

Modelling deadlock with graph theory

Assuming n_f greedy flows with infinite traffic demand are traversing the ingress queues of n_s switches and n_h hosts in a lossless network. Let k be the port number of the switches (assuming all the switches have uniform port number). We logically consider a switch as k ingress queues, and a host as 1 ingress queue. An ingress queue consists of n' ($n' = k * n_s + n_h$) virtual output queues. For any ingress queue q_i , the queue length of its j -th virtual output queue represents the bytes of packets to be sent to ingress queue q_j .

For any flow f_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n_f$), we add a virtual ingress queue $q_{(j+n')}$ as the traffic source. Let q_i be the first ingress queue flow f_j traverses in the network. For $q_{(j+n')}$, the queue length of its i -th virtual output queue is non-zero, and the others are all zero.

Let $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E})$ be a directed graph. Let $n = n' + n_f$.

- 1) Any vertex $v_i \in \mathbf{V}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) represents the i -th ingress queue.
- 2) \mathbf{V}_0 is a subset of \mathbf{V} . Any vertex $v_i \in \mathbf{V}_0$ ($i = n' + 1, n' + 2, \dots, n$) represents the virtual ingress queue corresponding to the traffic source of $(i - n')$ -th flow.
- 3) Any arc $e_{i,j} \in \mathbf{E}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) means there exists a flow traversing ingress queues i and j in sequence.
- 4) Any arc $e_{i,j}$ in \mathbf{E} has a weight $w_{e_{i,j}}$ (≥ 0), which represents the queue length of the j -th virtual output queue of ingress queue i .
- 5) $\forall v_i \in \mathbf{V}$, we have $\sum_{j=1}^n w_{e_{i,j}} \leq m_\theta$, where m_θ is a constant. Here m_θ represents the PFC threshold. This constraint is used to describe the fact that the queue length of any ingress queue can be no more than the PFC threshold.

$\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E})(t)$ can be viewed as a snapshot of the network state at time t . For any $e_{i,j}$ in \mathbf{E} , $w_{e_{i,j}}(t)$ is the weight of $e_{i,j}$ at time t . we define

$$\frac{d(w_{e_{i,j}}(t))}{dt} = \frac{w_{e_{i,j}}(t + \delta t) - w_{e_{i,j}}(t)}{\delta t}. \quad (1)$$

The behavior of PFC pause can be defined as

$$\frac{d(w_{e_{i,j}}(t))}{dt} \geq 0 \text{ when } \sum_{k=1}^n w_{e_{j,k}}(t) = m_\theta. \quad (2)$$

The queue length of an ingress queue cannot increase when all the virtual output queues pointing to it are empty, so we have

$$\frac{d(w_{e_{i,j}}(t))}{dt} \leq 0 \text{ when } \sum_{k=1}^n w_{e_{k,i}}(t) = 0. \quad (3)$$

The queue length of an ingress queue should increase when not all the virtual output queues pointing to it are empty and PFC threshold is not reached, so we have

$$\frac{d(w_{e_{i,j}}(t))}{dt} > 0 \text{ when } \sum_{k=1}^n w_{e_{k,i}}(t) > 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^n w_{e_{j,k}}(t) < m_\theta. \quad (4)$$

The queue length of any ingress queue corresponding to the traffic source of any flow should be larger than zero, so we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n w_{e_{i,j}}(t) > 0 \text{ when } v_i \in \mathbf{V}_0. \quad (5)$$

Definition of deadlock: We say $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E})(t)$ has deadlock when $\exists t_0 < \infty$ and $\exists \mathbf{V}' \subseteq \mathbf{V}$,

- 1) $\forall t > t_0$ and $\forall v_i \in \mathbf{V}'$, $\frac{d(w_{e_{i,j}}(t))}{dt} = 0$ for any feasible j .
- 2) $\forall t > t_0$ and $\forall v_i \in \mathbf{V}'$, $\sum_{j=1}^n w_{e_{i,j}}(t) > 0$.

Sufficient and necessary condition for deadlock: If $\exists t_1 < \infty$ and $\exists \mathbf{V}' \subseteq \mathbf{V}$,

- 1) $\forall v_i \in \mathbf{V}'$, $\sum_{j=1}^n w_{e_{i,j}}(t_1) = m_\theta$.
- 2) $\forall v_i \in \mathbf{V}'$ and $\forall v_j \in \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}'$, there is either no edge between v_i and v_j , or $w_{e_{i,j}}(t_1) = 0$.

Then $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E})(t)$ has deadlock.

Proof:

\Rightarrow As $\forall v_i \in \mathbf{V}'$, $\sum_{j=1}^n w_{e_{i,j}}(t_1) = m_\theta$, according to equation (2), if $v_i, v_j \in \mathbf{V}'$, $\frac{d(w_{e_{i,j}}(t_1))}{dt} \geq 0$. However, as we have the constraint $\sum_{j=1}^n w_{e_{i,j}}(t_1) \leq m_\theta$, we have $\frac{d(w_{e_{i,j}}(t_1))}{dt} = 0$.

As $\forall v_i \in \mathbf{V}'$ and $\forall v_j \in \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}'$, there is either no edge between v_i and v_j , or $w_{e_{i,j}}(t_1) = 0$, according to equation (3), if $v_i \in \mathbf{V}'$, and $v_j \in \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}'$, $\frac{d(w_{e_{i,j}}(t_1))}{dt} \leq 0$. As $w_{e_{i,j}}(t_1) \geq 0$, we have $\frac{d(w_{e_{i,j}}(t_1))}{dt} = 0$.

In summary, we have $\forall v_i \in \mathbf{V}'$, $\frac{d(w_{e_{i,j}}(t))}{dt} = 0$ for any feasible j . It is trivial that $\forall v_i \in \mathbf{V}'$, $\sum_{j=1}^n w_{e_{i,j}}(t) > 0$. As the two constraints still hold at time $t + \delta t$, we can then prove $\forall t \geq t_1$, $\frac{d(w_{e_{i,j}}(t))}{dt} = 0$ is true for any $v_i \in \mathbf{V}'$. So $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E})(t)$ has deadlock.

\Leftarrow (not finished yet) The key of the proof is to derive a \mathbf{V}^* from \mathbf{V}' which satisfies the two constraints of the sufficient and necessary condition. I need more time to work out the details.