

Mapping Simulation Model Variables to SPDE Model Variables

Strength of Biotic Selection Given a Single Encounter

Start by considering the component of fitness due to biotic interactions $W_S^{(B)}$ used in the individual-based model:

$$W_S^{(B)}(z_H, z_P) = (\iota_S)^\chi, \quad \chi \sim \text{Bern}(\pi(z_H, z_P))$$

where the probability of infection is

$$\pi(z_H, z_P) = \pi_{\max} \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma}{2}(z_H - z_P)^2\right).$$

Can rewrite $W_S^{(B)} = \exp(\chi \ln \iota_S)$ and thus $\mathbb{E}[W_S^{(B)}]$ takes the form of a moment-generating function. Using the known mgf of a Bernoulli rv, we have

$$w_S^{(B)} = \mathbb{E}[W_S^{(B)}] = (1 - \pi(z_H, z_P)) + \pi(z_H, z_P)e^{\ln \iota_S} = \left(1 + (\iota_S - 1)\pi(z_H, z_P)\right).$$

$$m_S(z_H, z_P) = \lim_n n(w_S^{1/n} - 1) = \lim_n n\left((w_S^{(A)})^{1/n}(w_S^{(B)})^{1/n} - 1\right) = \ln w_S^{(A)} + \ln w_S^{(B)}.$$

Assuming weak biotic selection so that $\gamma \ll 1$ we have

$$w_S^{(B)} \approx \left(1 + (\iota_S - 1)\pi_{\max}\left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}(z_H - z_P)^2\right)\right).$$

If we further assume that $|\iota_S - 1| \ll 1$, then

$$\ln w_S^{(B)} \approx \pi_{\max}(\iota_S - 1)\left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}(z_H - z_P)^2\right) = \pi_{\max}(\iota_S - 1) - \frac{\gamma\pi_{\max}(\iota_S - 1)}{2}(z_H - z_P)^2.$$

Under our SPDE model, the growth rate utilized took the form

$$m_S(z_H, z_P) = r_S - \frac{A_S}{2}(\theta_S - z_S)^2 \pm \frac{B_S}{2}(z_H - z_P)^2,$$

where the biotic term is added for $S = H$ and subtracted for $S = P$. Relating the two, we see the $\pi_{\max}(\iota_S - 1)$ term out front can be accounted for by the intrinsic growth rate r_S leaving

$$B_S \approx \gamma\pi_{\max}|\iota_S - 1|.$$

Parasite Biotic Selection Since parasites may not be close enough to a host to experience an encounter, we need to modify our calculations. Given host density ρ_H , we can model the number of observed hosts within a region of radius R as Poisson with parameter $2\pi R^2 \rho_H$. We can then approximate the probability that no hosts will be within a given region of radius R as

$$\mathbb{P}_R(\text{no hosts} \mid \rho_H) = e^{-2\pi R^2 \rho_H}.$$

Then, since for infection to occur there needs to be a host present within the interaction radius R_i of the parasite, the infection probability for the parasite is replaced by

$$\pi(z_H, z_P) \rightarrow (1 - e^{-2\pi R_i^2 \rho_H}) \pi(z_H, z_P)$$

Then, repeating the same calculation as above, we find new parameterizations of B_P and intrinsic growth rate r_P :

$$B_P \approx \gamma \pi_{\max} (\iota_P - 1) (1 - e^{-2\pi R_i^2 \rho_H})$$

$$r_P^{(B)} \approx \pi_{\max} (\iota_P - 1) (1 - e^{-2\pi R_i^2 \rho_H}).$$

Host Biotic Selection Since hosts may not be close enough to a parasite to experience an encounter or may experience multiple encounters if there are several parasites nearby (which will depend on host density), we need to modify our calculations. Following the above approach, we can model the number of parasites within the interaction radius R_i of the host as Poisson with parameter $2\pi R_i^2 \rho_P$. For parasite i within this region, we model the number of hosts within their interaction radius n_i and compute the probability of encounter with the focal host as the inverse of this number, $1/n_i$. We then accumulate the total number of encounters times their probabilities of infection. We will denote the i th parasites trait by z_i and the focal hosts trait by z_H .

The probability of K parasites within the interaction radius of the focal host is

$$\mathbb{P}(K) = \frac{1}{K!} (2\pi R_i^2 \rho_P)^K e^{-2\pi R_i^2 \rho_P}.$$

For the i th parasite, the probability of n_i hosts with its interaction radius is

$$\mathbb{P}(n_i) = \frac{1}{n_i!} (2\pi R_i^2 \rho_H)^{n_i} e^{-2\pi R_i^2 \rho_H}.$$

Denote the rv determining whether parasite i encounters the focal host by $\varepsilon_i \sim \text{Bern}(1/n_i)$ and the probability that parasite i infects the focal host by $\chi_i \sim \text{Bern}(\pi(z_H, z_i))$. Then the cumulative fitness effect of interactions with parasites on the focal host is given by

$$(\iota_H) \sum_{i=1}^K \chi_i \varepsilon_i.$$

We can model infection from parasite i as a Bernoulli trial with parameter $\pi(z_H, z_i)/n_i$. Then, assuming these trials are independent, the moment generating function of the sum $\sum_{i=1}^K \chi_i \varepsilon_i$ is just the product the moment generating functions of each trial:

$$w_H^{(B)} = \mathbb{E}[(\iota_H) \sum_{i=1}^K \chi_i \varepsilon_i] = \prod_{i=1}^K \left(1 + (\iota_H - 1) \pi(z_H, z_i)/n_i \right).$$

Using our small γ approximation again leads to

$$w_H^{(B)} \approx \prod_{i=1}^K \left(1 + (\iota_H - 1) \frac{\pi_{\max}}{n_i} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} (z_H - z_i)^2 \right) \right).$$

Now assuming $|\iota_H - 1| \ll 1$, we have

$$\ln w_H^{(B)} \approx \sum_{i=1}^K (\iota_H - 1) \frac{\pi_{\max}}{n_i} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} (z_H - z_i)^2 \right) = \sum_{i=1}^K (\iota_H - 1) \frac{\pi_{\max}}{n_i} - (\iota_H - 1) \frac{\pi_{\max}}{n_i} \frac{\gamma}{2} (z_H - z_i)^2.$$

Setting \bar{z}_P, v_P as the local parasite mean trait and trait variance respectively, we further approximate with

$$\ln w_H^{(B)} \approx K \left((\iota_H - 1) \frac{\pi_{\max}}{n_i} - (\iota_H - 1) \frac{\pi_{\max}}{n_i} \frac{\gamma}{2} [(z_H - \bar{z}_P)^2 + v_P] \right).$$

Finally, we approximate K and the n_i with their respective expectations $2\pi R_l^2 \rho_P$ and $2\pi R_l^2 \rho_H$. Hence, the updated strength of biotic selection and biotic contribution to intrinsic growth rate are

$$B_H \approx 2\pi R_l^2 \rho_P \gamma (\iota_H - 1) \frac{\pi_{\max}}{2\pi R_l^2 \rho_H} = \gamma \pi_{\max} (\iota_H - 1) \frac{\rho_P}{\rho_H},$$

$$r_H^{(B)} \approx \pi_{\max} (\iota_H - 1) (1 - \gamma v_P / 2) \frac{\rho_P}{\rho_H}.$$

Additive Genetic Variance

At equilibrium and under weak coevolution, we have the approximation

$$G_P \approx \sqrt{\mu_P / (A_P + B_P)}$$

$$G_H \approx \sqrt{\mu_H / (A_H - B_H)}$$

- These G 's are measured at some local scale. Perhaps measured by dispersal distance σ ?

Expressed Phenotypic Variation

This should always be approximately true, especially for large population sizes

$$v_S \approx G_S + E_S.$$

However, which v and which G depends on the scale considered. The 'local population scale' may be determined by dispersal distance, but interactions occur at a particular radius. So when averaging over potential interaction partners, the appropriate v and G should be measured at the scale of the interaction radius.

Population Density

Given n individuals within a radius R_S , the fitness of an individual in species S will be attenuated by $(\kappa_S)^n$. This corresponds to an additive effect on growth rate of $-n \ln \kappa_S$. Then, at the scale on which competition occurs the equilibrium expectation is

$$\rho_P \approx \frac{1}{\ln \kappa_P} \left(r_P - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\mu_P(A_P + B_P)} \right)$$

$$\rho_H \approx \frac{1}{\ln \kappa_H} \left(r_H - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\mu_S(A_H - B_H)} \right)$$

where

$$r_H = \ln \alpha_H + \pi_{\max}(\iota_S - 1)(1 - \gamma v_P/2) \frac{\rho_P}{\rho_H},$$

$$r_P = \ln \alpha_P + \pi_{\max}(\iota_P - 1)(1 - e^{-2\pi R_t^2 \rho_H}),$$

$$B_H \approx \gamma \pi_{\max} |\iota_S - 1| \frac{\rho_P}{\rho_H}$$

$$B_P \approx \gamma \pi_{\max}(\iota_P - 1)(1 - e^{-2\pi R_t^2 \rho_H})$$

- Need to test these predictions against simulations...

##	Parameter	Expectation	Observation
## 1	host density	12.888329	12.30
## 2	parasite density	8.707538	7.65