



Java SE 7

Module 4 Generics

What if you need a container with dynamic size?

List



List

```
public class Node
{
    private Node next;
    private final Object data;

    public Node(Object data) {
        this.data = data;
    }

    public Object getData() {
        return data;
    }

    public Node getNext() {
        return next;
    }

    public void setNext(Node next) {
        this.next = next;
    }
}
```

java.util.List

```
public interface List<E> extends Collection<E> {  
  
    int size();  
  
    boolean isEmpty();  
  
    boolean contains(Object o);  
  
    boolean add(E e);  
  
    boolean remove(Object o);  
  
    void clear();  
}
```

java.util.List some of the implementations

generics.g0nothing

- ArrayList
- LinkedList
- Vector

Generics

Before Java 5 – Object used as a universal class

```
public class ArrayList
{
    private Object[] elementData;

    public Object get(int i) { .. }

    public void add(Object o) { .. }
}
```

Generics

Using Object you may get into problems

- > ClassCastException
- > type casting

```
List array = new ArrayList();  
  
array.add(10);  
array.add("Str");  
  
for (Object o : array)  
{  
    Integer number = (Integer) o;  
}
```


Generics

Generic is simple

- > check errors on compilation stage
- > no type casting

```
List<Integer> array = new ArrayList<>();  
  
array.add(10);  
  
for (Integer i : array)  
{  
    Integer number = i + 10;  
}
```

Generic class example

```
public class Basket<T>
{
    List<T> products;

    public Basket() { .. }

    public void add(T p) { .. }

    public T remove(T p) { .. }

    public List<T> getProducts() { .. }
}
```

Use of generic class

```
Basket<Product> basket = new Basket<>();
```

```
basket.add(new Axe(1L, 2.5));
```

```
basket.add(new Monitor(2L, 17));
```

```
double totalPrice = 0;
```

```
for (Product p : basket.getProducts())  
{  
    totalPrice += p.getPrice();  
}
```

Generics

Runtime type erasing

```
public class Basket
{
    List<Object> products;

    public Basket() { .. }

    public void add(Object p) { .. }

    public Object remove(Object p) { .. }

    public List<Object> getProducts() { .. }
}
```

Generics

Restricting of

```
public class Basket<T extends Product>
```

T:

// After erasing with the restriction

```
public class Basket
```

```
{
```

```
    List<Product> products;
```

```
    public Basket() { .. }
```

```
    public void add(Product p) { .. }
```

```
    public Product remove(Product p) { .. }
```

```
    public List<Product> getProducts() { .. }
```

```
}
```

Two implementations after type erasure

```
public class Basket<T>
{
    public boolean equals(T obj)
    {
        return super.equals(obj);
    }
}
```

will not compile
both methods have same erasure

Will be 2 implementations:

boolean equals(String) // defined in Basket<T>

boolean equals(Object) // inherited from Object

But on erasing we get T -> Object.

2 same methods? Disallowed.

Generics Restrictions

- > **work with primitive types**

Basket<int> // will not compile

- > **get type at execution time**

a instanceof Basket<Integer>

- > **generic type cannot extend Throwable**

class Problem<T> **extends** Exception

- > **cannot be used in catch**

catch(T t) // will not compile

Generics Restrictions

- > Generic type **instance cannot be created**

```
static class Primitive<T>
{
    void create()
    {
        T t = new T(); // will not compile
    }
}
```

Class<T> can be used for that:

```
public class Basket<T>
{
    public Basket<T> makeBasket(Class<T> clazz) throws Exception {
        return (Basket<T>) clazz.newInstance();
    }
}
```


You can generify only specific method(s) of the class.

```
public <K, V> put(K key, V value) { }
```

Generic Method

```
class ArrayAlg
{
    public static <T> T getMiddle(T[] arr)
    {
        return arr[arr.length / 2];
    }
}
```

Usage example:

```
String[] names = {"John", "Q.", "Public"};
String middle = ArrayAlg.getMiddle(names);
```

Generic Method

Restricting: **T** should extend Comparable

```
public static <T> T min(T[] arr)
{
    T smallest = null;

    if (arr != null || arr.length > 0)
    {
        smallest = arr[0];
        for (T currentElement : arr)
        {
            if (smallest.compareTo(currentElement) > 0)
            {
                smallest = currentElement;
            }
        }
    }
    return smallest;
}
```

The method **compareTo(T)** is undefined for the type **T**

Generic Method

Restricting: **T** extends Comparable

```
public static <T extends Comparable> T min(T[] arr)
{
    T smallest = null;

    if (arr != null || arr.length > 0)
    {
        smallest = arr[0];
        for (T currentElement : arr)
        {
            if (smallest.compareTo(currentElement) > 0)
            {
                smallest = currentElement;
            }
        }
    }
    return smallest;
}
```

Generic Method

Limitations:

```
public static <T extends Comparable & Serializable> T  
    send(T[] arr) { .. }
```

Generics Restrictions Static

> You cannot use generics in static context

```
public class StaticRestrictions<T>
```

```
{
```

```
    // will not compile
```

```
    private static T instance;
```

```
    // will not compile
```

```
    public static T getInstance()
```

```
{
```

```
    return null;
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

Generics Restrictions Static




If **static** fields of type parameters were allowed, then the following code would be confusing:

```
Singleton<Bank> bank = new Singleton<>();  
Singleton<Client> client = new Singleton<>();  
Singleton<Account> account = new Singleton<>();
```

Because the static field is shared by bank, client, and account, what is the actual type? It cannot be Bank, Client and Account at the same time.

You cannot, therefore, create **static** fields of type parameters.

Wildcards



Why this will not work?

generics.g1list.D5

```
List<Number> numbers = new ArrayList<>();
```

```
numbers.add(new Long(34L));
```

```
List<Integer> ints = numbers; // will not compile
```

```
Integer i = ints.get(0);
```



Because of unavoidable exception here!

Old Style, no Wildcard

```
Basket basket = new Basket();
```

```
basket.add(new Monitor(counter++, 17));
```

```
basket.add(new Monitor(counter++, 21));
```

```
basket.add(new Axe(counter++, 2.5));
```

```
Monitor monitor = (Monitor) basket.get(2);
```


```
Axe axe = (Axe) basket.get(3);
```



Nothing have changed, still should use casting here!

Wildcards

```
public <T extends Product> T getNeededProduct(long uid)
```



Wildcards

You can also use **interfaces** separated with **&** to narrow down **ge**

```
public <Type extends A & B & C & D> Type methodName(..) { .. }
```

Example:

```
public <T extends Product & Serializable & Comparable> T  
    getNeededProduct(long uid)
```

```
public class Basket
{
    public <T extends Product> T getNeededProduct(long uid)
    {
        ...
    }
}
```

Now you can get need object **with no casting!**

```
Product product = basket.getNeededProduct(1);
```

```
Axe axe = basket.getNeededProduct(3);
```

```
Grill grill = basket.getNeededProduct(4);
```

No code change
needed when you add
new Product types!



We need a method that can print any list of objects that instance of Product.

```
void printProductsOnly(List<Product> products)
```

```
void printAxesNotMonitorsOrProducts(List<Axe> axes)
```

But what about List<Monitor> or
List<**TypeThatWillBeAddedSoon**> ?

Product find(List<? **extends** Product> products, Product p)

find(products, monitor);

find(products, axe);


find(monitors, monitor);

find(monitors, axe); // **weird isn't it**

Can we fix this with **Generics**?

Wildcards

```
public class Basket<T extends Product>
{
    List<T> products;
}
```



You can also use **interfaces** separated with **&** to narrow down ge

```
public class ClassName<Type extends A & B & C & D>
```

Examples:

```
public class Basket<T extends Product & Serializable & Comparable>
```

```
public class TreeMap<K, V> extends AbstractMap<K, V>
```

```
public class Basket<T extends Product>
{
    List<T> products;

    public void add(T p) { ... }
}
```

If you need **Basket** of **Axe** only!

```
Basket<Axe> basket = new Basket();
```

```
basket.add(new Axe(counter++, 2.5));
```

```
basket.add(new Monitor(counter++, 17)); // will not compile
```

```
public void copy(List src, List dest) { }
```

```
copy(axes, monitors); // weird isn't it?
```


```
copy(products, axes); // weird isn't it?
```

Can we fix this with **Generics**?

Wildcards, super


<**T extends** Product> - at least Product

<**T super** Product> - **Product or higher** in object hierarchy



Wildcards, super

```
void copy(List<? extends Product> src, List<? super Product> dest)
{
    dest.addAll(src);
}
```



- PECS principle: "Producer Extends, Consumer Super"
- The Get and Put Principle: use an extends wildcard when you only get values out of a structure, use super wildcard when you only put values into a structure, and don't use a wildcard when you both get and put.

Recursive Type Bound

generics.g8recursivewc

Advanced topic. Warning!

```
public abstract
    class Product<T extends Product<T>>
        implements Comparable<T>
    {
        @Override
        public int compareTo(T o)
        {
            return subCompare(o);
        }

        public abstract int subCompare(T o);
    }
```

Generics

- Exercise 1 - Bank Application.