

## M524 HOMEWORK –SPRING 2016

Prof. Andrea R. Nahmod

SET 1 - DUE 02/04/16

### Chapter 4 of Beals:

**4A:** 1, 2, 3. **4B:** 1, 2, 5, 9, 10, 12, 25. Additional ones (pp 59-60): 1, 2.

**Additional Problem:** Prove Theorem 4.8 ( $2^m$  test) in Chapter 4. Hint Prove first that

$$2^{m-1}a_{2^m} \leq \sum_{j=2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} a_j \leq 2^{m-1}a_{2^{m-1}}$$

and use the first inequality when assuming that  $\sum_j a_j$  converges and the second inequality when assuming that  $\sum_{m=1} 2^m a_{2^m}$  converges.

### Chapter 5 of Beals:

**5A:** 1, 2, 6, 9, 10. **5B:** 1, 2, 7.

Hints. For **5A. 1.** Consider all cases for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ : positive, negative, zero.

For **5A. 9.** Consider  $\lambda := \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{j+1}}{a_j} \right| < 1$  and choose a real number  $\mu$  such that  $\lambda < \mu < 1$ . Note then that by the definition of  $\limsup$  there is an  $N$  large enough so that if  $j > N$  the  $\left| \frac{a_{j+1}}{a_j} \right| < \mu$ . This in turn gives for any  $k \geq 0$

$$|a_{N+k}| \leq \mu |a_{N+k-1}| \leq \mu a_{N+k-2} \cdots \leq \mu^k |a_N|$$

for any  $k \geq 0$ , which can be written (change variables  $n = N + k$ ) as  $|a_n| \leq \mu^{N-n} |a_N|$ . Use the root test.

**Additional problem.** In light of Theorem 5.9 (p. 71) we have the following

**Definition:** A series  $\sum_n a_n$  is said to be Abel summable (to  $L$ ) if:

a) The power series  $\sum_n a_n x^n$  converges for all  $|x| < 1$ , and

b)  $f(x) := \sum_n a_n x^n \rightarrow L$  as  $x \rightarrow 1^-$ .

Consider

$$g(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} n x^n.$$

1

- i) Find the radius and interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  of convergence ( ie. domain of  $g$ ).
- ii) Prove that if  $h(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{n+1} x^n$  then  $g(x) = xh'(x)$  on  $-1 < x < 1$ . Justify your answer.
- iii) Show that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} g(x) = \frac{1}{4}$
- iv) Deduce that the *divergent* series  $\sum_n (-1)^{n+1} n$  is Abel summable to  $\frac{1}{4}$ .

**Note** As this example shows a series that is Abel summable is not necessarily convergent. So the converse of Th. 5.9 is false. However if one add an extra condition to  $a_n$  a ‘partial’ result can be obtained (this is due to Tauber). Namely:

**Theorem** (Tauber, circa 1897) Suppose that the series  $\sum_n a_n$  is Abel summable **and** that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ . Then  $\sum_n a_n$  converges.

### SET 2 - DUE 02/11/16

#### Chapter 6 of Beals:

**6A:** 1.    **6B:** 1, 2, 4, 10 (see hint).

Hint. Before proving exercise 10 in 6B, show the Additional Problem 1 below.

In what follows,  $A$  is a subset of a metric space  $(S, d)$ .

**Additional Problem 1.** Show that  $\bar{A} = A^\circ \cup \partial A$ . That is, show that if  $p \in \bar{A}$  then  $p \in A^\circ \cup \partial A$  and that if  $p \in A^\circ \cup \partial A$  then  $p \in \bar{A}$ .

As a consequence note that one also has that  $\bar{A} = A \cup \partial A$ .

**Additional Problem 2.** Let  $p \in A$ . Prove that either  $p$  is a limit point of  $A^c$  or  $p$  is an interior point of  $A$ , but not both.

### SET 3 - DUE 02/18/16

#### Chapter 6 of Beals:(cont.).

**6B:** 3, 13 (first part only for  $[0, 1]$ ).    **6C:** 1, 2.    **6D:** 1, 2, 3, 5.

Note An alternative definition of *connected* is: A subset  $B$  of a metric space  $(S, d)$  is

said to be *connected* if whenever  $U$  and  $V$  are disjoint open subsets of  $S$

$$B \subseteq U \cup V \quad \text{implies that} \quad B \subseteq U \text{ or } B \subseteq V$$

The empty set is connected.

SET 4 - DUE 02/25/16

### Chapter 6 of Beals:(cont.).

**6D:** 6, 8, 9, 10,13.

SET 5 - DUE 03/10/16

Additional Problem (related to 6E\*) Consider the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  and let  $\xi$  be a fixed real number  $0 < \xi < 1$ . In stage 1 of the construction remove a centrally open interval of length  $\xi$ . In stage 2, remove two central open intervals each of *relative length*  $\xi$ , one in each of the remaining subintervals after stage 1. Note that each of the two subintervals has length  $\frac{1-\xi}{2}$  ( the total length that remains after stage 1 is  $1 - \xi$  ) so what one removes in stage 2 is two intervals **each** of length  $\xi(\frac{1-\xi}{2})$ . So the total removed has length  $\xi(1 - \xi)$  and the total length left after stage 2 is  $(1 - \xi) - \xi(1 - \xi) = (1 - \xi)^2$ . Continue in this manner. Let  $\mathcal{C}_\xi$  denote the set that remains after applying this procedure indefinitely and  $\mathcal{C}_\xi^k$  the set that remains after completing stage  $k$ . Prove that:

a) The complement of  $\mathcal{C}_\xi$  in  $[0, 1]$  is the union of open intervals of total length 1 ( this would be the set you have removed at the end).

b) Compute the length of the set  $\mathcal{C}_\xi^k$  and prove that the limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  of the length of the set  $\mathcal{C}_\xi^k$  is zero.

(Note that when  $\xi = \frac{1}{3}$  the above construction is the one that gives the Cantor 1/3 set.)

### Chapter 7 of Beals:

**7A:** 1, 3, 4.

SET 6 - DUE 03/24/16

**7C:** 1a) d)

Hint. Problem 1) : for the uniform part it is useful to find  $x_n$  the maximum of each  $f_n$ . For part a) a useful trick is to consider  $\log[f_n(x)]$ .

**7D\*:** Graphing Problem: Consider  $I = [0, 1]$  and the Bernstein polynomials  $P_n(x)$ ,  $x \in I$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  defined by (9) (Beals p. 95). Consider the continuous function on  $I$  defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + 10(x - \frac{1}{2})^2}.$$

Compute  $P_6, P_{10}, P_{20}$  and plot all three together with  $f$  on the same graph (scale suitably so the graphs are clearly visible).

### Chapter 8 of Beals:

**8A:** 2, 3a), 5, 6 (use 5).

**8B:** 2 (use IVT and monotonicity).

SET 7 - DUE 04/07/16

**10B:** 1, 2, 3, 6.

**10C:** 1

**11A:** 1

**11C:** 1

SET 8 - DUE 04/14/16

**12C:** Additional Problem 1: Prove that if  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and compactly supported (see Definition p.164) then  $f$  is (Lebesgue) integrable.

**12D:** 1, 3, 4, 6, 7.

Additional Problem 2.

Let  $f$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic integrable function on any finite interval.

(a) Prove that for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx = \int_{a+2\pi}^{b+2\pi} f(x)dx = \int_{a-2\pi}^{b-2\pi} f(x)dx$$

(b) Prove that for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+a)dx = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)dx = \int_{-\pi+a}^{\pi+a} f(x)dx$$

SET 9 - DUE 04/26/16

**13B:** 1a)d), 2, 8.

**13D:** 2 (use Fejér's Theorem).

Additional Problem. Suppose that  $f$  is a  $2\pi$ - periodic function which belongs to the class  $C^2$  of continuous and twice differentiable functions with continuous derivatives. Show that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent on  $n$  such that  $|\hat{f}(n)| \leq \frac{C}{|n|^2}$ .

Hint Integrate twice by parts. Use periodicity.

If  $f$  is a  $2\pi$ - periodic function which belongs to the class  $C^k$  of continuous and  $k$ -times differentiable functions with continuous derivatives. What can you say about  $|\hat{f}(n)|$  and how would you prove that ?

SPECIAL PROJECTS (DUE DATE: 04/29/16 )

The projects below should be typed.

Show all your work and steps clearly, justifying where appropriate what are you using.

Check your work carefully.

**SP I.** Do Problem 2 Section 7C (p 94).

Hint. For 2b) Prove that  $d(x_{n+m}, x_n) = \sup_{x \in I} |x^{n+m} - x^n|$  does not converge to zero as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  for each fixed  $n$  (so that  $f_n$  is not Cauchy). To do this consider the function  $F(x) = x^{n+m} - x^n$ . Note  $F(x) \leq 0$  and  $F(0) = 0 = F(1)$ . Find the extremum  $\bar{x}$  of  $F$  (which depends on  $n, m$ ) and show that as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  the values of  $|F(\bar{x})| \rightarrow 1$ .

**SP II.** Prove that the series

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j/2} \sin(2^j x),$$

defines a continuous function. Prove that it is *not* differentiable at any  $x$  such that  $\frac{x}{\pi} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

Hints. First recall the M-test for series to first verify that the series is indeed absolutely convergent for each fixed  $x$ . You may then adapt a similar strategy to the one in the handwritten notes I distributed for the Weierstrass-type function to prove the continuity and the non-differentiability at each fixed  $x$  such that  $\frac{x}{\pi} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

NB: The function is in fact *nowhere differentiable* but the proof for  $x$  which are of the form  $\frac{x}{\pi} = \frac{p}{2^k}$  for some  $k \geq 0$  and  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  requires a more refined argument.

**SP III.** Verify that  $\frac{1}{2i} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{inx}}{n}$  is the Fourier series of the  $2\pi$ -periodic **sawtooth** function defined by  $f(0) = 0$  and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{x}{2}, & \text{if } -\pi < x < 0, \\ \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{x}{2}, & \text{if } 0 < x < \pi \end{cases}$$

Note that the sawtooth function is not continuous. **Show** nonetheless that the series converges for every  $x$ ; by which we mean as usual that the partial sums

$$S_N(x) = \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{|n| \leq N, n \neq 0} \frac{e^{inx}}{n}$$

of the series converge. In particular note that the value of the series at the origin, namely 0, is indeed the average of the values of the function  $f(x)$  as  $x$  approaches the origin from the left and the right.

Hint Use Dirichlet's Test for convergence. Check the convergence at  $x = 0$  separately.

**SP IV.** In class we discussed the Fejér kernel associated to the (Cesàro) summability of Fourier series and proved that the Fejér kernel is a “good kernel”. The project here aims at proving that the Poisson kernel defined below is also a good kernel (the Poisson kernel is associated to the ‘Abel summability’ of Fourier series.).

For  $0 \leq r < 1$  and  $-\pi \leq x < \pi$ , the Poisson kernel  $P_r(x)$  is defined by:

$$P_r(x) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|n|} e^{inx} = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r \cos x + r^2}$$

and to be a good kernel means that

Prove:

1)  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(x) dx = 1$  for all  $0 \leq r < 1$

2) There exists  $M > 0$  such that for all  $0 \leq r < 1$ ,  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |P_r(x)| dx \leq M$

Hint: note that  $P_r(x) \geq 0$  (why?) so this should be immediate from 1).

3) For every  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\int_{\delta \leq |x| \leq \pi} |P_r(x)| dx \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } r \rightarrow 1^-$$