and the root list is traversed. Recall that the root list contains pointers to pointers to objects. Each pointer pointed to in the root list is then traced.

Tracing a pointer works as follows: If the pointer is null, nothing happens. Otherwise, the pointer is presumed to point to some SPAMM-managed object. That object's page is found (by simple pointer arithmetic). If the object is marked reachable, then nothing else is done. Otherwise, the object is marked as reachable, and then it is recursively traced using the pool's trace function. (The pool's trace function is responsible for calling spamm_Trace on the pointers inside a SPAMM-managed object.)

After the pointers in the root list are traced, the sweep phase begins, and each in-use object is then processed (by traversing the pool list [and the neither page list and the full page list within each pool]) as follows: The object is tested to see whether it was marked by the mark phase. If so, it is still in use; otherwise, it is garbage. The in-use flag is cleared for that object, reclaiming it for future re-use. The page containing the reclaimed object is moved, if appropriate, from the full page list to the neither page list, or from the neither page list to the empty page list. (The neither page is skipped if the pool's pages are only one element large.)

After the sweep phase, the garbage collection concludes by freeing excess empty pages. Empty pages are considered excessive if the number of in-use objects in a pool is smaller than 25% of the total number of objects in that pool.

(void (*) ()) spamm_GcStart

[Variable]

This is a pointer to a void function of no arguments; if you set it to some function, that function will be called when a garbage collection begins.

(void (*) ()) spamm_GcEnd

[Variable]

This can point to a function to be called when garbage collection ends. Usually this and <code>spamm_GcStart</code> are used to invoke functions that advise the user that a garbage collection is underway.

3 Initializing

Before performing any other SPAMM operations, SPAMM must be initialized.

void spamm_Initialize () [Function] Initializes SPAMM. Must be called exactly once before using SPAMM functionality.

4 Creating a pool

A SPAMM object pool is of type struct spamm_ObjectPool. Create a pool by whatever means are appropriate, then initialize it with spamm_InitPool. A separate pool should be created for each data type which you want SPAMM to manage. The type of data object managed by one pool is referred to as the *pool type*.

The elts parameter specifies how many objects will be allocated at a time in a page. For large data types which you know will be instantiated infrequently, it makes sense to choose a small value of elts to reduce the potential for allocating latent (unused) space. For smaller data types, or ones which are frequently allocated, choose the maximum value for elts. It sometimes happens that your application uses a single instance of some data type which, for bookkeeping reasons, needs to be SPAMM-managed¹. In this case, use a value of 1 for elts in that pool.

Initialize a pool of objects of a particular type. Arguments are: *pool*, a pointer to an uninitialized object pool structure; *size*, the size (in bytes) of an instance of the desired pool type; *elts*, the number of elements allocated at a time in a page of this pool; *tracefn*, a function responsible for tracing an object of the pool's type; and *reclaimfn*, a function responsible for finalizing a data structure before it becomes inaccessible.

The value ULBITS is the number of bits in an unsigned long on your architecture. The value of *elts* must lie between 1 and ULBITS.

The tracefn takes one argument, a pointer to an object of the pool's type. This function will be called by the mark phase of the garbage collection. Chapter 6 [Rooting and tracing], page 9, for more information.

The reclaimfn takes one argument, a pointer to an object of the pool's type. This function should free privately-allocated memory within the object and perform other cleanup tasks. This function will be called by the sweep phase of a garbage collection on a reclaimed object. Note that it is not in general possible to know when this will occur, or whether it will occur at all.

This function can raise the strerror [ENOMEM] exception.

Suppose you have a data type defined as follows:

```
struct foo {
    char     *name;
    struct bar *b;
    struct xyzzy *x;
    int     i;
};
```

You wish SPAMM to manage allocation and reclamation of instances of struct foo, so you create a pool to hold struct foo objects:

¹ This will be the case, for instance, if the data type in question can be pointed to by a pointer which might also point to another SPAMM-managed object.

Suppose further that the b and x fields of a struct foo are pointers to other objects that are managed by SPAMM. Then fooTrace could be defined like this:

```
static void
fooTrace(f)
    struct foo *f;
{
    spamm_Trace(f->b);
    spamm_Trace(f->x);
}
```

This allows SPAMM, in the mark phase of a garbage collection, to follow the pointers inside a struct foo and recursively mark the found objects.

Now suppose that the name field of a struct foo is a privately-allocated string. Then fooReclaim probably needs to look like this:

```
static void
fooReclaim(f)
    struct foo *f;
{
    if (f->name)
        free(f->name);
}
```

Reports the number of pages allocated in op. The int pointed to empty is set to the number of empty pages; neither will point to the number of neither pages; and full will point to the number of full pages. The return value is the sum of all pages allocated in op.

Any of empty, neither, or full may be NULL, but this does not affect the return value.

5 Allocating an object

Once you have created an object pool and initialized it with <code>spamm_InitPool</code>, you can request objects of the pool's type using <code>spamm_Allocate</code>.

6 Rooting and tracing

All pointers to SPAMM-managed objects must be rooted (inserted by reference into SPAMM's private root list), or must be accessible from rooted pointers. This allows the mark phase of a garbage collection to find objects that are being used and prevent them from being reclaimed in the sweep phase.

SPAMM's private root list is a doubly-linked list of pointers to pointers to objects. When the programmer creates a variable which can point to a SPAMM-managed object, it should be rooted immediately using one of the mechanisms described below. The variable should be unrooted before its lifetime expires (i.e., before the end of the scope in which it was defined).

Not all in-use objects are necessarily pointed to by pointers in the root list. Objects pointed to from the root list might themselves point to other SPAMM-managed objects which are not rooted. Such objects are said to be accessible from the rooted objects. Those objects themselves might point to others which are also considered accessible. The transitive closure of the objects accessible from the root list constitutes the set of objects-in-use. Other objects that have been allocated but which are not accessible are garbage which gets reclaimed during the sweep phase of a garbage collection.

Because SPAMM does not know the internal layout of a data object it is managing, it has no way of knowing which parts of the object might be pointing to other SPAMM-managed objects. It therefore relies on a programmer-defined trace function, one per data type being managed, to traverse the pointers within an object. The trace function is associated with a data type in a call to spamm_InitPool (see Chapter 4 [Creating a pool], page 6) and need only call the function spamm_Trace on each of the appropriate pointers contained within an object of the pool's type.

void spamm_Trace (void *ptr)

[Function]

Cause the appropriate trace function to be invoked on ptr, a pointer to a SPAMM-managed object. Typically called from the trace function of one pool on the components of its pool type which are other SPAMM-managed types. spamm_Trace ensures that the trace function is only called once for any particular object during a garbage collection.

6.1 Rooting mechanisms

SPAMM provides three functions to control rooting and unrooting, and it also provides a new syntactic construct. The syntactic construct is preferred over the lower-level functions to control rooting ad unrooting (except for pointers whose *extent* is not the same as their *scope*; see below). The syntactic construct consists of two macros, SPAMM_ROOT and SPAMM_ENDROOT, and is used like this:

In this example, the pointers f and b are rooted on entry to the SPAMM_ROOT block, and are automatically unrooted on exit from that block. Note the following:

- The arguments to SPAMM_ROOT are enclosed in *double* parentheses.
- The arguments to SPAMM_ROOT are the addresses of pointers.
- The argument list is terminated with a zero.
- The pointers whose addresses are given to SPAMM_ROOT are initialized to zero.

This last point is worth some explanation. All pointers in SPAMM's root list are assumed either to be zero, or to point to a valid SPAMM-managed object. These pointers will never be examined except during the mark phase of a garbage collection. Because it is not in general possible to predict when a garbage collection will occur, it is safest to simply ensure that all rooted pointers are properly initialized *before* they become rooted.

Important warning: Beware of functions that return SPAMM-managed objects but which are called without rooting their values! In a construct such as:

```
a(b(), c());
```

b may execute and produce a result, but the result is left, *unrooted*, on the stack while c executes, which could result in the return value of b being garbage-collected before a gets control. This workaround will solve the problem:

```
x = NULL;
y = NULL;
SPAMM_ROOT((&x, &y, 0)) {
    a(x = b(), y = c());
} SPAMM_ENDROOT;
```

The rooted variables x and y will protect the return values of b and c.

The syntactic constructs SPAMM_ROOT and SPAMM_ENDROOT are only appropriate when the extent of the pointers being rooted equals their scope. The scope of a variable refers to the region of code in which it is legal to refer to the variable; thus, a variable local to a function may only be referred to within that function, and the function is said to be that variable's scope. The extent of a variable refers to the variable's lifetime, or the period during which the variable is valid. For a variable local to a function, the extent is the same as the scope. However, consider this:

The extent of b persists beyond the scope of the function; that is, its value remains intact between invocations of foo. It is therefore wrong to unroot it at the end of foo's scope. The low-level functions spamm_Root and spamm_Unroot should be used instead:

```
int foo()
{
    static struct bar *b = 0;
    static int rootindex;

    if (...first time through...) {
        rootindex = spamm_Root(&b);
    }
    ...
    if (...last time through...) {
            spamm_Unroot(rootindex);
    }
}
```

6.1.1 Low-level rooting functions

It is easier and less error-prone to use the SPAMM_ROOT/SPAMM_ENDROOT pair of macros to keep your pointers properly rooted. However, if you require finer control over the process, there are three functions that you can use.

```
int spamm_Root (void **ptr)
```

[Function]

Inserts ptr (a pointer to a SPAMM-managed object) into the root list and returns the new root list index used (see below).

```
int spamm_RootList (void **p1, void **p2, ..., 0)
```

[Function]

Each argument is a pointer to a SPAMM-managed object. Calls spamm_Root on each of its arguments. A zero terminates the argument list. The return value is the number of pointers that were rooted.

```
void spamm_Unroot (int n)
```

[Function]

Removes from the root list the entry whose index is n (which is an index such as the one returned by $spamm_Root$).

The root list is implemented as a doubly-linked list using the Dlist package (q.v.). Items in a dlist are referenced by their integer indices. The value returned by spamm_Root is the dlist index of the entry used for rooting its argument.

When a new pointer is rooted, it is always placed at the head of the dlist. The convenience macros SPAMM_ROOT and SPAMM_ENDROOT rely on this property for keeping track of which

pointers need to be removed from the root list. $\mathtt{SPAMM_ROOT}$ records the position p in the root list of the latest element added (using $\mathtt{spamm_RootList}$) as well as the number of elements n added. At the end of a $\mathtt{SPAMM_ROOT}$ block, $\mathtt{SPAMM_ENDROOT}$ finds location p in the root list and unroots n pointers from that spot. The correctness of this shortcut depends on that segment of the root list remaining intact through the $\mathtt{SPAMM_ROOT}$ block. In other words, if the programmer adds or removes pointers in the middle of a portion of the root list which $\mathtt{SPAMM_ROOT}$ created, then $\mathtt{SPAMM_ENDROOT}$ will wind up removing the wrong pointers from the root list. So don't do that.

7 Controlling SPAMM

SPAMM gives the programmer some control over how and when it operates. In particular, it is possible to request that a garbage collection take place immediately; it is also possible to suspend garbage collection for a period.

```
void spamm_CollectGarbage ()
```

[Function]

Performs a garbage collection. The global variable <code>spamm_GcStart</code> is a pointer to a void function of no arguments; if you set it to some function, that function will be called when a garbage collection begins. Similarly, the variable <code>spamm_GcEnd</code> can point to a function to be called when garbage collection ends. Usually these function pointers are used to invoke functions that advise the user that a garbage collection is under way.

To suspend garbage collection for a period, use the SPAMM_GCSUSPEND and SPAMM_ENDGCSUSPEND pair of macros like so:

The macros SPAMM_GCSUSPEND and SPAMM_ENDGCSUSPEND are a convenient and less error-prone interface to the low-level functions that perform the actual suspension, namely spamm_GcSuspend and spamm_GcUnsuspend.

```
void spamm_GcSuspend ()
```

[Function]

Suspend garbage collection until the next spamm_GcUnsuspend.

```
void spamm_GcUnsuspend ()
```

[Function]

Remove the current garbage collection suspension.

Actually, spamm_GcSuspend and spamm_GcUnsuspend don't necessarily start and stop garbage collection suspension. They merely increment and decrement (respectively) an internal counter which starts at zero. Only while the counter *is* zero can a garbage collection occur. Thus it is possible to write code like this:

```
spamm_GcSuspend();
foo();
bar();
spamm_GcUnsuspend();
even if the definition of foo looks like this:
  void
  foo()
{
     spamm_GcSuspend();
     ... some code ...
     spamm_GcUnsuspend();
}
```

If garbage collection suspension did not use the counter scheme, then the call to foo would re-enable garbage collection when it called <code>spamm_GcUnsuspend</code>, unbeknownst to the caller, who thinks it's still suspended when it calls <code>bar</code> after foo.

In fact, garbage collection suspension is even less straightforward than that. It is still possible for a garbage collection to occur even if a suspension is pending. However, as described in Section 2.2 [Allocation], page 3, this will only happen as a last resort if the operating system cannot deliver more free memory when required.

8 SPAMM and exceptions

SPAMM makes use of the Except package (q.v.). Memory requested from the operating system is obtained via emalloc, and so can raise the strerror[ENOMEM] exception. Also, the SPAMM_ROOT, SPAMM_ENDROOT, SPAMM_GCSUSPEND, and SPAMM_ENDGCSUSPEND are defined in terms of Except primitives. A block of code written as

```
SPAMM_ROOT((&a, &b, &c, 0)) {
       ... your code ...
  } SPAMM_ENDROOT;
expands as
  do {
      int _Spamm_Root_Count_ = spamm_Rootlist(&a, &b, &c, 0);
      int _Spamm_Root_First_Index = dlist_Head(&spamm_ObjectRoot);
      TRY {
           ... your code ...
      } FINALLY {
          int i, j, index = _Spamm_Root_First_Index_;
          for (i = 0; i < _Spamm_Root_Count_; ++i) {</pre>
               j = index;
               index = dlist_Next(&spamm_ObjectRoot, j);
               spamm_Unroot(j);
      } ENDTRY;
  } while (0);
```

(Surrounding the expansion in a do ... while (0) is an idiomatic programming construct for encapsulating multi-statement macros.) Placing the unrooting portion of the expansion inside of a FINALLY block allows the pointers to be properly unrooted even if your code raises an exception that throws control outside of the SPAMM_ROOT block.

Similarly, a block of code written as

```
SPAMM_GCSUSPEND {
    ... your code ...
} SPAMM_ENDGCSUSPEND;
expands as
do {
    spamm_GcSuspend();
    TRY {
        ...your code...
} FINALLY {
        spamm_GcUnsuspend();
} ENDTRY;
} while (0);
```

The caveats and constraints that apply to programming with the Except package also apply to SPAMM. In particular, since a SPAMM_ROOT or a SPAMM_GCSUSPEND block is also a

TRY block, you must use Except's special mechanisms for performing non-local exits from those blocks (see Section "Non-local exits" in Except).

\mathbf{Index}

D	\mathbf{S}
Dlist	scope
	spamm_Allocate
	spamm_CollectGarbage
E	spamm_GcEnd
Ľ	spamm_GcStart4
Exceptions	spamm_GcSuspend
extent	spamm_GcUnsuspend
	spamm_Initialize5
	spamm_InitPool 6
B. #	spamm_PoolStats7
\mathbf{M}	spamm_Root11
Mark	spamm_RootList11
Mark4	spamm_Trace9
	spamm_Unroot11
	SPAMM_ENDGCSUSPEND
P	SPAMM_ENDROOT9
-	SPAMM_GCSUSPEND 13
Page 3	SPAMM_ROOT 9
Page size 6	Suspending garbage collection
Pool	Sweep
	${f T}$
R	-
	Tracing
Reachable4	
Reclaim function	U
Root list	U
Rooting9	ULBITS6

Table of Contents

Ir	$\operatorname{ntroduction} \ldots \ldots 1$
1	Garbage collection overview $\dots 2$
2	2.1 Definitions 3 2.2 Allocation 3
3	2.3 Garbage collection
4	
5	Allocating an object8
6	Rooting and tracing 9 6.1 Rooting mechanisms 9 6.1.1 Low-level rooting functions 11
7	Controlling SPAMM
8	SPAMM and exceptions
Ir	ndex