Math 623 Fall 2016

Problem Set # 1

(1) Find $\limsup E_k$ and $\liminf E_k$ if the sequence $\{E_k\}$ is defined as follows,

$$E_k := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [-1/k, 1] & \text{for } k \text{ odd} \\ [-1, 1/k] & \text{for } k \text{ even} \end{array} \right.$$

- (2) Give an example of a decreasing sequence of nonempty closed sets in \mathbb{R}^n whose intersection is empty.
- (3) Give an example of two **closed** sets $F_1, F_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ for which $\operatorname{dist}(F_1, F_2) = 0$.
- (4) If $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$ is a d-tuple of positive numbers $\delta_i > 0$, and E is a subset of \mathbb{R}^d , we define δE by

$$\delta E := \{ (\delta_1 x_1, \dots, \delta_d x_d) : \text{ where}(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in E \}$$

Prove that E is measurable whenever δE is measurable, and

$$m(\delta E) = \delta_1 \dots \delta_d m(E)$$

- (5) Show that any countable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ must be a set of measure zero. Hint: Think first of the case where E is actually finite. For the general case, it might be helpful to think about infinite converging series, e.g. the geometric series.
- (6) Given an interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, construct a sequence of continuous functions $\phi_k(x)$ such that for every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \phi_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } x \in [a, b] \\ 0 \text{ if } x \notin [a, b] \end{cases}$$

Further, answer the following question: can one construct such a sequence ϕ_k so that it also converges uniformly as $k \to \infty$?.

- (7) Prove that the Cantor ser constructed in Chapter 1 of SS is **totally disconnected** and **perfect**. In other words, given two distinct points $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ there is a point $z \notin C$ that lies between x and y, and yet \mathcal{C} has no isolated points.
- (8) A continuous function $\phi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be convex if

$$\phi(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda \phi(x) + (1 - \lambda)\phi(y), \ \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$$

Show that if ϕ is convex, then if x_1, \ldots, x_n are points in \mathbb{R} then

$$\phi\left(\frac{x_1+\ldots+x_n}{n}\right) \le \frac{\phi(x_1)+\ldots\phi(x_n)}{n}$$

More generally, show that if $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ is a sequence of nonnegative numbers with

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 1$$

Then, for any n points x_1, \ldots, x_n in \mathbb{R} we have

$$\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_{i}x_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_{i}\phi(x_{i})$$

This last inequality is known as *Jensen's* inequality.

(9) Let x_1, \ldots, x_n be all nonnegative numbers. Prove the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality

$$\sqrt[n]{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n} \le \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n}$$

Hint: Apply Jensen's inequality with a conveniently chosen convex function.

(10) Compute the following Riemann integrals:

$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{k} dx, \quad k > 0; \quad \int_{0}^{1} x^{-k} dx, \quad k \in (0,1); \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-k} dx, \quad k \in (1,\infty);$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-ax^{2}} x dx \quad a > 0; \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-ax^{2}} x^{2} dx \quad a > 0;$$

$$(\text{You may use that } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^{2}/2} dx = \sqrt{2\pi} \text{ })$$

$$\int_{0}^{b} \cos(mx) dx, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For the last one, fix a and b and investigate the limit $m \to \infty$. Does the result depend on a, b?

- (11) Construct a subset of [0,1] in the same manner as the Cantor set, except that at the kth stage, each interval removed has length $\delta 3^{-k}$, for some $0 < \delta < 1$. Show that the resulting set is perfect, has measure 1δ , and contains no intervals.
- (12) * Assume that ϕ is twice differentiable, show that ϕ is convex if and only if $\phi''(x) \geq 0$ for all x.
- (13) * Show that the union of a countable family of sets of measure zero is also a set of measure zero.
- (14) * Fix numbers a < b in \mathbb{R} and consider $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ a positive, **Riemann integrable** function. Then, define the set

$$E := \{(x, y) \mid a < x < b, 0 < y < f(x)\}\$$

Show that E is a measurable set. Hint: Think in terms of Darboux sums.

- (15) * Theorem 1.3 in SS states that every open set in \mathbb{R} is the disjoint union of open intervals. The analogue in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$ is generally false. Prove the following
 - (a) An open disc in \mathbb{R}^2 is **not** the disjoint union of open rectangles.
 - (b) An open connected set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the disjoint union of open rectangles if and only if Ω itself is an open rectangle.