

# MATH525 Crib Sheet

Julian Lore

$$V(aX + bY) = a^2 V(X) + b^2 V(Y) + 2ab \text{Cov}(X, Y)$$

$$V(aX - bY) = a^2 V(X) + b^2 V(Y) - 2ab \text{Cov}(X, Y)$$

$$V(Y) = E(Y^2) - (E(Y))^2 = E[(Y - E[Y])^2]$$

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{Y}) = E((\hat{Y} - Y)^2) = V(\hat{Y}) + \text{Bias}(\hat{Y})^2$$

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - E(X))(y_i - E(Y))$$

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])]$$

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y)$$

$$V_W[W] = V_Y(E_{W|Y}[W | Y]) + E_Y(V_{W|Y}[W | Y])$$

$$X \perp Y \implies \text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$$

$$V(X) = \text{Cov}(X, X)$$

$$\text{Bias}(\hat{\theta}) = E[\hat{\theta}] - \theta$$

$$E_W[W] = E_Z[E_{W|Z}[W | Z]]$$

Confidence interval for  $\bar{y}_U$   $N\bar{y}_S \pm z_{\alpha/2} \widehat{SE}(\bar{y}_S)$

Finite population correction (fpc)  $\left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right)$

Coefficient of variation (CV)  $CV(\bar{y}) = \frac{\sqrt{V(\bar{y})}}{E(\bar{y})} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\pi}{N}} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}\bar{y}_U}$

Hajek  $N_Y - n_Y \rightarrow \infty$  then  $\hat{f}_S \sim N\left(t, N^2 \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{S_Y^2}{n}\right)$ ,  $\hat{f}_S \pm 1.96 \sqrt{N^2 \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{S_Y^2}{n}}$  (95% CI)

$$Z_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if unit } i \text{ is in the sample} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Pr(Z_i = 1) = \frac{\binom{N-1}{n-1}}{\binom{N}{n}} = \frac{n}{N} \implies E[Z_i] = \frac{n}{N}$$

$$V(Z_i) = E(Z_i^2) - E(Z_i)^2 = \frac{n}{N} - \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^2 = \frac{n}{N} \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right)$$

$$E[Z_i Z_j] = \left(\frac{n-1}{N-1}\right) \left(\frac{n}{N}\right), i \neq j$$

$$\text{Cov}(Z_i, Z_j) = E[Z_i Z_j] - E[Z_i]E[Z_j] = -\frac{1}{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)$$

## Sample Size Estimation

Absolute error:  $\Pr(|\bar{y}_S - \bar{y}_U| \leq e) = 1 - \alpha$ ,  $e$  is called the **margin of error**

Relative error:  $\Pr\left(\frac{|\bar{y}_S - \bar{y}_U|}{|\bar{y}_U|} \leq r\right) = 1 - \alpha$

$$n = \frac{S^2 z_{\alpha/2}^2}{e^2 + \frac{S^2 z_{\alpha/2}^2}{N}} \text{ or } n = \frac{z_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2}{(r\bar{y}_U)^2 + \frac{z_{\alpha/2}^2 S^2}{N}}$$

Naive sample size calc with no fpc (SRSWR):  $n_0 = \frac{S^2 z_{\alpha/2}^2}{e^2} \implies n = \frac{n_0}{1 + \frac{n_0}{N}}$

## Weights

$$\hat{f}_S = \sum_{i \in S} \frac{N}{n} y_i = \sum_{i \in S} w_i y_i \quad w_i = \frac{N}{n} = \frac{1}{\pi_i} = \frac{1}{\Pr(z_i = 1)} \quad \bar{y}_S = \frac{\hat{t}}{N} = \frac{\sum_{i \in S} w_i y_i}{\sum_{i \in S} w_i}$$

All weights are same in SRS. A sample in which every unit has same sampling weight is called a **self-weighting** sample

## When to use SRS

**Do not** use if a controlled experiment is better (i.e. is this brand of bath oil an effective mosquito repellent), do not have a list of obs units/expensive to take an SRS or have extra information to make a more cost-effective scheme.

**Good for** little extra info available or interested in multivariate relationships and no need to take stratified/cluster sample. Easier to perform.

## Stratified Sampling

- Divide pop units into  $H$  subpops or strata (requires additional info)
- Take a probability sample within each stratum (independently)
- Make inference about target param within each stratum, then pool results together

Strata should be disjoint and partition the sampling frame.

## Stratified Random Sampling

SRS within each stratum. Divide pop of  $N$  sampling units into  $H$  strata,  $N_h$  units in strata  $h$ . Membership of strata must be mutually exclusive. Must know  $N_1, \dots, N_H$  s.t.  $N = \sum_{h=1}^H N_h$ . Stratified with equal strata size is not the same as SRS because you are forcing to have samples in each strata.

Independently take SRS of size  $n_h$  from each stratum:  $n = \sum_{h=1}^H n_h$

Population quantities:

$$y_{hj} = \text{val of } j^{\text{th}} \text{ unit in stratum } h$$

$$t_h = \sum_{j=1}^{N_h} y_{hj} = \text{stratum } h \text{ total}$$

$$\bar{y}_{hU} = \frac{t_h}{N_h} = \text{true stratum } h \text{ mean}$$

$$S_h^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{N_h} \frac{(y_{hj} - \bar{y}_{hU})^2}{N_h - 1} = \text{stratum } h \text{ pop var}$$

Sample quantities:

$$\bar{y}_h = \frac{\sum_{j \in S_h} y_{hj}}{n_h}$$

$$t = \sum_{h=1}^H t_h = \text{pop tot}$$

$$\bar{y}_U = \frac{t}{N} = \text{true pop mean}$$

$$S^2 = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^H \sum_{j=1}^{N_h} (y_{hj} - \bar{y}_U)^2}{N - 1} = \text{pop var}$$

$$\hat{t}_h = \frac{N_h}{n_h} \sum_{j \in S_h} y_{hj} = N_h \bar{y}_h \quad s_h^2 = \sum_{j \in S_h} \frac{(y_{hj} - \bar{y}_U)^2}{n_h - 1}$$

$$\hat{t}_{str} = \sum_{h=1}^H \hat{t}_h = \sum_{h=1}^H N_h \bar{y}_h \text{ (unbiased)} \quad V(\hat{t}_{str}) = \sum_{h=1}^H N_h^2 \frac{S_h^2}{n_h} \left(1 - \frac{n_h}{N}\right)$$

$$\bar{y}_{str} = \frac{\hat{t}_{str}}{N} = \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{N_h}{N} \bar{y}_h \text{ (unbiased)} \quad V(\bar{y}_{str}) = \frac{1}{N^2} V(\hat{t}_{str}) = \sum_{h=1}^H \left(1 - \frac{n_h}{N}\right) \left(\frac{N_h}{N}\right)^2 \frac{S_h^2}{n_h}$$

$$\bar{y}_{str} \pm z_{\alpha/2} SE(\bar{y}_{str})$$

To est  $V$ , use  $s_h$

## Weights

$$\hat{t}_{str} = \sum_{h=1}^H N_h \bar{y}_h = \sum_{h=1}^H \sum_{j \in S_h} \frac{N_h}{n_h} y_{hj} = \sum_{h=1}^H \sum_{j \in S_h} w_{hj} y_{hj}$$

$$w_{hj} = \frac{N_h}{n_h} = \frac{1}{\Pr(Z_{hj} = 1)} = \frac{1}{\pi_{hj}}$$

$$\pi_{hj} = \frac{n_h}{N_h}$$

$$\bar{y}_{str} = \frac{\hat{t}_{str}}{N} = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^H \sum_{j \in S_h} w_{hj} y_{hj}}{\sum_{h=1}^H \sum_{j \in S_h} w_{hj}}$$

## Allocating Observations

**Proportional allocation:**

$$n_h \propto N_h \implies n_h = \left(\frac{N_h}{N}\right) n \implies \pi_{hj} = \frac{n_h}{N_h} = \frac{n}{N}$$

$\hat{t}_{str} = \frac{N}{n} \sum_{h=1}^H \sum_{j \in S_h} y_{hj}$  (self-weighting sample)

$(N - 1)S^2 = \left[\sum_{h=1}^H (N_h - 1)S_h^2\right] + \sum_{h=1}^H N_h (\bar{y}_{hU} - \bar{y}_U)^2 \implies TSS = SSW + SSB$  (total sum of squares = sum of squares within + sum of squares between)

$$V_{prop}(\hat{t}_{str}) = \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{N}{n} \sum_{h=1}^H N_h S_h^2 = \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{N}{n} (SSW + \sum_{h=1}^H S_h^2)$$

$$V(\hat{t}_{SRS}) = \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) N^2 \frac{S^2}{n} = \frac{N-1}{N} V_{prop}(\hat{t}_{str}) + \frac{(N-h)N}{n(N-1)} (SSB - \sum_{h=1}^H S_h^2)$$

So  $\hat{t}_{SRS}$  will have larger variance than  $\hat{t}_{str}$  unless

$SSB < \sum_{h=1}^H S_h^2 \implies \sum_{h=1}^H N_h (\bar{y}_h - \bar{y}_U)^2 < \sum_{h=1}^H S_h^2$  (variability between clusters is smaller than variability of each strata). Don't want all strata to have same mean, or else variability between will be small, making prop alloc worse. We **want stratum means to differ a lot** (for stratified to beat SRS) s.t. sum of squares is large, variability within strata is smaller. ANOVA for stratified sampling:

| Between | $df = H - 1$ | $SSB = \sum_{h=1}^H \sum_{j=1}^{N_h} (\bar{y}_{hU} - \bar{y}_U)^2 = \sum_{h=1}^H N_h (\bar{y}_{hU} - \bar{y}_U)^2$ |
|---------|--------------|--|
| Within  | $df = N - H$ | $SSW = \sum_{h=1}^H \sum_{j=1}^{N_h} (y_{hj} - \bar{y}_{hU})^2 = \sum_{h=1}^H (N_h - 1) S_h^2$                     |
| tot     | $df = N - 1$ | $SSTO = \sum_{h=1}^H \sum_{j=1}^{N_h} (y_{hj} - \bar{y}_U)^2 = (N - 1) S^2$  |

**Cost:**  $C = c_0 + \sum_{h=1}^H c_h n_h$ . Minimize  $V(\hat{y}_{str})$  subject to the constraint that  $C < C_{max}$ .

**Optimal allocation**

$$n_h \propto \frac{N_h S_h}{\sqrt{c_h}} \implies \frac{n_h}{n} = \left( \frac{N_h S_h}{\sum_{t=1}^H \frac{N_t S_t}{\sqrt{c_t}}} \right)$$

If costs are equal across strata  $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_h$ , then

$$n_h \propto N_h S_h \implies \frac{n_h}{n} = \frac{N_h S_h}{\sum_{t=1}^H N_t S_t}$$

this is **Neyman allocation**. If  $S_h$  is known for all  $h$ , then Neyman beats prop alloc. With no max cost, Neyman gives optimal alloc. Prop better if  $S_h^2$  relatively uniform, vary little. If  $S_h^2$  vary lots, optimal alloc will result in smaller cost.

## Determining Sample Size

$$V(\bar{y}_{str}) = \sum_{h=1}^H \left(1 - \frac{n_h}{N_h}\right) \left(\frac{N_h}{N}\right)^2 \frac{S_h^2}{n_h} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{n}{n_h} \left(\frac{N_h}{N}\right)^2 S_h^2 = \frac{v}{n}, \text{ where}$$

$$v = \sum_{h=1}^H \left(\frac{n}{n_h}\right) \left(\frac{N_h}{N}\right)^2 S_h^2$$

So  $\bar{y}_{str} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{v/n}$

$n = z_{\alpha/2}^2 v / e^2$  for margin of error  $e$

## When not to Stratify

Expensive/impossible to identify the strata, need to know  $N_1, \dots, N_H$ , need to choose strata and defend why it's good. Also, small  $n_h$  may make Hajek not apply.

Ratio Estimators

**Auxiliary Variables** Additional info on units used to improve precision. This is used after sampling (gotten from sampling), whereas stratification variables used before.  $y_i$  and  $x_i$  measured on unit  $i$  in pop.

t\_y = sum\_{i=1}^N Y\_i, t\_x = sum\_{i=1}^N X\_i, B = t\_y/t\_x = y\_bar\_U/x\_bar\_U, B\_hat = y\_bar\_s/x\_bar\_s

Note that y\_bar\_s and x\_bar\_s are random, so E(B\_hat) != B unless x\_i = c, for all i, not unbiased. Correlation between X and Y is most important idea. Population correlation coefficient of x and y:

R = sum\_{i=1}^M ((x\_i - x\_bar\_U)(y\_i - y\_bar\_U) / ((N-1)S\_xS\_y))

R is a measure of linear association. Why use Ratio Estimation? Estimate a ratio, estimate pop total but we don't know N, increase the precision of estimated means/totals, adjust estimates to reflect demographic totals or adjust for nonresponse. e.g. Assume we want to know t\_y, but don't know N (pop total) but know t\_x:

N = t\_x/x\_bar\_U, N\_hat = t\_hat\_x/x\_bar, t\_hat\_yr = y\_bar\_N\_hat = y\_bar\_hat \* t\_hat\_x/x\_bar = B\_hat \* t\_hat\_x

Note that E[y\_bar\_r - y\_bar\_U] = -E[B\_hat(x\_bar - x\_bar\_U)] = -Cov(B\_hat, x\_bar). Because x\_bar\_U/x\_bar\_hat approx x\_bar\_U/x\_bar: Bias(y\_bar\_r) = E(y\_bar\_r - y\_bar\_U) = E((x\_bar\_U(y\_bar - B\_hat))/x\_bar) = (y\_bar - B\_hat x\_bar)(1 - x\_bar\_U/x\_bar)

This bias is small if n large, N/n large, x\_bar\_U large, S\_x small, correlation close to 1. As noted above, if all x\_i = c, then S\_x = 0 so no bias. Alternatively, bias small if x does not depend too strongly on y, i.e. Cov(x\_bar, y\_bar) is small.

MSE[y\_bar\_hat\_r] = E[(y\_bar\_hat\_r - y\_bar\_U)^2] = E[(((y\_bar - B\_hat x\_bar)(1 - x\_bar\_U/x\_bar))^2)] = E[(y\_bar - B\_hat x\_bar)^2 + (y\_bar - B\_hat x\_bar)^2 \* ((x\_bar\_U/x\_bar)^2 - 2(x\_bar\_U/x\_bar))] approx E[(y\_bar - B\_hat x\_bar)^2] = V(y\_bar - B\_hat x\_bar) = V(y\_bar) + B^2 V(x\_bar) - 2BCov(x\_bar, y\_bar)

Small when n large, N/n large, deviations y\_i - Bx\_i small, R close to +1.

E[(y\_bar - B\_hat x\_bar)^2] = V(d\_hat), d\_i = y\_i - Bx\_i, d\_bar\_U = 0, V(y\_bar\_hat\_r) = (1 - n/N) \* (s\_d\_hat^2 / n), s\_d\_hat^2 = 1/(n-1) \* sum\_{i in S} e\_i^2, e\_i = y\_i - B\_hat x\_i, V(t\_hat\_yr) = V(t\_x B\_hat) = (1 - n/N) \* (t\_x^2 / n) \* s\_e\_hat^2, V(B\_hat) = (1 - n/N) \* s\_e\_hat^2 / n x\_bar^2

Weights

t\_hat\_yr = t\_hat\_x / f\_hat\_x = t\_hat\_x / sum\_{i in S} w\_i y\_i

Modification in ratio estimation is like an adjustment to each weight

g\_i = t\_hat\_x / f\_hat\_x, t\_hat\_yr = sum\_{i in S} w\_i g\_i y\_i

The weights are w\_i\* = w\_i g\_i, but they depend on values from the sample. The weight adjustments g\_i (calibration factors) calibrate estimates on x, i.e. sum\_{i in S} w\_i g\_i x\_i = t\_x. Ratio estimation changes the weights from unbiased estimator. Re-weight such that t\_hat\_x = t\_x. Advantages of Ratio Estimation If x and y perfectly correlated (y\_i = Bx\_i, for all i), then t\_hat\_yr = t\_y. In general, if y\_i roughly proportion to x\_i, MSE will be small. If deviations of y\_i from B\_hat x\_i smaller than deviations of y\_i from y\_bar, then V(y\_bar\_hat\_r) <= V(y\_bar).

Domain Estimation

Estimate totals within subgroups (i.e. strata) in population. Assume we have D domains, d = 1,...,D. s\_d is the set of indices of sample units belonging to D.

y\_bar\_Ud = sum\_{i in Ud} y\_i / N\_d, N = sum\_{d=1}^D N\_d

Where U\_d is collection of units in d in pop, N\_d is # of units in d. Estimate y\_bar\_Ud with (ratio estimator):

y\_bar\_d = sum\_{i in s\_d} y\_i / n\_d (random), x\_i = {1 if i in U\_d, 0 if i not in U\_d}, u\_i = x\_i y\_i = {y\_i if i in U\_d, 0 if i not in U\_d}, t\_x = sum\_{i=1}^N x\_i = N\_d, t\_u = sum\_{i=1}^N u\_i = sum\_{i in Ud} y\_i, y\_bar\_Ud = t\_u / t\_x, x\_bar = n\_d / n, y\_bar\_d = B\_hat = u\_bar / x\_bar = t\_hat\_u / t\_hat\_x

SE(y\_bar\_d) = sqrt((1 - n/N) \* 1/n x\_bar^2 \* sum\_{i in S} (u\_i - B\_hat x\_i)^2 / (n-1)) = sqrt((1 - n/N) \* 1/n x\_bar^2 \* sum\_{i in s\_d} (y\_i - B\_hat x\_i)^2 / (n-1)) = sqrt((1 - n/N) \* 1/n\_d^2 \* (n\_d - 1) S\_yd^2 / (n-1)) = sqrt((1 - n/N) \* n / (n-1) \* n\_d / n\_d \* s\_yd^2)

If E(n\_d) large, then: SE(y\_bar\_d) approx sqrt((1 - n/N) \* s\_yd^2 / n\_d). What about estimating totals? If we know N\_d, then t\_hat\_u = N\_d y\_bar\_d. For SE, just multiply the above by N\_d. Otherwise if we don't know N\_d, then t\_hat\_u = N u\_bar with SE(t\_hat\_u) = N SE(u\_bar) = N sqrt((1 - n/N) \* s\_u^2 / n) (much worse than when we knew N\_d, but unbiased)

Post stratification

If we know stratum membership before sampling, we should stratify. If we only know after: y\_bar\_str = sum\_{h=1}^H y\_bar\_h \* N\_h / N. This is not unbiased, since y\_bar\_h is a ratio estimator and n\_h,s are random instead of fixed like in stratified. y\_bar\_1,...,y\_bar\_H are post-stratified means in sample, n\_1,...,n\_H are random # of units in each post stratum. Sample (y\_i, x\_i) pairs. If x\_i is something you wished you could have stratified on before, stratify after:

x\_ih = {1 if i in post stratum h, 0 otherwise}, x\_ih y\_i = u\_ih = {y\_i if i in post stratum h, 0 otherwise}, t\_xh = sum\_{i=1}^N x\_ih = N\_h, t\_xh = N/n \* sum\_{i in S} x\_ih = N/n \* n\_h (random) = N\_hat\_h, t\_uh = sum\_{i=1}^N u\_ih = t\_yh, y\_bar\_uh = sum\_{i=1}^N u\_ih / N\_h = t\_yh / t\_xh = B\_h, t\_uh = sum\_{i in S} N/n \* u\_ih

t\_uhr = t\_xh / f\_hat\_xh = N\_hat\_h / N\_hat\_h = N\_hat\_h y\_bar\_h = y\_bar\_h t\_xh = sum\_{i in S} y\_i x\_ih / sum\_{i in S} x\_ih t\_xh = t\_hat\_uh / t\_hat\_xh

t\_hat\_yr = sum\_{h=1}^H t\_hat\_uhr = sum\_{h=1}^H N\_hat\_h / N\_hat\_h \* t\_hat\_uh = sum\_{h=1}^H N\_hat\_h y\_bar\_h, y\_bar\_post = sum\_{h=1}^H N\_hat\_h / N\_hat\_h \* y\_bar\_h

V(y\_bar\_post) approx (1 - n/N) \* sum\_{h=1}^H ((N\_hat\_h / N\_hat\_h) \* s\_h^2 / n + 1/n \* ((N - n) / (N - 1)) \* sum\_{h=1}^H (1 - N\_hat\_h / N\_hat\_h) \* s\_h^2 / n)

Ratio Estimation with Stratified Sampling

Combined ratio estimator: (apply ratio estimator on stratified sample) Assume fixed strata h = 1,...,H

t\_hat\_ystr = sum\_{h=1}^H t\_hat\_h = sum\_{h=1}^H sum\_{i in S\_h} w\_h y\_i, w\_h = N\_h / n\_h

If we also have x, we can also use ratio estimation:

t\_hat\_xstr = sum\_{h=1}^H t\_hat\_hx = sum\_{h=1}^H sum\_{i in S\_h} w\_h y\_i x\_i, B = t\_hat\_y / t\_hat\_x = t\_hat\_ystr / t\_hat\_xstr, t\_y = B t\_x => t\_hat\_yr (c for combined) = B\_hat t\_x

MSE(t\_hat\_yrc) approx V(t\_hat\_yrc - B\_hat t\_hat\_xstr) = V[sum\_{h=1}^H sum\_{i in S\_h} w\_h y\_i (y\_i - B\_hat x\_i)] = (t\_hat\_xstr / t\_hat\_xstr)^2 \* V[sum\_{h=1}^H sum\_{i in S\_h} w\_h y\_i e\_h] = (t\_hat\_xstr / t\_hat\_xstr)^2 \* [V(t\_hat\_ystr) + B\_hat^2 V(t\_hat\_xstr) - 2 B\_hat Cov(t\_hat\_ystr, t\_hat\_xstr)]

Separate ratio estimator: (ratio estimation and then combine strata)

t\_hat\_yrs = sum\_{h=1}^H t\_hat\_yhr = sum\_{h=1}^H t\_xh \* t\_hat\_yh / t\_xh, V(t\_hat\_yrs) = sum\_{h=1}^H V(t\_hat\_yhr)

Which is best? Depends. Separate is good if ratios across strata (t\_hat\_yh / t\_xh) vary a lot, but you are accumulating bias with h (each ratio adds bias). Combined is good if ratios are constant across strata and less bias if some sample sizes in strata are small.

Cluster Sampling

Clusters are the primary sampling units (psu's). Units within clusters are secondary sampling units (ssu's), units of the target pop of interest. N psu's indexed by i, M\_i ssu's in i-th cluster, M\_0 = sum\_{i=1}^N M\_i = # of ssu's in target population. Why use cluster sampling? Making sampling frame list of observations units can be difficult, expensive, impossible. Population may be widely distributed geographically, may occur in natural clusters (e.g. houses) and less expensive to sample clusters than SRS of individuals. However, unlike stratified sampling, cluster sampling tends to decrease precision.

t\_i = sum\_{j=1}^{M\_i} y\_ij = psu i total, t = sum\_{i=1}^N t\_i = sum\_{i=1}^N sum\_{j=1}^{M\_i} y\_ij = pop total

S\_i^2 = 1/(N-1) \* sum\_{i=1}^N (t\_i - t/N)^2 = pop var of psu totals

y\_bar\_U = sum\_{i=1}^N sum\_{j=1}^{M\_i} y\_ij / M\_0 = t / M\_0 = pop mean, y\_bar\_iU = sum\_{j=1}^{M\_i} y\_ij / M\_i = t\_i / M\_i = pop mu in psu i

S^2 = sum\_{i=1}^N sum\_{j=1}^{M\_i} (y\_ij - y\_bar\_U)^2 / (M\_0 - 1) = pop var at ssu level, S\_i^2 = sum\_{j=1}^{M\_i} (y\_ij - y\_bar\_iU)^2 / (M\_i - 1) = var in psu i

Assume sample of both levels. Let Omega be set of psu indices in sample and Omega\_i be set of ssu indices for psu i in sample.

n = # of psu's in sample, m\_i = # of ssu's from psu i in sample, y\_bar\_i = sum\_{j in Omega\_i} y\_ij / m\_i = sample mean for psu i, t\_hat\_i = M\_i y\_bar\_i = sum\_{j in Omega\_i} M\_i / m\_i \* y\_ij, t\_hat = sum\_{i in Omega} N\_hat\_i / n\_hat = sum\_{i in Omega} N\_hat\_i / n\_hat \* t\_hat\_i, s\_i^2 = 1/(n-1) \* sum\_{i in Omega} (t\_hat\_i - t\_hat/n\_hat)^2, s\_i^2 = 1/(m\_i-1) \* sum\_{j in Omega\_i} (y\_ij - y\_bar\_i)^2

One-stage Sampling

All ssu's within sampled psu's are included in the sample (census of ssu's). Assume initially  $M_i = m_i = M$ .

$$\hat{t} = \frac{N}{n} \sum_{i \in \Omega} t_i \qquad V(\hat{t}) = N^2 \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{S_t^2}{n}$$
$$\widehat{SE}(\hat{t}) = N \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{s_t^2}{n}} \qquad \hat{y} = \frac{\hat{t}}{NM}$$
$$V(\hat{y}) = \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{S_y^2}{nM^2} \qquad \widehat{SE}(\hat{y}) = \frac{1}{M} \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{s_y^2}{N}}$$

Still self-weighting:

$$w_{ij} = \frac{1}{\text{Pr(ssu } j \text{ in psu } i \text{ in sample)}} = \frac{N}{n}$$
$$\hat{t} = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \frac{N}{n} t_i = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} \frac{N}{n} y_{ij} = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j=1}^M \left(\frac{N}{n}\right) y_{ij} = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j=1}^M w_{ij} y_{ij}$$
$$\hat{y} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j=1}^M w_{ij} y_{ij}}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j=1}^M w_{ij}} = \frac{\frac{N}{n} \sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j=1}^M y_{ij}}{nM \frac{N}{n}} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j=1}^M y_{ij}}{nM}$$

Role of variability **changes**. In stratified, it is **good**, in cluster sampling, it is **bad**.

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{iU})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{iU})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N M (\bar{y}_{iU} - \bar{y}_U)^2 = SSW + SSB$$

ANOVA for cluster sampling:

|         |                 |   |                            |
|---------|-----------------|---|----------------------------|
| Between | $df = N - 1$    | $SSB = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M (\bar{y}_{iU} - \bar{y}_U)^2$        | $MSB = \frac{SSB}{N-1}$    |
| Within  | $df = N(M - 1)$ | $SSW = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{iU})^2$           | $MSW = \frac{SSW}{N(M-1)}$ |
| tot     | $df = NM - 1$   | $SSTO = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_U)^2 = SSB + SSW$ | $S^2 = \frac{SSTO}{NM-1}$  |

$$S_t^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (t_i - \frac{t}{N})^2}{N-1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (M\bar{y}_{iU} - M\bar{y}_U)^2}{N-1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N M^2 (\bar{y}_{iU} - \bar{y}_U)^2}{N-1}$$
$$= M \frac{SSB}{N-1} = M \times MSB$$

$$V(\hat{t}_{SRS}) = (NM)^2 \left(1 - \frac{nM}{NM}\right) \frac{s^2}{nM} = N^2 \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{\text{S}^2 M}{n} = N^2 \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{SSB + SSW}{NM - 1} \frac{M}{n}$$
$$V(\hat{t}_{cluster}) = \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) N^2 \frac{M \left(\frac{SSB}{N-1}\right)}{n} = N^2 \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{M \times \text{MSB}}{n}$$

**Intraclass correlation coefficient** (ICC) tells us how similar elements in same cluster are, measure the extent to which clusters are homogeneous relative to overall variability. ICC is the Pearson correlation coefficient for the  $NM(M - 1)$  pairs  $(y_{ij}, y_{ik})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N, j \neq k$  s.t.

$$ICC = 1 - \frac{M}{M-1} \times \frac{SSW}{SSB + SSW}$$
$$0 \leq SSW/SSTO \leq 1 \implies -\frac{1}{M-1} \leq ICC \leq 1$$
$$MSB = \frac{N(M-1)}{M(N-1)} S^2 [1 + (M-1)ICC]$$
$$\frac{V(\hat{t}_{cluster})}{V(\hat{t}_{SRS})} = \frac{MSB}{S^2} = \frac{N(M-1)}{M(N-1)} [1 + (M-1)ICC]$$

If ICC is positive, cluster is **worse**. Also note that if clusters are perfectly homogeneous, then  $SSW = 0 \implies ICC = 1$ . Design effect (ratio of variances) is about 1 when  $ICC \approx 1$ . ICC only works for clusters of **equal sizes**. Alternative measure of homogeneity is the **adjusted R<sup>2</sup>**:  $R_a^2 = 1 - \frac{MSW}{S^2}$

**Unequal size clusters** What happens if  $M_i$ 's differ?

$$\hat{t}_{unb} = \frac{N}{n} \sum_{i \in \Omega} t_i \quad M_0 = \sum_{i=1}^N M_i \quad V(\hat{t}_{unb}) = N^2 \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{S_t^2}{n} \quad V(\hat{y}_{unb}) = \frac{V(\hat{t}_{unb})}{N^2 M_0^2}$$

Unbiased, but inefficient due to variability between  $M_i$ 's. To reduce this variance, we use **ratio estimation**.

$$\bar{y}_U = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N t_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N M_i} = \frac{t}{M_0} \qquad \hat{y}_r = \frac{\hat{t}_{unb}}{M_0} = \frac{\frac{N}{n} \sum_{i \in \Omega} t_i}{\frac{N}{n} \sum_{i \in \Omega} M_i} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \Omega} t_i}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} M_i} = \hat{B}$$

Alternatively, with weights:

$$\hat{y}_r = \frac{\sum_{i \in \Omega} M_i \bar{y}_i}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} M_i} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} y_{ij}}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} 1} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} \frac{N}{n} y_{ij}}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} \frac{N}{n}} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} w_{ij} y_{ij}}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} w_{ij}}, w_{ij} = \frac{1}{\pi_{ij}}$$

$$SE(\hat{y}_r) = \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{1}{nM^2} \sum_{i \in \Omega} \frac{(t_i - \hat{y}_r M_i)^2}{n-1}} \quad \bar{M} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \Omega} M_i}{n} \quad \hat{t}_r = \hat{B} M_0 = \frac{\sum_{i \in \Omega} t_i}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} M_i} M_0$$

Two Stage Cluster Sampling

- 1. Select an SRS of size  $n$  of psu's (from  $N$ )
- 2. Select an SRS of size  $m_i$  of ssu's (from  $M_i$ ) within psu  $i$  (note that if  $m_i \geq M_i$ , we just sample the whole  $M_i$ )

$$\hat{t}_i = \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} \frac{M_i}{m_i} y_{ij} = M_i \bar{y}_i$$
$$\frac{1}{w_{ij}} = \text{Pr(ssu } j \text{ from psu } i \text{ in sample)} = \frac{n}{N} \times \frac{m_i}{M_i} = \text{Pr(psu in samp)} \text{Pr(ssu in samp)}$$

$$= \frac{nm_i}{NM_i} \implies w_{ij} = \frac{NM_i}{nm_i}$$
$$\hat{t}_{unb} = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \frac{N}{n} \hat{t}_i = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \frac{N}{n} M_i \bar{y}_i = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \frac{NM_i}{n} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} \frac{1}{m_i} y_{ij}$$
$$= \sum_{i \in \Omega} w_{ij} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} y_{ij} = \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} y_{ij} = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} w_{ij} y_{ij}$$

**Not automatically** a self weighted sample, only if  $\frac{M_i}{m_i} = c, \forall i$

Two sources of variability: Between cluster variability ( $t_i$ 's),  $N^2 \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{S_t^2}{n}$ . Within cluster variability ( $\hat{t}_i$ ),  $\left(1 - \frac{m_i}{M-1}\right) M_i^2 \frac{S_i^2}{m_i}$

$$V(\hat{t}_{unb}) = N^2 \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{S_t^2}{n} + \frac{N}{n} \sum_{i=1}^M \left(1 - \frac{m_i}{M_i}\right) M_i^2 \frac{S_i^2}{m_i} \qquad \hat{y}_{unb} = \frac{\hat{t}_{unb}}{M_0}$$
$$\hat{V}_{WR}(\hat{t}_{unb}) = N^2 \frac{S_t^2}{n} \text{ (with replacement close to var)}$$
$$\hat{y}_r = \frac{\sum_{i \in \Omega} \hat{t}_i}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} M_i} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} w_{ij} y_{ij}}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} w_{ij}}$$
$$\hat{V}(\hat{y}_r) = \frac{1}{M^2} \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{S_r^2}{n} + \frac{1}{nNM^2} \sum_{i \in \Omega} M_i^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_i}{M_i}\right) \frac{s_i^2}{m_i}$$
$$s_r^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i \in \Omega} (m_i \bar{y}_i - M_i \hat{y}_r)^2$$

Designing a Cluster Sample

Assume  $M_i = M, m_i = m$

$$V(\hat{y}_{unb}) = \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{MSB}{nM} + \left(1 - \frac{m}{M}\right) \frac{MSW}{nM}$$

If  $MSW = 0$ , then choose  $m = 1$  (all points equal).

$$c_1 = \text{cost for sampling 1 psu} \qquad c_2 = \text{cost for sampling 1 ssu}$$
$$C = c_1 n + c_2 nm = \text{total cost of sampling}$$
$$n_{opt} = \frac{C}{c_1 + c_2 m_{opt}} \qquad m_{opt} = \sqrt{\frac{c_1 M(N-1)(1-R_a^2)}{c_2 (NM-1)R_a^2}}$$

Choosing sample size (# psu's): If clusters same size and we ignore psu-level fpc

$$V(\hat{y}_{unb}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{MSB}{M} + \left(1 - \frac{m}{M}\right) \frac{MSW}{m} \right] = \frac{1}{n} v \quad \hat{y}_{unb} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} v} \quad n = z_{\alpha/2}^2 v / e^2$$

Systematic Sampling

Is cluster sampling. Choose a starting point and then every  $i^{th}$  index after to make up the psu's. We get the mean of one psu (take SRS of one psu generated by the systematic counting above).

$$\bar{y}_i = \bar{y}_{iU} = \hat{y}_{sys} \qquad E[\hat{y}_{sys}] = \bar{y}_U$$
$$V(\hat{y}_{sys}) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) \frac{S_y^2}{M^2} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) \frac{MSB}{M} \approx \frac{S^2}{M} [1 + (M-1)ICC]$$

Unequal Probability Sampling

$$w_i = \frac{1}{\pi_i} \quad w_{ij} = \frac{1}{\pi_{ij}} \quad \hat{t} = \sum_{i \in \Omega} w_i y_i \quad \bar{y}_r = \frac{\sum_{i \in \Omega} w_i y_i}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} w_i} \quad \bar{y}_{unb} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \Omega} w_i y_i}{N}$$

Start with sampling one unit,  $n = 1$ :  $\psi_i = \pi_i = \text{Pr}(i \text{ is selected}), y_i = t_i$

$$\hat{t}_{\psi} = w_i y_i = \frac{1}{\psi_i} y_i, \text{ where } i \in \Omega$$
$$E(\hat{t}_{\psi}) = E\left(\sum_{i \in \Omega} w_i y_i\right) = E\left(\sum_{j=1}^N w_j y_j z_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\psi_j} y_j E(Z_j) = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\psi_j} y_j \psi_j = t$$
$$V(\hat{t}_{\psi}) = E((\hat{t}_{\psi} - t)^2) = \sum_{\text{possible samples } \Omega} \text{Pr}(\Omega) (\hat{t}_{\psi\Omega} - t)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i \left(\frac{y_i}{\psi_i} - t\right)^2$$

With  $\psi$  proportional to pop size, we get smaller var here than for SRS, which makes sense since we use auxiliary information to sample, which we believe to be correlated to what we're measuring.

One Stage Sampling

$$\hat{t}_{\psi} = \sum_{i \in \Omega} w_i t_i = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \frac{1}{\psi_i} t_i \quad E(\hat{t}_{\psi}) = t \quad V(\hat{t}_{\psi}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i \left(\frac{t_i}{\psi_i} - t\right)^2 \quad \pi_i = 1 - (1 - \psi_i)^n$$

We take a sample of  $n$  psu's with replacement, straightforward

**if we know all psu sizes**  $\implies \psi_i = \frac{M_i}{M_0}, M_0 = \sum_{i=1}^N M_i$

To sample with only ssu's: Take a sample of size 1 from list of ssu's by SRS. Take all ssu's from the psu of the one ssu sampled  $\implies \psi_i \propto M_i$ .

**Lahiri's Algorithm**  $N = \#$  of psu's in pop. Let  $\max\{M_i\} = \text{max psu size}$ . **Lahiri's method** is as follows:

- 1. Draw #  $i$  from 1 to  $N$  uniformly (with replacement)
- 2. Draw second random number  $k$  between 1 and  $\max\{M_i\}$ . If  $k \leq M_i$  for psu in step 1, select psu  $i$ , otherwise go back to 1.
- 3. Repeat until  $n$  psu's selected.

This is an example of **rejection sampling**. Sample with replacement with  $\psi_i = \frac{M_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N M_i}$ . Note that the prob for selection for slot  $j = \frac{1}{N} \frac{M_j}{\max\{M_i\}} \propto M_j$ .

$\mathcal{R}$  denotes units included in the sample, including repeats.

$$\hat{t}_{\psi} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{t_i}{\psi_i}}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} u_i = \bar{u}, u_i = \frac{t_i}{\psi_i}$$
$$Q \sim Mult(n, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n), Q_i = \# \text{ times } i \text{ appears in samp}$$
$$E(\hat{t}_{\psi}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{t_i}{\psi_i}\right) = \frac{1}{n} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^N Q_i \frac{t_i}{\psi_i}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^N n \psi_i \frac{t_i}{\psi_i} = t$$
$$\hat{t}_{\psi} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i \frac{t_i}{\psi_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \sum_{j=1}^N q_{ij} \right] \frac{t_i}{\psi_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^N \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N q_{ij} \right] \frac{t_i}{\psi_i} \implies$$
$$V(\hat{t}_{\psi}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n V\left(\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^N q_{ij}}_{\text{only one of these } \neq 0} \frac{t_i}{\psi_i}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i \left(\frac{t_i}{\psi_i} - t\right)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i \left(\frac{t_i}{\psi_i} - t\right)^2$$
$$\hat{V}(\hat{t}_{\psi}) = \frac{s_u^2}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} (u_i - \bar{u})^2 = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} \left(\frac{t_i}{\psi_i} - \hat{t}_{\psi}\right)^2$$
$$E(\hat{V}(\hat{t}_{\psi})) = V(\hat{t}_{\psi})$$

$$\hat{\bar{y}}_{\psi} = \frac{\hat{t}_{\psi}}{\hat{M}_{0\psi}} \quad \hat{M}_{0\psi} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{M_i}{\psi_i} \quad \hat{V}(\hat{\bar{y}}_{\psi}) = \frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{0\psi})^2} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} \left( \frac{t_i}{\psi_i} - \frac{\hat{\bar{y}}_{\psi} M_i}{\psi_i} \right)^2$$

If  $N$  is small or some  $\psi_i$  are very large, possible that the sample will be one psu sampled  $n$  times, estimated variance will be 0. Better to use sampling without replacement in this case.

**Choosing  $\psi_i$**  Optimal  $\psi_i = \frac{t_i}{t}$ , but this requires  $t$  and  $t_i$ . Take **probability proportional to size** (pps) sampling.

$$\psi_i = \frac{M_i}{M_0} \quad \frac{t_i}{\psi_i} = t_i \frac{M_0}{M_i} = M_0 \bar{y}_i$$

$$\hat{t}_{\psi} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} M_0 \bar{y}_i \quad \hat{\bar{y}}_{\psi} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} \bar{y}_i$$

$$\hat{M}_{0\psi} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{M_i}{\psi_i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{M_i}{M_i/M_0} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} M_0 = M_0$$

$$\hat{V}(\hat{\bar{y}}_{\psi}) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} (\bar{y}_i - \hat{\bar{y}}_{\psi})^2$$

**Weights** without replacement,  $w_i = \frac{1}{E(Z_i)}$ . With replacement:

$$w_{ij} = w_i = \frac{1}{E(Q_i)} = \frac{1}{n\psi_i} \quad \hat{t}_{\psi} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{j=1}^{M_i} w_{ij} y_{ij} \quad \hat{\bar{y}}_{\psi} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{j=1}^{M_i} w_{ij} y_{ij}}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{j=1}^{M_i} w_{ij}}$$

If  $\psi_i$  are unequal, not self-weighting. In one stage pps, elements in larger psu's have smaller weights than elements in small psu's.

### Two Stage Sampling

With replacement:

1. Take sample of psu's with replacement choosing psu  $i$  with prob  $\psi_i$  at slow  $j$  out of  $n$ .
2. Take probability sample of  $m_i$  ssu's from psu  $i$  at each replication.
3. If psu  $i$  appears  $Q_i$  times,  $\hat{t}_{i1}, \dots, \hat{t}_{iQ_i}$  are different.

**Conditions:** Different subsamples within psu  $i$  must be done independently and with same sampling scheme. Also,  $j^{th}$  subsample from psu  $i$  is selected s.t.  $E[\hat{t}_{ij}] = t_i, j = 1, \dots, Q_i$ . Using the same procedure each time means  $V[\hat{t}_{ij}] = V_i, \forall j$

$$\hat{t}_{\psi} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{Q_i} \frac{\hat{t}_{ij}}{\psi_i}$$

$$E(\hat{t}_{\psi}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^N E_{Q_i} \left( E_{t_{ij}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{Q_i} \frac{\hat{t}_{ij}}{\psi_i} \mid Q_i \right) \right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^N E_{Q_i} \left( \frac{1}{\psi_i} \sum_{j=1}^{Q_i} E(\hat{t}_{ij} \mid Q_i) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{t_i}{\psi_i} E_{Q_i}[Q_i] = t$$

$$\hat{V}(\hat{t}_{\psi}) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{Q_i} \left( \frac{\hat{t}_{ij}}{\psi_i} - \hat{t}_{\psi} \right)^2$$

$$\hat{\bar{y}}_{\psi} = \frac{\hat{t}_{\psi}}{\hat{M}_{0\psi}}, \hat{M}_{0\psi} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{M_i}{\psi_i}$$

For an SRS of size  $m_i$  at stage 2,  $w_{ij} = \frac{1}{n\psi_i} \frac{M_i}{m_i}$ .

If  $\psi_i = \frac{M_i}{M_0}$  (**Probability proportional to size** - PPS),  $w_{ij} = \frac{M_0}{nM_i} \frac{M_i}{m_i} = \frac{M_0}{nm_i}$ . If  $m_i = m \implies w_{ij} = \frac{M_0}{nm}$ , self-weighted

### Without Replacement

Probability of being chosen second is different from being chosen first. For  $n = 2$  we have:

$$\Pr(i \text{ chosen } 1^{st}, \text{ then } j \text{ } 2^{nd}) = \psi_i \frac{\psi_j}{1 - \psi_i} \neq \psi_j \frac{\psi_i}{1 - \psi_j} = \Pr(j \text{ } 1^{st}, i \text{ } 2^{nd})$$

$$\pi_{ij} = \Pr(i \text{ and } j \text{ in sample}) = \psi_i \frac{\psi_j}{1 - \psi_i} + \psi_j \frac{\psi_i}{1 - \psi_j}$$

In general:

$$\pi_i = E(Z_i) \implies \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i = n$$

$$\pi_{ij} = E(Z_i Z_j) = \sum_{j=1}^N \pi_{ik} = \sum_{j=1}^N E(Z_i Z_j) = E \left[ \sum_{j=1}^N Z_i Z_j - Z_i^2 \right] = E \left[ \sum_{j=1}^N Z_i Z_k - Z_i \right]$$

$$= E[Z_i n - Z_i] = (n-1)E(Z_i) = (n-1)\pi_i$$

$\frac{\pi_i}{n}$  is average prob of selection for each draw

### Horvitz-Thompson Estimator for One Stage

$$\hat{t}_{HT} = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \frac{t_i}{\pi_i} = \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i \frac{t_i}{\pi_i} \quad Cov(Z_i, Z_i) = \pi_i(1 - \pi_i) \quad Cov(Z_i, Z_k) = \pi_{ik} - \pi_i \pi_k, i \neq k$$

Assume subsampling independent between psu's,  $\hat{t}_i \perp Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  and  $E(\hat{t}_i \mid Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = t_i$

$$E(\hat{t}_i \mid Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = t_i$$

$$V(\hat{t}_i \mid Z_1, \dots, Z_n) = v_i$$

$$E[\hat{t}_{HT}] = E \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i \frac{\hat{t}_i}{\pi_i} \right] = E_{Z_1, \dots, Z_N} \left[ E_{\hat{t}_1, \dots, \hat{t}_N \mid Z_1, \dots, Z_n} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i \frac{\hat{t}_i}{\pi_i} \mid Z_1, \dots, Z_n \right] \right]$$

$$= E_{Z_1, \dots, Z_n} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i E_{\hat{t}_i \mid Z_i} \left[ \frac{\hat{t}_i}{\pi_i} \right] \right] = E_{Z_1, \dots, Z_n} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i \frac{t_i}{\pi_i} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^N t_i = t$$

$$V(\hat{t}_{HT}) = V_{\bar{Z}}(E_{\hat{t} \mid \bar{Z}}(\hat{t}_{HT} \mid Z_1, \dots, Z_n)) + E_{\bar{Z}}(V_{\hat{t} \mid \bar{Z}}(\hat{t}_{HT} \mid Z_1, \dots, Z_n))$$

$$= V \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i E \left[ \frac{\hat{t}_i}{\pi_i} \right] \right] + E \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i^2 V \left[ \frac{\hat{t}_i}{\pi_i} \right] \right]$$

$$= V \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i \frac{t_i}{\pi_i} \right] + E \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i^2 \frac{v_i}{\pi_i} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{t_i t_k}{\pi_i \pi_k} Cov(Z_i, Z_k) + \sum_{i=1}^N \pi_i \frac{v_i}{\pi_i^2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \pi_i (1 - \pi_i) \frac{t_i^2}{\pi_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{i \neq k} (\pi_{ik} - \pi_i \pi_k) \frac{t_i t_k}{\pi_i \pi_k} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{v_i}{\pi_i}}_{\text{ssu var}}$$

For one stage,  $V(\hat{t}_i) = 0$  for  $i \in \Omega \implies$

$$V_{HT}(\hat{t}_{HT}) = \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - \pi_i) \frac{t_i^2}{\pi_i} + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N (\pi_{ik} - \pi_i \pi_k) \frac{t_i}{\pi_i} \frac{t_k}{\pi_k}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N (\pi_i \pi_k - \pi_{ik}) \left( \frac{t_i}{\pi_i} - \frac{t_k}{\pi_k} \right)^2 = V_{SYG}(\hat{t}_{HT})$$

$$\hat{V}_{HT}(\hat{t}_{HT}) = \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i (1 - \pi_i) \frac{\hat{t}_i^2}{\pi_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N R_{ik} \left( \frac{\pi_{ik} - \pi_i \pi_k}{\pi_{ik}} \right) \frac{\hat{t}_i \hat{t}_k}{\pi_i \pi_k}$$

$$R_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Z_i = Z_k = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{V}_{SYG}(\hat{t}_{HT}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N Z_i Z_k \frac{\pi_i \pi_k - \pi_{ik}}{\pi_{ik}} \left( \frac{t_i}{\pi_i} - \frac{t_k}{\pi_k} \right)^2 \neq \hat{V}_{HT}(\hat{t}_{HT})$$

However, both are unbiased.  $\hat{V}_{SYG}$  usually preferred. Since  $\pi_{ik}$  can be hard to compute, we can still estimate the variance by pretending it was taken with replacement,  $\psi_i = \frac{\pi_i}{n}$ :

$$\hat{V}_{WR}(\hat{t}_{HT}) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i \in \Omega} \left( \frac{t_i}{\psi_i} - \hat{t}_{HT} \right) = \frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{i \in \Omega} \left( \frac{t_i}{\pi_i} - \frac{\hat{t}_{HT}}{n} \right)^2$$

### Two Stage Horvitz-Thompson

$$\hat{t}_{HT} = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \frac{\hat{t}_i}{\pi_i} = \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i \frac{\hat{t}_i}{\pi_i}$$

$$V(\hat{t}_{HT}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \pi_i (1 - \pi_i) \frac{t_i^2}{\pi_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{i \neq k} (\pi_{ik} - \pi_i \pi_k) \frac{t_i t_k}{\pi_i \pi_k} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{v_i}{\pi_i}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N (\pi_i \pi_k - \pi_{ik}) \left( \frac{t_i}{\pi_i} - \frac{t_k}{\pi_k} \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{v_i}{\pi_i}$$

$$\hat{V}_{HT}(\hat{t}_{HT}) = \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i (1 - \pi_i) \frac{\hat{t}_i^2}{\pi_i^2} + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N R_{ik} \left( \frac{\pi_{ik} - \pi_i \pi_k}{\pi_{ik}} \right) \frac{\hat{t}_i \hat{t}_k}{\pi_i \pi_k} + \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i \frac{\hat{v}_i}{\pi_i}$$

$$\hat{V}_{SYG}(\hat{t}_{HT}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N Z_i Z_k \frac{\pi_i \pi_k - \pi_{ik}}{\pi_{ik}} \left( \frac{t_i}{\pi_i} - \frac{t_k}{\pi_k} \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i \frac{\hat{v}_i}{\pi_i} \neq \hat{V}_{HT}(\hat{t}_{HT})$$

$$\hat{V}_{WR}(\hat{t}_{HT}) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i \in \Omega} \left( \frac{n \hat{t}_i}{\pi_i} - \hat{t}_{HT} \right)^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{i \in \Omega} \left( \frac{\hat{t}_i}{\pi_i} - \frac{\hat{t}_{HT}}{n} \right)^2$$

### Weights

**One Stage**  $\hat{t}_{HT} = \sum_{i \in \Omega} w_i \hat{t}_i, w_i = \frac{1}{\pi_i}$

**Two Stage** with SRS at stage 2:

$$\hat{t}_i = \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} \frac{y_{ij}}{\pi_{j|i}} \quad \pi_{j|i} = \frac{m_i}{M_i} \quad w_{ij} = \frac{1}{\pi_i \pi_{j|i}}$$

$$\hat{t}_{HT} = \sum_{i \in \Omega} w_i \hat{t}_i = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} w_{ij} y_{ij} \quad \hat{\bar{y}}_{HT} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} w_{ij} y_{ij}}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} w_{ij}} \quad \hat{M}_0 = \sum_{i \in \Omega} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} w_{ij}$$

Residual from the fact that  $\hat{\bar{y}}$  is ratio estimator:  $\hat{e}_i = \hat{t}_i - \hat{\bar{y}}_{HT} \hat{M}_i, \hat{M}_i = \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} \frac{1}{\pi_{j|i}}$  we then get:

$$\hat{V}_{WR}(\hat{\bar{y}}_{HT}) = \frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{i \in \Omega} \left( \frac{\hat{e}_i}{\hat{M}_0 \pi_i} \right) = \frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{i \in \Omega} \left( \frac{\sum_{j \in \Omega_i} w_{ij} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{HT})}{\sum_{k \in \Omega} \sum_{j \in \Omega_i} w_{kj}} \right)^2$$

### Example Problems

Gas stations, consider gas prices in November and December, want to estimate pop diff in average gas prices between two months. Design 1: SRS  $n$  gas stations in November and then SRS  $n$  gas stations in December (independently). Good estimator is  $\hat{d} = \bar{y}_{Dec} - \bar{y}_{Nov}$ , sample avgs unbiased for  $\bar{y}_{u, Month}$ . so difference is unbiased.

$V[\hat{d}] = V(\bar{y}_{Dec}) + V(\bar{y}_{Nov})$   
Design 2: SRS of  $n$  gas stations in November and then same stations in December.  $\hat{d}^* = \bar{y}_{Dec} - \bar{y}_{Nov}$ , unbiased because sample means are unbiased. For var:  $\hat{d}^* = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in S} (y_{i, Dec} - y_{i, Nov}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in S} d_i \implies V[\hat{d}^*] = \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \frac{S_d^2}{n}$  where  $S_d^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_{i, Dec} - y_{i, Nov} - [\bar{y}_{u, Dec} - \bar{y}_{u, Nov}])^2 = V(Y_{Dec}) + V(Y_{Nov}) - 2Cov(Y_{Dec}, Y_{Nov})$ . Design 2 is better if covariance or correlation is positive (lower var). Given margin of error for SRS total and want to compute  $n$ , convert margin of error to mean and then use formula for  $n$  for mean.

Cov: Design based:  $Cov(\bar{y}_n, \bar{y}_m) = Cov \left( \sum_{i \in S_1} \frac{y_i}{n}, \sum_{j \in S_2} \frac{y_j}{m} \right) = Cov \left( \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i \frac{y_i}{n}, \sum_{j=1}^N Z'_j \frac{y_j}{m} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N Z_i Z'_j y_i y_j \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{m} \underbrace{Cov(Z_i, Z'_j)}_{E(Z_i Z'_j) - E(Z_i)E(Z'_j)}$ . Use

$$E(Z_i Z'_j) = \Pr(Z_i = 1, Z'_j = 1) = \Pr(Z'_j = 1 \mid Z_i = 1) \Pr(Z_i = 1)$$

**Design Effect** of  $\hat{t}$  relative to  $\hat{t}_2$  is  $\frac{V(\hat{t})}{V(\hat{t}_2)}$   
**Lagrange:** Set constraint = 0 (e.g.  $C = c_0 + c_1 \rightarrow 0 = c_0 + c_1 - c^*$ ), then partial derive wrt to each variable (one at a time) of  $f - \lambda(\text{constraint})$ , e.g.  $f - \lambda(c_0 + c_1 - c^*)$  and derive wrt to  $n_h$ . If second deriv is positive, then min.  
Two-phase, sample of size  $n$  from  $N$  and each unit has category.  $N_g$  be # units in pop with category  $g$  and  $n_g$  be # units in samp with cat  $g$ . 2nd phase, sample  $m$  units from each category.

Inclusion prob,  $\pi^* = \frac{n}{N} \times \sum_{g=1}^G x_{ig} \min \left( \frac{m}{n_g}, 1 \right)$ , where  $x_{ig} = 1$  if  $i$  in cat  $g$ .

Write  $n_g = \sum_{i \in S_1} x_{ig}$  for  $S_1$  being units sampled in phase 1.  $E(n_g) = \frac{N_g}{N} n$ . Simplify  $\pi_i^*$ , gets rid of max for large  $n$  and converges to inclusion for stratified with  $m$  from each strata.

When deriving var, try to get var in terms of an expr we already know var of. Cannot estimate var between if only one cluster in samp, so cannot estimate var. If one cluster has higher var than all the others in two stage, we can sample more from that cluster to decrease variance.  
Multinomial:  $p(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{n!}{x_1! \dots x_k!} p_1^{x_1} \dots p_k^{x_k}, E(X_i) = np_i, V(X_i) = np_i(1 - p_i), Cov(X_i, X_j) = -np_i p_j (i \neq j)$ . SRSWR is multinomial.