Problem 1

Let $U \sim \mathsf{Unif}(a, b)$.

(a) Use simulations in R (the statistical programming language) to numerically estimate the median and the mode of U for a = 0 and b = 2.

(b) Find the median and the mode of $U \sim \mathsf{Unif}(a, b)$.

Solution: (a) The code for finding the Monte Carlo estimate of the median of the distribution of $U \sim \text{Unif}(0,2)$ is given in Listing 2. The resulting estimate is 1.

```
#set the seed
set.seed(0)

#number of samples
n = 100000

#sample from the uniform(0,2)
u = runif(n, min = 0, max = 2)

#Monte Carlo estimate of the median
median(u)
```

Listing 1: Code implementing the simulations for Problem 1 part (a): finding the median

```
#number of samples
n = 100000

#sample from the uniform(0,2)
su = runif(n, min = 0, max = 2)

#Kernel density estimate of the distribution that generated the samples
kdeEst = density(u)

#Monte Carlo estimate of the mode
modeEst = kdeEst$x[which.max(kdeEst$y)]

#plot the density estimate and the mode
plot(kdeEst)
abline(v=modeEst,col="red")
```

Listing 2: Code implementing the simulations for Problem 1 part (a): finding the mode

If you run this code multiple times, you will see that the estimate of the mode will be anywhere between 0 and 1.

(b) For $u \in (a, b)$, the CDF of U is $F(u) = P(U \le u) = \frac{u-a}{b-a}$, and the PDF of U is $f(u) = \frac{1}{b-a}$. According

to the definition, $c_{a,b} \in (a,b)$ is the median of U if

$$F(c) = P(U \le c_{a,b}) \ge \frac{1}{2}$$
, and $1 - F(c) = P(U \ge c_{a,b}) \ge \frac{1}{2}$.

This implies:

$$\frac{c_{a,b}-a}{b-a} \ge \frac{1}{2}$$
, and $1 - \frac{c_{a,b}-a}{b-a} \ge \frac{1}{2}$.

Thus

$$\frac{c_{a,b}-a}{b-a}=\frac{1}{2}\implies c_{a,b}=\frac{a+b}{2}.$$

If a = 0 and b = 2, we obtain $c_{0,2} = 1$ which is precisely the Monte Carlo estimate we obtain in part (a).

The mode of distribution of U maximizes the PDF f(u). But $f(u) \propto 1$ is constant for any $u \in (a, b)$. Therefore any number in (a, b) is the mode of $\mathsf{Unif}(a, b)$. That is, $\mathsf{Unif}(a, b)$ has infinitely many modes.

Problem 2

Let $X \sim \mathsf{Expo}(\lambda)$.

- (a) Use simulations in R (the statistical programming language) to numerically estimate the median and the mode of $X \sim \text{Expo}(2)$.
 - (b) Find the median and the mode of $X \sim \mathsf{Expo}(\lambda)$.

Solution: The PDF of $X \sim \mathsf{Expo}(\lambda)$ is $f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$, and the corresponding CDF is $F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$, for x > 0.

(a) The code for finding the Monte Carlo estimates of the mean and the median of $X \sim \text{Expo}(2)$ is shown in Listing 3. We draw n = 100000 independent samples x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n from Expo(2). The sample median of x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n gives the Monte Carlo estimate of the median of X. A Monte Carlo estimate of the mode of Expo(2) is the sample x_c such that $f(x_c) \ge f(x_i)$ for any $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. We obtain a Monte Carlo estimate of the median equal to 0.3454, and a Monte Carlo estimate of the mode equal to 0.000009317.

```
#set the seed
set.seed(0)

#the rate of the exponential distribution

lambda = 2

#number of samples
n = 100000

#sample from the Expo(2)
x = rexp(n, rate = lambda)

#Monte Carlo estimate of the median
median(x)
```

```
#Monte Carlo estimate of the mode

x[which.max(lambda*exp(-lambda*x))]

#or, equivalently:

x[which.max(dexp(x,2))]
```

Listing 3: Code implementing the simulations for Problem 2 part (a)

(b) The median c > 0 of $X \sim \mathsf{Expo}(\lambda)$ is obtain by solving the system:

$$F(c) = P(X \le c) \ge \frac{1}{2}$$
, and $1 - F(c) = P(X \ge c) \ge \frac{1}{2}$.

This implies:

$$F(c) = \frac{1}{2} \implies e^{-\lambda c} = \frac{1}{2} \implies c = \frac{\log(2)}{\lambda}.$$

For $\lambda = 2$, we obtain that the mode of Expo(2) is $\frac{\log(2)}{2} = 0.3466$ which is very close to the Monte Carlo estimate 0.3454 we obtain in part (a).

The PDF of $\mathsf{Expo}(\lambda)$ is strictly decreasing. Thus f(0) > f(x) for any x > 0. Therefore the mode of $\mathsf{Expo}(\lambda)$ is 0. This is consistent with the Monte Carlo estimate of the mode we determined in part (a).

Problem 3

Let X be Discrete Uniform on 1, 2, ..., n. Please note that your answers to the questions below can depend on whether n is even or odd.

- (a) Use simulations in R (the statistical programming language) to numerically estimate all medians of X for n = 1, 2, ..., 10.
 - (b) Find all medians and all modes of X.

Solution: (a) The code for finding the Monte Carlo estimates of the medians of the Discrete Uniform distribution on 1, 2, ..., n for n = 1, 2, ..., 10 is given in Listing 4.

```
#set the seed
set.seed(0)

#number of samples
m = 100000

for(n in seq(from=1,to=10,by=1))
{
    x = sample(seq(from=1,to=n,by=1), size = m, replace = TRUE)
    cat("for n = ",n," the Monte Carlo estimate of the median = ",median(x),"\n")
}

#Output obtained:
for n = 1 the Monte Carlo estimate of the median = 1
for n = 2 the Monte Carlo estimate of the median = 2
```

```
for n = 3 the Monte Carlo estimate of the median = 2

for n = 4 the Monte Carlo estimate of the median = 2

for n = 5 the Monte Carlo estimate of the median = 3

for n = 6 the Monte Carlo estimate of the median = 4

for n = 7 the Monte Carlo estimate of the median = 4

for n = 8 the Monte Carlo estimate of the median = 5

for n = 9 the Monte Carlo estimate of the median = 5

for n = 10 the Monte Carlo estimate of the median = 5
```

Listing 4: Code implementing the simulations for Problem 3 part (a)

(b) The PMF of the Discrete Uniform on 1, 2, ..., n is $f(i) = \frac{1}{n}$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n. Thus $c \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ is the median of the Discrete Uniform distribution if

$$P(X \le c) = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{c}{n} \ge \frac{1}{2}$$
, and $P(X \ge c) = \sum_{i=c}^{n} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{n-c+1}{n} \ge \frac{1}{2}$.

This implies:

$$c \ge \frac{n}{2}$$
, and $c \le \frac{n}{2} + 1$.

Therefore the median c is given by:

$$c \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \cap \left[\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 1\right].$$

If *n* is even, say n = 2k for some positive integer *k*, we obtain $c \in \{k, k+1\}$. That is, the Discrete Uniform on 1, 2, ..., n has two medians *k* and k+1 if n=2k. If *n* is odd, say n=2k+1 for some positive integer *n*, we obtain c=k+1. That is, the Discrete Uniform on 1, 2, ..., n has a unique median c=k+1 if n=2k+1.

Since the possible values 1, 2, ..., n of X have equal probability, the Discrete Uniform on 1, 2, ..., n has n different modes: each value in $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ is also a mode of this distribution.

Problem 4

A distribution is called *symmetric unimodal* if it is symmetric (about some point) and has a unique mode. For example, any Normal distribution is symmetric unimodal. Let *X* have a continuous symmetric unimodal distribution for which the mean exists. Show that the mean, median, and mode of *X* are all equal.

Solution: From the definition of a symmetric distribution of a random variable (Definition 6.2.3 in your textbook) and the paragraph that follows Definition 6.2.3 in your textbook, we know that $X - \mu$ and $\mu - X$ have the same distribution where μ is both the mean and the median of the distribution of X. Therefore, what is left to prove is that μ is also the mode of the distribution of X.

We denote by f the PDF of the distribution of X. From Proposition 6.2.5 in your textbook we know that, if X is symmetric about μ , then:

$$f(x) = f(2\mu - x), \text{ for all } x.$$
 (1)

We denote by c the unique mode of the distribution of X. We assume that $c \neq \mu$, thus there must exist a number $\epsilon \neq 0$ such that $c = \mu + \epsilon$. In this case, from Equation (1) we obtain:

$$f(\mu + \epsilon) = f(\mu - \epsilon).$$

Therefore both $\mu + \epsilon$ and $\mu - \epsilon$ must be modes for the distribution of X because $c = \mu + \epsilon$ is a mode. But this contradicts the assumption that the distribution of X is unimodal. As such, it must be the case that $c = \mu$ which means that the mean, median and the mode of X are all equal.

Problem 5

Let $W = X^2 + Y^2$, with X, Y i.i.d. N(0, 1). You can assume you know that the MGF of X^2 is $(1 - 2t)^{-1/2}$ for t < 1/2. Find the MGF of W.

Solution: Since X and Y are independent, X^2 is also independent of Y^2 . From Theorem 6.4.7 it follows that:

$$M_W(t) = M_{X^2 + Y^2}(t) = M_{X^2}(t)M_{Y^2}(t) = \frac{1}{1 - 2t}$$
, for $t < \frac{1}{2}$.

Problem 6

Let $X \sim \mathsf{Expo}(\lambda)$. You can assume you know that $\lambda X \sim \mathsf{Expo}(1)$, and that the *n*th moment of an $\mathsf{Expo}(1)$ random variable is n!. Find the skewness of X.

Solution: We know that $E(X) = Var(X) = \frac{1}{4}$. Thus

$$\frac{X - \mathsf{E}(X)}{\mathsf{Var}(X)} = \lambda X - 1.$$

We denote $Y = \lambda X \sim \mathsf{Expo}(1)$. Then:

Skew(X) =
$$E((Y-1)^3) = E(Y^3) - 3E(Y^2) + 3E(Y) - 1 = 3! - 3 \cdot 2! + 3 \cdot 1! - 1 = 2.$$

Problem 7

Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be i.i.d. with mean μ , variance σ^2 , and MGF M. Let

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \left(X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_n \right).$$

and

$$Z_n = \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma} \right).$$

- (a) Show that Z_n has mean 0 and variance 1.
- (b) Find the MGF of Z_n in terms of M, the MGF of each X_i .

Solution: (a) We have

$$\mathsf{E}\left(\bar{X}_n\right) = \frac{1}{n}\left(\mathsf{E}X_1 + \mathsf{E}X_2 + \ldots + \mathsf{E}X_n\right) = \frac{1}{n}n\mu = \mu,$$

$$\mathsf{Var}\left(\bar{X}_n\right) = \frac{1}{n^2}\left(\mathsf{Var}X_1 + \mathsf{Var}X_2 + \ldots + \mathsf{E}X_n\right) = \frac{1}{n^2}n\sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}.$$

It follows that:

$$E(Z_n) = \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{E(\bar{X}_n) - \mu}{\sigma} \right) = 0,$$

$$Var(Z_n) = \frac{n}{\sigma^2} Var(\bar{X}_n) = 1.$$

(b) We use Theorem 6.4.7 (MGF of a sum of independent random variables) and Proposition 6.4.1 (MGF of location-scale transformation). Since:

$$Z_n = \left(-\frac{\mu\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}\right) + \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}\right)\bar{X}_n,$$

we have:

$$M_{Z_n}(t) = e^{-\frac{\sqrt{n}\mu}{\sigma}t} M_{\bar{X}_n}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}t\right).$$

Moreover, since

$$\bar{X}_n = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) X_1 + \ldots + \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) X_n,$$

we have:

$$M_{\bar{X}_n}(t) = M_{\frac{1}{n}X_1}(t) \cdot \ldots \cdot M_{\frac{1}{n}X_n}(t) = M_{X_1}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot M_{X_n}\left(\frac{t}{n}\right),$$

hence

$$M_{\bar{X}_n}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}t\right) = M_{X_1}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}t\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot M_{X_n}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}t\right).$$

Therefore the MGF of Z in terms of M, the MGF of each X_j , is:

$$M_{Z_n}(t) = e^{-\frac{\sqrt{n}\mu}{\sigma}t} M_{X_1}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}t\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot M_{X_n}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}t\right).$$