

Club / Individual Name	Proposal Details	Comments
<b>FCCC</b>		
Mike Riley	Cricket coaching program for kids in Fort Collins in 2013	Mike is working with a retired coach from the UK to start a cricket program in association with some schools in Ft Collins. He had discussed this with ICC and USACA and the project will be co-funded by USACA. For raising the funds for this initiative, CCL and member clubs need to contribute. Mike Riley can be contacted for more information about this initiative.
	Need for a beginner's T20 division	Need to have a league for really novice people which can start with 4 teams and 4 games or something like that.
	A novel way to reduce time wasting	Mike discussed how to tie shoe laces such that they don't come undone.
	Get rid of all trophies and make a notation of the winners on the website.	FAILED
<b>RBCC</b>		
Faiq Majeed	If we keep the Champion trophy as a rotational bases so we do not have to spent money on yearly bases.	FAILED
	keep the schedule as 2012 season. one week 20/20 and next week 40/40.	PASSED
	Start season with a 3 week 20/20 knock-out tournament. (saturday and sunday)	FAILED
<b>LCC</b>		
Ramesh Menon	Trophies should be given day of finals. Finals should be a bigger event planned and coordinated by CCL	VOID
	Balls: need to bring some variable to the game here as all our grounds and pitch are the same. This brings in some variability	Duke balls to be tried in practice by clubs this season to evaluate if they can be used as a replacement for Kookaburra.
	Keep the same format and schedule as last year	VOID

	Improve the quality of umpiring	Train the trainer program. Each club can have 1 person who can certify others in his club. The criteria for passing and other details to be decided by CACU in due time. PASSED
	We need to be more socially involved - maybe do breast cancer weekend cricket, prostate cancer etc and get in the media more.	VOID
	Teams need to do better with arranging for their own drinks, lunch, keeping facilities clean, avoid smoking etc	VOID
	We should employ a technology improvement task force for umpire reviews, score cards, better analytics for stats, rewards etc.	VOID
Bodhayan Chakraborty	Allow one bouncer per over	FAILED
	Make CricHQ mandatory for both the playing teams	VOID
	Disrespecting umpiring decisions should be punishable. I have seen so many occasions where the player(s) clearly disrespecting umpiring decisions. I haven't heard about any punishment	Law exists.
CSCC		

<p>Raymond M</p>	<p>League format change: Proposal: Two tournaments, Premier (40 overs) and T20 (20 overs) to be played in parallel. Each tournament would have a 10 game league phase followed by a knockout phase. The top 4 teams will plays cross semi finals followed by finals. The bottom teams will also play 2 knockout games each to determine ranking for positions 5 to x (where x = total number of teams). Details: The makeup of the league phase will be determined by the number of teams participating as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 7 team format: Each team will play every other team once for a total of 6 games. Each team will play 4 more games based on last year's ranking. The ranking will be a closed loop as shown here.</li> </ul> <pre> 2  3 1      4  8  5 7  6 </pre> <p>Teams will play 2 teams above them and 2 teams below them. #1 ranked team will play #2, #3, #8 and #7. So each team will play a total 10 league games and these total points gained will determine the standings for the knockouts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 8 team format: Teams will be split into 2 groups - North and South. Each team will play every other team once for a total of 7 games. Teams within the group will play each other again for 3 more games. So each team will play a total 10 league games and these total points gained will determine the standings for the knockouts.</li> <li>• 9 team format: Teams will be split into 3 groups North, Central and South. Each team will play every other team once for a total of 8 games. Teams within the group will play each other again for 2 more games. So each team will play a total 10 league games and these total points gained will determine the standings for the knockouts.</li> </ul>	<p>Increase number of league games for Premier to 10: PASSED Number of T20 games at 8/10 league games: 10</p>
------------------	---	---

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 10 team format: Each team will play every other team once for a total of 9 games. Each team will play one extra game which will be determined by a random draw. So each team will play a total 10 league games and these total points gained will determine the standings for the knockouts.</li> <li>• 11 team format: Each team will play every other team once for a total of 10 games. Points gained in these games will determine the standings for the knockouts.</li> </ul> <p>Logistics:          If we start our season early April and play thru mid October, we can get 25 weekends excluding long weekends. 15 weekends would be set aside for Premier and 10 for T20. Of which 2 weekends each would be for playoffs. To squeeze 40 premier games (assuming 8 teams) into 13 weekends, we will have 3 games per weekend for 12 weeks and 4 games on one weekend. To squeeze 50 premier games (assuming 10 teams) into 8 weekends, we will have 6 games per weekend on 6 weekends and 7 games per weekend on 2 weekends. Note: To have 6 or 7 games per weekend, and for each team to play 10 games in 8 weekends, each team will play 2 games per day on 2 weekends</p>	
<b>Yorkers</b>		
Deepak Appu	Yorkers would like to discuss the Ground costs paid by the teams. We would like more transparency in the costs involved	VOID
	We would like to propose that CCL takes charge of all costs included and then apportions a fixed amount to each club	VOID
<b>FRCC</b>		
	Get rid of Championship Trophies and have medals	Passed

Amal Chandran	<p>Accountability for the EC: Currently there is nothing in place to make sure that the accounts are balanced at the end of the year. We don't have any concrete structure to audit our results and diligently follow-up on the results. If some club denies paying their fee or fine, there is nothing anyone can technically do.</p> <p>Our proposals:</p> <p>1-1) Accounts need to be balanced and duly audited by 31st Dec of every year. We propose that failing this either the EC collectively or the President's parent club be fined \$50 for every month of delay.</p> <p>1-2) a) A club will not be able to vote if they do not clear all their fines before the AGM. This can include even controversial ones that they do not agree, the only democratic way is to pay the fee and then fight it out during AGM, but a denial to pay should not be encouraged.</p> <p>b) If a club decides not to attend the AGM and not pay the fine, none of the players from that club, including the club itself, will be allowed to represent any other club until the club clear's its dues.</p>	<p>Accountability: VOID Change the by-laws to fees and fines</p>
---------------	---	--

	<p>Playing conditions:</p> <p>2-1) Teams that forfeit a game will be responsible for the fines for the game and also the ground fee as well. This is only relevant in the T-20 context, for example, Team-A Vs. Team-B, both teams pay 1/4th or ½ of the cost of the ground irrespective of home Vs. away situation. In such case Team-A forfeits their game, under the new proposal, Team-A will bear the cost for TeamB's ground fee also. Currently, in case of forfeiture of a game, Team-B will be liable though Team-A chose to forfeit the game.</p> <p>Certain exceptions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Weather related call-offs; both teams will still be responsible for ground fee</li> <li>- Calling-off game because of an outside interference situation such as Ground x is unavailable at last minute because of the city dug up something or school district has an important event and they wanted the ground, etc. In all situations, CACU's decision will be final.</li> </ul> <p>2-2) Current rules seem to indicate that teams need to show up at the ground even if it's raining cats and dogs. We propose to amend this as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) If &lt;a league picked entity&gt; states that the temperature is below or equal to 50F on the game day at 12:00 noon, the game can be called off after both the captains have discussed it with CACU. No need for umpires to be involved in decision making.</li> <li>b) If there was heavy rain the night before or 70% rain prediction on the game day, the game can be called off after both the captains have a discussed it with the CACU. No need for umpires to be involved in decision making. Note that in both above cases, the teams do not need to drive to the ground. And the official intimation has to go out from CACU to LMC.</li> <li>c) Once the game has started, a game can be called off at any time in the middle of the game under one of these scenarios</li> </ul>	<p>2-1)Home team would bear the ground charges. 2-2) Amal would send the rephrased statement: PASSED The cancelled game will be rescheduled but in case it's not possible, points would not be split.</p>
--	--	---

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- If both the captains agree (Umpires do not)</li> <li>- If and only if both the umpires and one captain agree (the other captain might not agree) Called-off game will be tried to be re-scheduled, if it can't be - the points will be split. If the game is called-off while in play, it can be continued at a different day from the point when it was stopped. Again, CACU needs to re-schedule.</li> </ul> <p>2-3) If a ball is lost [hit into the creek, lost in LCC ground, rain damaged, wet ground damaged etc.], any of the playing teams can replace the ball per the umpire and captain's judgement but the new ball cost will be incurred by both the teams</p>	
	<p>Ball type: Trying new balls should be something in pipeline all the time. But the testing needs to be for the change in the upcoming season but not for the current season. So if we wanted to change something, we should have tested it last year. All the teams have a solid data point and experience using both the Kook Prac and Kook Zenith. Tej has compiled some interesting stats to present at the AGM. The data can be found here: <a href="https://docs.google.com/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0AkVOUFyQbli7dEIocWd5b25CQ2RiXy1YbUxYcEJyV1E#gid=10">https://docs.google.com/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0AkVOUFyQbli7dEIocWd5b25CQ2RiXy1YbUxYcEJyV1E#gid=10</a> FRCC would like to propose for a vote between options A, B and C as given in the dpc linked above.</p>	<p>Reducing operational cost: FAILED Changing Balls: FAILED</p>

	<p>Ground usage fee for T20's: Currently, during T20s, the playing teams share the ground cost. This has many disadvantages. To avoid any arbitrary charge teams might put up if this structure stays, FRCC would like to propose the following:</p> <p>4-1) Since T 20 is now part of the regular season with alternate weekend games with premier, start considering T20 like premier games and have the same ground fee structure as premier (home team handles all ground related expenses). Every team needs to pick a home ground at the beginning of the season.</p> <p>If (4-1) is not passed</p> <p>4-2) FRCC would like to propose standardizing the ground cost and cap the cost to the most expensive ground or cap the cost to the least expensive ground -</p> <p>4-3) In case of wash-out - the teams do not need to pay anything. This should be very clear when the ground is being rented from school districts or city.</p>	<p>Tej Says: can we slab the ground costs to either highest or lowest costs rather than differences between the club. Based on the issue described by Tej proposal states that shall the home team bear the costs. Home costs will be distributed as evenly as possible among all the clubs. 4-1) PASSED</p>
	<p>Fair play: Penalizing Batsmen/fielding side for dissent and time wasting. - When a batsman is given out, he should walk without creating controversies on the field of play. If the batsman lingers debating he was not out leading to waste of time, the batsman should be penalized \$25 and repeat offenders will be penalized \$50 and maybe subjected to one or more game ban depending on CACU discretion. The same should apply to the fielding side/players who indulge in such unnecessary dissent and time wasting.</p>	<p>Propose the rules at LMC and then move ahead.</p>



	<p>CACU:</p> <p>6-1) A CACU operating fee can be accessed from each club (P40 only) as part of the league fee (the existing league fee can be restructured or a new additional fee introduced). This money will be used to conduct clinics and to provide umpires for playoffs game (for the super league or championship league).</p> <p>6-2) CACU will be responsible for sending umpires to playoff games and the final championship game. The CACU fee from the club automatically entitles the club members to CACU membership and as CACU members (to remain in good standing with CACU) they are obliged to go umpire if chosen by CACU for playoff games.</p> <p>6-3) Each umpire will be paid \$60 for playoffs game and \$80 for championship games. Any money that is unused from CACU will be saved year after year and might be used to invite guests from other region to conduct umpiring sessions.</p> <p>6-4) A member who is not actively playing in the league but contributing as umpire to the league should be recognized as CACU umpire. He should be encouraged to represent as an umpire from CCL in regional tournaments.</p>	VOID
	<p>Format</p> <p>Once the regular season is done, hold the P40 playoffs consecutively first and then move onto T20 playoffs. With the weather getting cold in October, It would be ideal to finish P40 by end of September.</p>	Adopted in passed format.
	<p>Close the Cougars savings account:</p> <p>There is no purpose served by maintaining a special savings account for cougars. Other than a monthly fee and extra time by the treasurer to maintain it. Cougars can be funded through the regular account.</p> <p>Whatever money is raised by Cougars will be used for them exclusively</p>	Keep account alive and setup a monthly transfer as this will save monthly fee.

	<p>Future Development: For a 10 over per side game beginners league – T10 League Basic framework:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- To be played during the week evenings after 5 PM</li> <li>- Play with basic laws of cricket with CCL amendments</li> <li>- No uniforms necessary</li> <li>- Current clubs can fields teams for new members so club kits can be utilized</li> <li>- Batting team can umpire (only experienced players)</li> <li>- Clubs that promote new members should be provided advantage of home games in T20 and 40/40 for promoting cricket</li> <li>- Work with local school districts for promoting this and for kids to come try Cricket</li> <li>- Shortened boundaries will help but not necessary</li> </ul> <p>Other rules can be made as we learn One thing for CCL / clubs to consider – to install flood lights to make this work. Sponsorships are helpful for this</p>	<p>A pick-up game can be organized on some fixed dates where people can drop in and play. Propose this thing on the website. 10 over format voting: Passed</p>
<b>CCCC</b>		
Arun Tholudur	<p>Make EC accountable for their financial dealings. Audit should be a must before holding the AGM and every member of faulting EC should be banned from holding any position in EC or LMC for next 3 years</p>	VOID
	<p>Revoking certification if someone hasn't umpired in the last year is not fair. There are conditions where the games are not enough for everyone from a club to umpire. CACU can take a call along with LMC if someone has an excellent umpiring record but could not umpire in the previous year</p>	<p>Do not have certification revocation in an year, have it extended for 3 years. PASSED</p>

	<p>The core of CCL is the Premier league and we should maximize the number of premier games. Last year's format gave only 9 premier games to a club which is way too less. Also, there was no scope for a team to bounce back if it had bad couple of games in the beginning of the season. C4 suggests we go back to the format where we start season with T20 and move to premier league having 2 games with every team one home other away. This would make league more competitive giving clubs chance of bounce back even after a bad start. Having more games and a potential scarcity of players will force the clubs to reach out and recruit people which would help in expansion of cricket in Colorado</p>	<p>VOID</p>
--	---	-------------