# Integral Representations

Sébastien Boisgérault, Mines ParisTech, under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0

#### September 30, 2019

### Contents

Complex Differentiation of Integrals	1
The Laplace Transform	3
Cauchy's Integral Theorem – Dixon's Proof	5
The $\Pi$ Function	6
References	7

# Complex Differentiation of Integrals

Theorem – Complex-Differentiation under the Integral Sign. Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb C$  and  $(X,\mu)$  be a measurable space. Let  $f:\Omega\times X\to\mathbb C$  be a function such that:

- 1. for every z in  $\Omega$ ,  $x \in X \mapsto f(z, x)$  is  $\mu$ -measurable,
- 2. for any  $z_0 \in \Omega$ , there is a neighborhood V of  $z_0$  in  $\Omega$  and a  $\mu$ -integrable function  $g: X \to \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$\forall z \in V, |f(z,x)| \leq g(x) \mu$$
-a.e.

3. for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ , the function  $z \in \Omega \mapsto f(z,x)$  is holomorphic.

Then the function  $z \in \Omega \mapsto \int_X f(z,x) d\mu(x)$  is holomorphic and its derivative at any order n is

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial z^n} \left[ \int_X f(z,x) \, d\mu(x) \right] = \int_X \partial_z^n f(z,x) \, d\mu(x).$$

**Proof.** Let  $z_0$  in  $\Omega$  and V be as in assumption 2; let r > 0 be a radius such that  $\overline{D}(z_0, r) \subset V$  and let  $\gamma = z_0 + r[\circlearrowleft]$ . The Cauchy formula, followed by an

integration by parts, yields for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$  and any  $z \in D(z_0, r/2)$ 

$$\partial_z f(z,x) = \frac{1}{i2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial_z f(w,x)}{w-z} dw = \frac{1}{i2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w,x)}{(w-z)^2} dw,$$

which by the M-L estimation lemma provides the bound

$$|\partial_z f(z,x)| \le \frac{4|g(x)|}{r}.$$

The difference quotient of  $z \mapsto \int_X f(z,x) d\mu(x)$  at  $z_0$  is equal to

$$\int_{X} \frac{f(z_0 + h, x) - f(z_0, x)}{h} \, d\mu(x).$$

Let h be a complex number such that |h| < r/2. For  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ , the function  $\phi : t \in [0,1] \mapsto f(z_0 + th, x)$  is continuous on [0,1], differentiable on [0,1] and satisfies

$$|\phi'(t)| = |\partial_z f(z_0 + th, x)||h| \le \frac{g(x)}{r}|h|.$$

Hence, the mean value inequality yields

$$\left| \frac{f(z_0 + h, x) - f(z_0, x)}{h} \right| = \frac{|\phi(1) - \phi(0)|}{|h|} \le \frac{4g(x)}{r}.$$

Since

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{f(z_0+h,x)-f(z_0,x)}{h}=\partial_z f(z_0,x) \ \ \mu\text{-a.e.},$$

Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem provides the result for n = 1. Now, the function  $\partial_z f$  also satisfies the three assumptions required by the theorem, hence by induction, the theorem statement holds at any order n.

Corollary – Complex-Differentiation of Line Integrals. Let  $f: \Omega \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{C}$  where  $\Omega$  and  $\Lambda$  are two subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\Omega$  is open. Assume that

- 1. f is a continuous function.
- 2. for any  $w \in \Lambda$ , the function  $z \in \Omega \mapsto f(z, w)$  is holomorphic.

Then, for any sequence of rectifiable paths  $\gamma$  of  $\Lambda$ , the function  $z \in \Omega \mapsto \int_{\gamma} f(z, w) dw$  is holomorphic and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \int_{\gamma} f(z, w) \, dw \right] = \int_{\gamma} \partial_z f(z, w) \, dw.$$

**Proof.** We prove the result for any continuously differentiable path  $\gamma$  of  $\Lambda$  (the case of a sequence of rectifiable paths is a simple corollary). By definition of the line integral,

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z, w) dw = \int_{[0,1]} f(z, \gamma(t)) \gamma'(t) dt.$$

Now,

- 1. For any  $z \in \Omega$ , the function  $t \in [0,1] \mapsto f(z,\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)$  is continuous and therefore Lebesgue measurable.
- 2. Let  $z_0 \in \Omega$  and let r > 0 be such that  $K = \overline{D}(z_0, r) \subset \Omega$ . The restriction of f to the compact set  $K \times \gamma([0, 1])$  is bounded by some constant  $\kappa$ . Therefore, for any  $z \in D(z_0, r)$ , the function  $t \in [0, 1] \mapsto f(z, \gamma(t))\gamma'(t)$  is dominated by  $t \in [0, 1] \mapsto \kappa|\gamma'(t)|$  which is Lebesgue integrable.
- 3. For any  $t \in [0,1]$ , the function  $z \in \Omega \mapsto f(z,\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)$  is holomorphic; its derivative is  $\partial_z f(z,\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)$ .

Consequently, the differentiation of Lebesgue integrals theorem provides the existence of  $\partial_z \left[ \int_{\gamma} f(z,w) \, dw \right]$  and its value:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ \int_{\gamma} f(z, w) \, dw \right] = \int_{[0, 1]} \partial_z f(z, \gamma(t)) \gamma'(t) \, dt.$$

The right-hand side is equal to  $\int_{\gamma} \partial_z f(z, w) dw$ .

### The Laplace Transform

**Definition** – The Laplace Transform. Let  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$  be a Lebesgue measurable function. We denote by  $\sigma$  the extended real number defined by

$$\sigma \in [-\infty, +\infty] = \inf \left\{ \sigma^+ \in \mathbb{R} \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(t)| e^{-\sigma^+ t} dt < +\infty \right\}.$$

If  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\text{Re}(s) > \sigma$ , the function  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto f(t)e^{-st}$  is Lebesgue integrable. The Laplace transform of f is the function

$$\mathcal{L}[f]: \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma\} \to \mathbb{C}$$

defined by

$$\mathcal{L}[f](s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(t)e^{-st} dt.$$

**Proof** – **Definition of the Laplace Transform.** For any  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , the function  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto f(t)e^{-st}$  is Lebesgue measurable. If additionally  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma$ , then there is some  $\sigma^+$  such that  $\sigma < \sigma^+ < \operatorname{Re}(s)$  and  $t \mapsto |f(t)|e^{-\sigma^+ t}$  is Lebesgue integrable. Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(t)e^{-st}|\,dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(t)|e^{-\mathrm{Re}(s)t}\,dt \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(t)|e^{-\sigma^+t}\,dt < +\infty.$$

and therefore  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto f(t)e^{-st}$  is Lebesgue integrable.

Example – Laplace Transform of Exponential Functions. For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , the function  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto e^{\lambda t}$  is Lebesgue measurable. Additionally,

$$\forall t \ge 0, |f(t)|e^{-\sigma^+ t} = e^{-(\sigma^+ - \operatorname{Re}(\lambda))t},$$

hence the function  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto |f(t)|e^{-\sigma^+t}$  is Lebesgue integrable if and only if  $\sigma^+ > \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$ . The infimum  $\sigma$  of all such  $\sigma^+$  is therefore  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$ . Now, if  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}[f](s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{(\lambda - s)t} dt = \left[ \frac{e^{(\lambda - s)t}}{\lambda - s} \right]_0^{+\infty} = \frac{1}{s - \lambda}.$$

Theorem – Derivative of the Laplace Transform. The Laplace transform of a Lebesgue measurable function  $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic on its domain of definition and

$$(\mathcal{L}[f])'(s) = \mathcal{L}[t \mapsto -tf(t)](s).$$

**Proof.** Let  $\Omega = \{ s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Re}(s) > \sigma \}.$ 

- 1. For any  $s \in \Omega$ , the function  $t \mapsto f(t)e^{-st}$  is Lebesgue measurable.
- 2. Let  $s \in \Omega$  and let r > 0 be such that  $\epsilon = \text{Re}(s) \sigma r > 0$ . For any  $w \in D(s,r)$ , we have  $\text{Re}(w) > \text{Re}(s) r = \sigma + \epsilon$ , thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(t)e^{-wt}|\,dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(t)|e^{-\mathrm{Re}(w)t}\,dt \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(t)|e^{-(\sigma+\epsilon)t}\,dt < +\infty.$$

3. For almost any  $t \ge 0$ ,  $s \mapsto f(t)e^{-st}$  is holomorphic and

$$\partial_s[f(t)e^{-st}] = -tf(t)e^{-st}.$$

We can therefore differentiate under the integral sign and obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int_0^{+\infty} f(t) e^{-st} dt = \int_0^{+\infty} -t f(t) e^{-st} dt = \mathcal{L}[t \mapsto -t f(t)](s)$$

as expected.

**Example – Laplace Transform of Polynomials.** The constant function defined by f(t) = 1 for  $t \ge 0$  is an exponential function (as  $1 = e^{0 \times t}$ ); its Laplace transform is defined for Re(s) > 0 and equal to 1/s. Now, this Laplace transform has a derivative at every of order n which is

$$\frac{(-1)^n n!}{s^{n+1}}.$$

It is also the Laplace transform of  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto (-t)^n$ . Thus, by linearity, the Laplace transform of the polynomial  $f(t) = \sum_{p=0}^n a_p t^p$  is

$$\mathcal{L}[f](s) = \sum_{p=0}^{n} a_p p! \frac{1}{s^{p+1}}.$$

# Cauchy's Integral Theorem – Dixon's Proof

In (Dixon 1971), John D. Dixon provides a short proof of the global version of Cauchy's Formula, using the local Cauchy theory. The proof relies on the following key result:

Lemma – Integral of the Difference Quotient. Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of the complex plane, f be a holomorphic function on  $\Omega$  and  $\gamma$  be a sequence of rectifiable closed paths of  $\Omega$ . The function

$$z \in \Omega \setminus \gamma([0,1]) \mapsto \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} dw$$

has a holomorphic extension on  $\Omega$ .

**Proof.** We may define the function  $g: \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$g(z, w) = \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w}$$
 if  $z \neq w$  and  $g(w, w) = f'(w)$ .

The continuity and complex-differentiability of g at any point  $(z, w) \in \Omega^2$  such that  $z \neq w$  is plain. Now, let  $c \in \Omega$  and let r > 0 be a radius such that the closure of the disk D = D(c, r) is included in  $\Omega$ . Using the Taylor expansion of f in this disk, we derive for any  $z \in D$  and  $w \in D$ :

$$\frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} = \frac{1}{z - w} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n ((z - c)^n - (w - c)^n)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \left[ \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} (z - c)^{n-1-p} (w - c)^p \right]$$

The right-hand side of this equation is a uniformly convergent sum of continuous functions of  $(w,z)\in D^2$ . Thus, its limit is a continuous function of (w,z) and we have

$$\lim_{(w,z)\to(c,c),w\neq z} \frac{f(z)-f(w)}{z-w} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} na_n(w-c)^{n-1} = f'(w) = g(w,w),$$

thus this continuous function is actually g. Additionally, for every  $w \in D$ , every function of the sum is a holomorphic function with respect to z, hence its uniform limit  $z \in D \mapsto g(z, w)$  is also holomorphic.

Now the function

$$z \in \Omega \mapsto \int_{\gamma} g(z, w) dw$$

clearly extends the function of the lemma statement. It also satisfies the assumptions of the complex-differentiation of line integrals result, thus it is holomorphic.

For completeness, here is Dixon's proof of Cauchy's formula:

**Proof** – Cauchy's Integral Formula. Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $f: \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  be a holomorphic function. Let  $\gamma$  be a sequence of rectifiable closed paths of  $\Omega$  such that Int  $\gamma \subset \Omega$ .

Introduce the holomorphic extension h to  $\Omega$  of

$$z \in \Omega \setminus \gamma([0,1]) \mapsto \frac{1}{i2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} dw$$

and define the function  $\phi: \mathbb{C} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\phi(z) = h(z)$$
 if  $z \in \Omega$ ,  $\phi(z) = -\frac{1}{i2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw$  if  $z \in \text{Ext } \gamma$ .

This definition is unambiguous: if  $z \in \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ext} \gamma$ , then

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{i2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} dw$$
$$= f(z) \operatorname{ind}(\gamma, z) - \frac{1}{i2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{z - w} dw.$$
$$= -\frac{1}{i2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{z - w} dw$$

The function  $\phi$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega$  and also on  $\operatorname{Ext} \gamma$  by the complex-differentiation of line integrals theorem. Hence, it is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Additionally, if  $|z| > r = \max\{|w| \mid w \in \gamma([0,1])\}$ , then  $z \in \operatorname{Ext} \gamma$ , thus if M is an upper bound of f on the image of  $\gamma$ ,

$$|\phi(z)| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{M}{|z| - r} \times \ell(\gamma)$$

and  $|\phi(z)| \to 0$  when  $|z| \to +\infty$ . By Liouville's Theorem,  $\phi$  is identically zero; hence, if  $z \in \Omega$ ,

$$\frac{1}{i2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{z - w} dw = \frac{1}{i2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - w} dw = \operatorname{ind}(\gamma, z) f(z),$$

which is Cauchy's integral formula.

#### The $\Pi$ Function

**Definition** –  $\Pi$  **Function.** The  $\Pi$  function is defined for all complex numbers z such that Re(z) > -1 by

$$\Pi(z) = \int_0^{+\infty} t^z e^{-t} \, dt$$

It is a holomorphic function whose n-th order derivative is given by

$$\Pi^{(n)}(z) = \int_0^{+\infty} (\ln t)^n t^z e^{-t} dt.$$

**Proof** –  $\Pi$  Function. For any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and any t > 0,

$$t^z e^{-t} = e^{z \ln t - t}$$
 and  $|t^z e^{-t}| = e^{\text{Re}(z) \ln t - t} = t^{\text{Re}(z)} e^{-t}$ .

Thus, if  $\operatorname{Re}(z) > -1$ , the function  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \mapsto t^z e^{-t}$  is Lebesgue integrable. Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}(z) > -1$  and let  $r = (\operatorname{Re}(z) + 1)/2 > 0$ . For any  $h \in \mathbb{C}$  such that |h| < r and any t > 0,

$$|t^{(z+h)}e^{-t}| = t^{\text{Re}(z+h)}e^{-t} < \max(t^{\text{Re}(z)-r}, t^{\text{Re}(z)+r})e^{-t}$$

and the right-hand side of this inequality is a Lebesgue integrable function of t. Finally, for any t > 0, the function  $z \mapsto t^z e^{-t}$  is holomorphic on the domain of the  $\Pi$  function and at any order n,

$$\partial_z^n t^z e^{-t} = \partial_z^n e^{z \ln t - t} = (\ln t)^n t^z e^{-t}.$$

The assumptions of differentiation under the integral sign are met and the application of this theorem provides the desired result.

#### References

Dixon, John D. 1971. "A Brief Proof of Cauchy's Integral Theorem." *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 29. American Mathematical Society (AMS), Providence, RI: 625–26. doi:10.2307/2038614.