

A Perturbative Analysis of Stochastic Descent

RQE Slides

Sam Tenka

July 26, 2020

Problem setup

Fix a data distribution \mathcal{D} , a manifold \mathcal{H} of weights, and a loss landscape $l : |\mathcal{D}| \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, considered as a random function. For an initialization $\theta_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ and a sequence $\mathcal{S} = x_t \sim \mathcal{D} : 0 \leq t < T$, we consider the iteration

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \eta \nabla l_{x_t}(\theta_t)$$

We use such **stochastic gradient descent** in learning, as an approximate optimizer. Compare to $T \rightarrow \infty$ limits: with fixed ηT , recover **ODE**; with fixed $\eta\sqrt{T}$, recover **SDE**.

Question

How does SGD's dynamics on a curved and noisy landscape affect optimization and generalization? How does SGD differ from GD, SDE?


We wish to express $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}} l_x$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} l_x - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S}} l_x$ (at θ_T) in terms of l 's statistics.

Diagram-based computation

Theorem (Informal)

SGD's expected test loss is a sum over weight-data interactions drawable as diagrams. Summing the smallest diagrams suffices for small ηT .

Example (How does skewed noise affect SGD's test loss?)

The relevant diagram is , which for large T and isotropic hessian evaluates to $-\frac{\eta^3}{3!} \frac{S_{\mu\nu\lambda} J_{\mu\nu\lambda}}{3\|H\|_2}$. This is the leading order test loss due to skewed noise! Here, we used the jerk $J = \mathbb{E}(\nabla\nabla\nabla l_x(\theta_0)) = \text{🔪}$ and the skewness $S = \mathbb{E}(\nabla l_x(\theta_0) - G)^3 = \text{🔪🔪🔪}$ at initialization. G, H are the expected gradient and hessian.

Related work; limitations

Approaches via **stochastic differential equations** assume uncorrelated, Gaussian noise in continuous time. Prior **perturbative approaches** were limited to specific neural architectures or to computing Gaussian statistics over $T = 2$. We do not assume **information-geometric** relationships between C and H , so we may model VAEs.

Our predictions depend only on loss data near θ_0 , so they only apply for long times (large ηT) near an isolated minimum or for short times (small ηT) in general. Meteorologists understand how warm and cold fronts interact despite long-term intractability; we quantify curvature's and noise's counter-intuitive effects in each short-term interval of SGD.

Main result

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua.

SGD prefers minima flat with respect to C

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua.

Both flat and sharp minima overfit less

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua.

High-C regions repel SGD

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua.

Non-gaussian noise affects SGD but not SDE

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua.

Contributions

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua.

Future direction: Lagrangians

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua.

Future direction: Curved backgrounds

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua.

Bird's eye view

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua.

References