

Math 725

①
Quick review so far of:

Chapter 3 (Bruzis's book).

→ 3.1 The coarsest topology for which a collection of maps becomes continuous general

$(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$ $\varphi_i : X \rightarrow Y_i$ X set without any structure

$(Y_i)_{i \in I}$ collection of topological spaces.

- We constructed a topology \mathcal{T} for X which was the most economical (fewest open sets)
→ { coarsest/weakest topology associated to $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$ }

• Prop 3.1: $(x_n) \subset X$, $x_n \rightarrow x$ in $\mathcal{T} \Leftrightarrow$

$$\varphi_i(x_n) \rightarrow \varphi_i(x) \quad \forall i \in I$$

• Prop 3.2: Let Z be a topological space and

$\psi : Z \rightarrow X$. Then ψ is continuous \Leftrightarrow

$\varphi_i \circ \psi : Z \rightarrow Y_i$ is continuous $\forall i \in I$.

→ 3.2 Definition and Properties of $S(E, E^*)$
The weak topology

E = Banach space E^* = dual of E

$(E, \|\cdot\|)$ STRONG OR NORM TOPOLOGY (Banach)

(2)

NOTATION: for $f \in E^*$, $\varphi_f : \bar{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

is the linear functional $\varphi_f(x) := \langle f, x \rangle$.

$(\varphi_f)_{f \in E^*}$ is a collection of functionals $\bar{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

* DEFINITION: The weak topology $\sigma(E, E^*)$ on E is the coarsest topology associated to the collection $(\varphi_f)_{f \in E^*}$ in the sense of the previous section 3.1. with $\bar{E} = X$, $Y_i = \mathbb{R}$ $\forall i \in I = E^*$.

Remark: The weak topology is weaker than the norm topology: note that every map φ_f is continuous in the usual topology (norm).

Prop. 3.3: The weak topology is Hausdorff.

(Recall a space V is said to be Hausdorff if $\forall v, w \in V, v \neq w \exists$ disjoint open sets U_v and U_w $v \in U_v, w \in U_w$)

Prop 3.4: Let $x_0 \in E$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ and a finite set $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k\}$ in E^* consider

(5)

(3)

$$V = V(f_1, f_2 \dots, f_k; \epsilon) =$$

$$\{x \in E : |\langle f_i, x - x_0 \rangle| < \epsilon \text{ for } i=1, \dots, k\}$$

Then V is a neighborhood of x_0 for the $\Gamma(E, E^*)$ topology. Moreover we obtain a BASIS OF

NEIGHBORHOODS of x_0 for $\Gamma(E, E^*)$ by

varying ϵ, k and the $f_i \in E^*$.

Prop. 3.5 Let $(x_n) \subset E$ sequence. Then.

$$(i) \quad x_n \rightarrow x \Leftrightarrow \langle f, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, x \rangle \quad \forall f \in E^*$$

$$x_n \rightarrow x$$

means

$$(ii) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_n \rightarrow x \text{ (ie strongly or norm topology)} \\ x_n \text{ converges weakly to } x \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow$$

$$x_n \rightarrow x$$

weakly to

$$x \text{ in } \Gamma(E, E^*) \quad (iii) \quad x_n \rightarrow x \Rightarrow (\|x_n\|) \text{ is bounded and topology.}$$

$$\|x\| \leq \liminf \|x_n\|.$$

$$(iv) \quad x_n \rightarrow x \text{ and } f_n \rightarrow f \text{ strongly in } E^* \text{ then in } \Gamma(E, E^*)$$

$$\langle f_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, x \rangle$$

Prop 3.6 : When E is finite dimensional strong \Leftrightarrow weak

$$\text{ie. } x_n \rightarrow x \Leftrightarrow x_n \rightarrow x.$$

(3)

(4)

Remark 2: Open (closed) sets in the weak topology $\sigma(E, E^*)$ are always open (closed) in the strong topology.

In any infinite-dimensional space the weak topology is STRICTLY COARSER than the strong topology, ie.

There are open (closed) sets in the strong topology that are NOT open (closed) in the weak topology. Examples:

Ex 1) The unit sphere $S = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$ with E infinite-dimensional is NEVER closed in the weak topology $\sigma(E, E^*)$. More precisely, we have

$$(1) \quad \overline{S}^{\sigma(E, E^*)} = B_E \quad \text{where} \\ (\text{closure of } S \text{ in } \sigma(E, E^*))$$

$$B_E = \text{closed unit ball in } E \\ = \{x \in E, \|x\| \leq 1\}$$

Remark: In infinite-dimensional spaces, the weak topology is never metrizable: ie there is no metric (and hence no norm) on E that induces on E the weak topology $\sigma(E, E^*)$. But if E^* is separable one can define a norm on E that induces on BOUNDED SETS of E the weak topology $\sigma(E, E^*)$.

(5)

Example 2: The unit ball $\mathcal{U} = \{x \in E; \|x\| < 1\}$

with E infinite-dimensional is NEVER OPEN in the weak topology $\sigma(E, E^*)$. Suppose, by contradiction \mathcal{U} is weakly open. Then its complement $\mathcal{U}^c = \{x \in E; \|x\| \geq 1\}$ is weakly closed. It follows

that $S = \overline{B_E} \cap \mathcal{U}^c$ is also weakly closed;
contradicting Example 1.

Remark: In infinite dimensions, \exists sequences

converging weakly that do not converge

strongly. (if E^* separable or E is reflexive.)

Can construct $(x_n) \subset E, \|x_n\| = 1, x_n \rightarrow 0$)

Note ℓ^1 is unusual b/c \Rightarrow is true but this case is rare.)

→ 3.3 : Weak Topology + Convex Sets

Weakly open/closed \Rightarrow strongly open/closed

Unless the set ~~is convex~~ (infinite dimensions)
is also convex.

Theorem 3.7: Let C be a convex subset of E .

Then C is weakly closed \Leftrightarrow is strongly closed.

unless it is convex

(2)

(6)

Corollary 3.8 (Mazur's): Assume $x_n \rightarrow x$

Then \exists a sequence (y_m) made up of convex combinations of the (x_m) that converges strongly to x .

Corollary 3.9: Assume that $\varphi : \bar{E} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ is convex and l.s.c. in the strong topology.

Then φ is l.s.c. in $\sigma(E, E^*)$ -top. (weak).

In particular: φ convex and strongly continuous $\Rightarrow \varphi$ is weakly l.s.c. (Example: $\varphi(x) = \|x\|$).
 $x_n \rightarrow x \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq \liminf \|x_n\|$)

Read Theorem 3.10 & its proof

3.4: The weak* Topology $\sigma(E^*, E)$

Def: The weak* topology $\sigma(E^*, E)$ is the coarsest topology on E^* associated to the collection $(\varphi_x)_{x \in E}$ with $X = E^*$ $Y_i = \mathbb{R}$ $I = E$ ($i \in I$)

* Remark: Since $E \subset E^{**}$, $\sigma(E^*, E)$ has (ie. is coarser) fewer open sets (closed sets) than $\sigma(E^*, E^{**})$ which in turn has fewer open sets (resp closed sets) than the strong topology (norm top.)

(7)

Point : A coarser topology has more compact sets. For example the closed unit ball $B_{\ell^{\infty}}^*$ in ℓ^{∞} which is NEVER COMPACT in the strong topology (unless $\dim E < \infty$) is always COMPACT in the weak* topology.

Prop 3.11 : The weak* topology is Hausdorff.

Prop 3.12 : Let $f_0 \in \ell^{\infty}$. Given a finite set

$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ in ℓ^1 and $\varepsilon > 0$, consider

$$V = V(x_1, \dots, x_k; \varepsilon) = \{f \in \ell^{\infty} : |\langle f - f_0, x_i \rangle| < \varepsilon \quad \forall i=1, \dots, k\}.$$

Then V is a nbhd of f_0 for the topology $\sigma(\ell^{\infty}, \ell^1)$.

Moreover we obtain a basis of nbhds of f_0 for $\sigma(\ell^{\infty}, \ell^1)$ by varying ε , k , and $x_i \in \ell^1$.

NOTATION : $(f_m) \in \ell^{\infty}$ converges to f in the weak* topology is denoted by $f_m \xrightarrow{*} f$.

Prop 3.13 : Let (f_m) be a sequence in ℓ^{∞} . Then

$$(i) f_m \xrightarrow{*} f \text{ in } \sigma(\ell^{\infty}, \ell^1) \iff \langle f_m, x \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, x \rangle \quad \forall x \in \ell^1$$

$$(ii) \text{ If } f_m \rightarrow f \Rightarrow f_m \xrightarrow{*} f \text{ in } \sigma(\ell^{\infty}, \ell^{**})$$

$$\text{AND} \quad \text{If } f_m \rightarrow f \text{ in } \sigma(\ell^{\infty}, \ell^{**}) \Rightarrow f_m \xrightarrow{*} f \text{ in } \sigma(\ell^{\infty}, \ell^1).$$

(3)

(iii) If $f_m \xrightarrow{*} f$ in $\sigma(E^*, E)$ \Rightarrow

$(\|f_m\|_{E^*})$ is bounded and $\|f\|_E \leq \liminf_{E^*} \|f_m\|_{E^*}$

(iv) If $f_m \xrightarrow{*} f$ in $\sigma(E^*, E)$ and if $x_m \rightarrow x$ in E
Then $\langle f_m, x_m \rangle \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f, x \rangle$

~~IMPORTANT~~ Proposition 3.14 : Let $\varphi : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear functional that is continuous for the weak* topology. Then \exists some $x_0 \in E$ /
 \uparrow
 Read Proof
 $\langle \varphi, x_0 \rangle = \varphi(f) \quad \forall f \in E^*$.

THEOREM 3.16 (BANACH-ALAOGLU-BOURBAKI)

The closed unit ball

MOST
IMPORTANT

FACT OF
weak* top.

$$B_{E^*} = \{ f \in E^* : \|f\|_{E^*} \leq 1 \}$$

is COMPACT in the weak* topology $\sigma(E^*, E)$.

To prove this theorem we need Tychonoff's

Theorem : Let $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ be a collection of

compact spaces. Then $\prod_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha$ is compact

in the product (weak) topology.

(9)

Proof of $[BA]B$:

- Consider (Cartesian product) $Y := \mathbb{R}^E$ which consists of all maps $: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We can denote the elements of Y by $w = (w_x)_{x \in E}^{\text{STANDARD}}$
- Equip Y with product topology (M623/624) which is the coarsest topology in Y associated to the collection of maps $w \mapsto w_x$ ($x \in E$).
This is the same topology as pointwise convergence
- Next consider E^* with the weak* topology $\sigma(E^*, E)$
Recall E^* consists of all continuous linear maps from E to \mathbb{R} . $\Rightarrow E^* \subseteq Y$
- Let $\Phi : E^* \rightarrow Y$ be the canonical injection from $E^* \rightarrow Y$ / $\Phi(f) = (w_x)_{x \in E}$
 and $w_x = \langle f, x \rangle$ $\Phi(f) = (\langle f, x \rangle)_{x \in E}$
- Φ is continuous by PROP 3.2 and the fact that $\forall x \in E$ fixed $f \mapsto (\Phi(f))_x = \langle f, x \rangle$ is continuous.

(10)

- The inverse $\bar{\Phi}^{-1}$ is also continuous from

$\bar{\Phi}(E^*)$ (equipped with the Y -topology) into \bar{E}^*
again by PROP 3.2 since $\forall x \in \bar{E}^*$, the

map $\omega \mapsto \langle \bar{\Phi}^{-1}(\omega), x \rangle$ is continuous

on $\bar{\Phi}(E^*)$ | $\langle \bar{\Phi}^{-1}(\omega), x \rangle = \omega_x$ since

$\omega = \bar{\Phi}(f)$ for some $f \in E^*$, so $\langle \bar{\Phi}^{-1}(\omega), x \rangle = \langle f, x \rangle = \omega_x$

- All in all $\bar{\Phi}$ is a homeomorphism $E^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{\Phi}(E^*)$

- Define $K := \{ \omega \in Y / |\omega_x| \leq \|x\|, \omega_x + \omega_y = \omega_{x+y}, \omega_{\lambda x} = \lambda \omega_x \}$
 $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x, y \in E \}$

HMWK Then $K = \bar{\Phi}(B_{E^*})$ [WHY?].

- To conclude then we need to show that

K is compact. Write $K = K_1 \cap K_2$

where $K_1 := \{ \omega \in Y / |\omega_x| \leq \|x\| \forall x \in \bar{E} \}$

and $K_2 := \{ \omega \in Y / \omega_{x+y} = \omega_x + \omega_y, \omega_{\lambda x} = \lambda \omega_x \forall x \in \bar{E} \}$

(ENOUGH TO SHOW) WTS. K_1 is compact and K_2 is closed.

(11)

- The set $K_1 = \prod_{x \in E} [-\|x\|, \|x\|]$ \therefore is compact

by Tychonoff's theorem.

- For K_2 first note K_2 is closed in Y since for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $x, y \in E$ the sets

$$A_{xy} := \{w \in Y; w_{x+y} - w_x - w_y = 0\}$$

$$B_{\lambda x} := \{w \in Y; w_{\lambda x} - \lambda w_x = 0\}$$

are closed in Y (maps $w \mapsto w_{x+y} - w_x - w_y$
 $w \mapsto w_{\lambda x} - \lambda w_x$

are continuous) and we can write

$$K_2 := \left[\bigcap_{x, y \in E} A_{xy} \right] \cap \left[\bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} B_{\lambda x} \right] \text{ closed}$$

Then K is compact being the intersection of a compact and a closed set. #

One can also prove that if E is separable the closed unit ball in E^* is SEQUENTIALLY WEAK* COMPACT