

**Problem set # 3**

Due: Tuesday, February 27, by 11pm

**1. Implementation of Breeden-Litzenberger:**

You are given the following volatility data:

Expiry / Strike	1M	3M
10DP	32.25%	28.36%
25DP	24.73%	21.78%
40DP	20.21%	18.18%
50D	18.24%	16.45%
40DC	15.74%	14.62%
25DC	13.70%	12.56%
10DC	11.48%	10.94%

You also know that the current stock price is 100, the risk-free rate is 0, and the asset pays no dividends.

NOTE: The table of strikes is quoted in terms of Deltas, where the DP rows indicate "X Delta Puts" and the DC rows indicate "X Delta Calls".

- Using the table of quoted (Black-Scholes) Deltas and volatilities, extract a table of strikes corresponding to each option.
- Choose an interpolation scheme that defines the volatility function for all strikes,  $\sigma(K)$ .
- Extract the risk neutral density for 1 & 3 month options. Comment on the differences between the two distributions. Is it what you would expect?
- Extract the risk neutral density for 1 & 3 month options using a constant volatility equal to the 50D volatility. Contrast these densities to the densities obtained above.
- Price the following European Options using the densities you constructed in (1c).
  - 1M European Digital Put Option with Strike 110.
  - 3M European Digital Call Option with Strike 105.
  - 2M European Call Option with Strike 100.

## 2. Calibration of Heston Model:

Recall that the Heston Model is defined by the following system of SDE's:

$$\begin{aligned} dS_t &= rS_t dt + \sqrt{\nu_t} S_t dW_t^1 \\ d\nu_t &= \kappa(\theta - \nu_t) dt + \sigma \sqrt{\nu_t} dW_t^2 \\ \text{Cov}(dW_t^1, dW_t^2) &= \rho dt \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Recall also that the characteristic function for the Heston Model is known to be:

$$\omega(u) = \frac{\exp(iu \ln S_0 + iu(r - q)t + \sigma^{-2} \{ \kappa \theta t (\kappa - i\rho\sigma u) \})}{\left( \cosh \frac{\lambda t}{2} + \frac{\kappa - i\rho\sigma u}{\lambda} \sinh \frac{\lambda t}{2} \right)^{\frac{2\kappa\theta}{\sigma^2}}} \quad (2)$$

$$\Phi(u) = \omega(u) \exp \left( \frac{-(u^2 + iu)\nu_0}{\lambda \coth \frac{\lambda t}{2} + \kappa - i\rho\sigma u} \right) \quad (3)$$

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\sigma^2(u^2 + iu) + (\kappa - i\rho\sigma u)^2} \quad (4)$$

See the attached spreadsheet for options data.  $r = 1.5\%$ ,  $q = 1.77\%$ ,  $S_0 = 267.15$ .

Consider the **given market prices** and the **following equal weight least squares minimization function**:

$$\vec{p}_{\min} = \min_{\vec{p}} \left\{ \sum_{\tau, K} (\tilde{c}(\tau, K, \vec{p}) - c_{\tau, K})^2 \right\} \quad (5)$$

where  $\tilde{c}(\tau, K, \vec{p})$  is the FFT based model price of a call option with expiry  $\tau$  and strike  $K$ .

- Check the option prices for arbitrage. Are there arbitrage opportunities at the mid? How about after accounting for the bid-ask spread? Remove any arbitrage violations from the data.
- Using the FFT Pricing code from a prior homework, find the values of  $\kappa$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\rho$  and  $\nu_0$  that minimize the equal weight least squared pricing error. You may choose the starting point and upper and lower bounds of the optimization. You may also choose whether to calibrate to calls, puts, or some combination of the two.  
*Note that you are given data for multiple expiries, each of which should use the same parameter set, but will require a separate call to the FFT algorithm.*
- Try several starting points and several values for the upper and lower bounds of your parameters. Does the optimal set of parameters change? If so, what does this tell you about the stability of your calibration algorithm?

**3. Hedging Under Heston Model:** Consider a 3 month European call with strike 275 on the same underlying asset.

- (a) Calculate this option's Heston delta using finite differences. That is, calculate a first order central difference by shifting the asset price, leaving all other parameters constant and re-calculating the FFT based Heston model price at each value of  $S_0$ .
  - i. Compare this delta to the delta for this option in the Black-Scholes model. Are they different, and if so why? If they are different, which do you think is better and why? Which would you use for hedging?
  - ii. How many shares of the asset do you need to ensure that a portfolio that is long one unit of the call and short  $x$  units of the underlying is delta neutral?
- (b) Calculate the vega of this option numerically via the following steps:
  - i. Calculate the Heston vega using finite differences. To do this, shift  $\theta$  and  $\nu_0$  by the same amount and calculate a first order central difference leaving all other parameters constant and re-calculating the FFT based Heston model price at each value of  $\theta$  and  $\nu_0$ .
  - ii. Compare this vega to the vega for this option in the Black-Scholes model. Are they different, and if so why?