

Definition of aspects

An aspect is a characteristic of a paper that a reviewer makes a judgment when evaluating the paper. We have defined the following aspects:

1. **Ablation**
Relates to **ablation studies**, **ablation analyses**, **ablation experiments**, etc.
2. **Analysis**
Relates to the **analysis** of the data, methods, results, etc.
3. **Comparison**
Relates to the **comparison** between the proposed work and existing methods, models, baselines, etc.
4. **Contribution**
Related to the ideas, methods, findings, etc. introduced in the paper that advance the knowledge within the field.
5. **Data/Task**
Relates to the **benchmark**, **dataset**, **task**, etc. used in the study.
6. **Elucidation**
Relates to the **description**, **detail**, **discussion**, **explanation**, **interpretation**, and **definition** of elements in the study.
7. **Evaluation**
Relates to the **assessment** of methods, systems, etc. in the study.
8. **Experiment**
Relates to the **experiments** performed in the study.
9. **Justification/Motivation**
Relates to the **intuition**, **justification**, **motivation**, and **validation** of the research or the methods used in the study.
10. **Methodology**
Relates to the **approaches**, **techniques**, **processes**, etc. used in the study, as well as their properties, such as **generalizability** and **effectiveness**.
11. **Novelty**
Relates to the **originality** and **innovativeness** of the study.
12. **Presentation**
Relates to the **clarity**, **structure**, **organization**, etc. of the paper, including **figure**, **grammar**, **terminology**, **typo**.

13. Related Work

Relates to the review and discussion of **relevant literature** to the study.

14. Result

Relates to the **results, findings, observations, performance, and improvement** reported in the study.

15. Significance

Relates to the **impact, importance, and significance** of the study.

16. Theory

Relates to the **conceptual, mathematical, and theoretical foundations** of the study.

Annotation task

Your task is to **determine whether a review addresses a specific aspect**. For each evaluation, provide a brief explanation (one or two sentences) to justify your decision. For example:

review	question	yes or no	explanation
The approach is straightforward and seems to improve over the pattern-verbalizer approach.	Does the review mention Result?	yes	This sentence mentions improvement, which corresponds to Result.
The approach is straightforward and seems to improve over the pattern-verbalizer approach.	Does the review mention Presentation?	no	This sentence does not address Presentation.

The texts to annotate are from academic paper reviews and are segmented into sentences. **Please assess each review independently.**