

# basic structures of analysis

... her mind is a very thin soil, laid an inch or two upon very barren rock.  
— virginia woolf

What's the deal with those function spaces, what with their sometimes non-commuting and absent limits? Those spaces are infinite-dimensional seas with capacity to swallow and disappear whole ships, whether in the infrared (as with  $L^\infty - L^1$ ) or the ultraviolet (as with  $L^1 - L^\infty$ ). What tools can tame this charybdis? Aiming to see familiar ground in new ways, we approach freshman level content at senior level mathematical sophistication. We assume point-set topology and a facility with the language of categories.

## Notions of Size

### the reals

We take the view that the topological space of reals serves two roles: one covariant and algebraic, as a codomain for metrics and measures; another contravariant and spatial, as a domain for paths. These notes focus on the covariant, algebraic view.

Analysis abstracts physical notions of *size*. For example, the next two definitions abstract *distance* and *density*. A **generalized metric space** over  $M$  is a category  $C$  and a map  $\delta : \text{Mor}_C \rightarrow M$  that satisfies the nullary and binary **triangle inequalities**:

$$\delta(\text{id}_x) \leq 0 \qquad \delta(h \circ g) \leq \delta(h) + \delta(g)$$

The latter is the key compositional principle in analysis, just as equality's transitivity is in algebra. Likewise, a **generalized measure space** over  $M$  is a lattice  $P$  and a non-decreasing map  $\mu : P \rightarrow M$  that satisfies nullary and binary **additivity**:

$$\mu(\perp) = 0 \qquad \mu(A \vee B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) \text{ whenever } A \wedge B = \perp$$

In either case,  $M$  sets the scale by which we measure size. But what is  $M$ ? Well, to make sense of the mentioned operations,  $M$  ought to be a **costoid**: a total order and an abelian monoid compatible in that:

$$0 \leq a \qquad a + c \leq b + d \text{ whenever } a \leq b \text{ and } c \leq d$$

A map  $\phi : M \rightarrow M'$  of costoids is a non-decreasing function that is also laxly additive:

$$\phi(0) \leq 0 \qquad \phi(m + n) \leq \phi(m) + \phi(n)$$

As mass has grams and temperature has kelvins, we consider only costoids marked with a distinguished nonzero element and only maps that preserve that element. The punchline? There is a terminal marked costoid: the real interval  $[0, \infty]$  marked at 1! This is our universal choice of codomain for metrics and measures.

### structures of analysis

A **metric space** is a generalized metric space  $(X^2, \delta)$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  where  $X^2$  is the category with object class  $X$  and morphism class  $X \times X$  and where  $\delta$  vanishes only on the diagonal and is symmetric:

$$\delta(x, y) = \delta(y, x)$$

A **measure space** is a generalized measure space  $(P, \mu)$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  where  $P$  is a sub-lattice of a powerset  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  closed under complement and countable union and where  $\mu$  enjoys this continuity property:

$$\mu\left(\sup_{N:\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n < N} A_n\right) = \sup_{N:\mathbb{N}} \mu\left(\bigcup_{n < N} A_n\right)$$

Perhaps the most celebrated wedding of these two notions is the concept of the **Bourbaki spaces**  $L^p(X)$ . For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $(X, \mu)$  a measure space,  $\tilde{L}^p(X)$  is the generalized metric space of measurable real functions on  $X$  defined by

$$\delta(f, g)^p = \int d\mu |f - g|^p$$

We define  $L^p(X)$  as the vector subspace of elements finitely far from 0, mod the vector subspace of elements zero far from 0. This makes  $L^p(X)$  a metric space with every distance finite.

## Convergence

Write  $\gamma_X : (X \sqcup 1) \rightarrow X^*$  for  $X$ 's one-point compactification. We say that a map  $s : X \rightarrow Z$  **converges** to a point  $z : X \rightarrow Z$  when  $(s, z) : (X \sqcup 1) \rightarrow Z$  factors through  $\gamma_X$ . We're especially interested in the case where  $X = \mathbb{N}$  is the countable discrete space; then we say  $z$  is a **limit** of  $s$ .

Observe that any inclusion  $\iota_O : O \hookrightarrow X$  induces inclusions  $\iota_{O \sqcup 1}, \iota_{O^*}$  with the obvious types. When  $X - O$  is compact, these inclusions commute with compactification:

$$\gamma_X \circ \iota_{O \sqcup 1} = \iota_{O^*} \circ \gamma_{O \sqcup 1}$$

So  $s : O \rightarrow Z$  converges to  $z$  if and only if  $s \circ \iota_O : X \rightarrow Z$  converges to  $z$ . Intuitively, convergence of depends only on tail behavior, not on any finite (compact) prefix.

pathologies of measure

metric pathologies

## Continuity Theorems: Commuting Limits

fatou-lesbegue

fubini

levy

moore-osgood

## Existence Theorems: Convergent Lineages

### ascoli

How does the compact-open topology on  $(Y \rightarrow Z)$  depend on  $Y$ 's topology? For instance, how does it differ from the product topology  $(|Y| \rightarrow Z)$ ? (Here,  $|\cdot|$  discretifies.) For one thing, the canonical map  $\iota : (Y \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow (|Y| \rightarrow Z)$  (continuous because points (in  $Y$ ) are compact) has tiny image. Indeed, most elements of the codomain are, as functions from  $Y$  to  $Z$ , discontinuous. Moreover,  $\iota$  isn't even typically open: when  $Y$  is infinite, a typical compact-open generator isn't much smaller than any cylinder cut out by finitely many pointwise constraints.

Both differences lead us to expect convergence to be rarer in  $(Y \rightarrow Z)$  than in  $\iota$ 's image.

Still, when  $Z$  is a metric space, we have a positive result: any “equicontinuous” subspace  $X \subseteq (Y \rightarrow Z)$  whose every restriction to a  $y : Y$  has compact closure itself has compact closure!

What does **equicontinuous** mean? It means that the metric induced on  $Y$  by uniform norm over  $X$  is continuous.

CONNECT TO TYCHONOFF!

### baire

A **countideal** on a poset  $P$  is a downward-closed subset closed under countable joins. Each countideal on a powerset  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  gives a notion of *negligible subset*. For example, each infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  determines a countideal consisting of subsets of cardinality at most  $\kappa$ . More interestingly, each measure on  $X$  determines a countideal consisting of subsets-of-sets-of-zero-measure (**null sets**). Likewise, each topology on  $X$  determines a countideal consisting of countable unions of sets-whose-closures-have-empty-interiors (**meager sets**).

### banach

### riesz

## Fourier Theory

To illustrate the above ideas “in the wild”, we develop some fourier theory. The classical fourier transform  $\mathcal{F} : L^1(S^1) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{Z})$  is celebrated because it diagonalizes both differentiation and translation on the Lie group  $S^1$ . The differentiation story generalizes to the harmonic theory of riemannian manifolds; the translation story generalizes to the representation theory of compact groups.