



2026 Canadian Economic Outlook: Restoring Per-Capita Growth

Ryan Boivin

01 January 2026

To: Federal Cabinet & Privy Council

From: Policy Analysis – Economic Realism Framework

Forecast: 2026–2031 Policy Window

Subject: Restoring Per-Capita Growth Through Policy Change, Productive Investment, and Strategic Household Debt Reform

Executive Summary

Canada faces a household debt crisis (~180% debt-to-income), per-capita stagnation, and capital misallocation into housing rather than productivity. Headline GDP growth masks declining living standards.

Key challenges:

- Population growth exceeding housing and healthcare capacity
- Young Canadians' student debt suppressing entrepreneurship
- Residential investment crowding out productive sectors

Policy Recommendations:

1. Introduce new policy to align population growth and immigration with economic absorption capacity
2. Redirect capital to emerging, productivity-enhancing sectors
3. Reform student debt to incentivize entrepreneurship and limit future borrowing

Expected outcomes:

- Higher per-capita GDP and median real wages
 - Increased startup formation and productivity growth
 - Reduced household debt and financial vulnerability
-

1. Background / Context

- Population grew 3.2% in 2023, exceeding housing completions and healthcare staffing increases (StatsCan, CIHI)
- Household debt-to-disposable income ratio at ~180%, highest in G7 (BIS, 2025)
- Residential investment \approx 9–10% of GDP, limiting business investment per worker (StatsCan)
- Student debt ~\$80–100B, delaying entrepreneurship and risk-taking (PBO, OSAP)

Key insight: Canada cannot grow its way out of stagnation with population and asset inflation alone. Structural reform is required.

2. Problem / Challenge

Issue	Impact
Population growth > infrastructure	Housing affordability crisis, healthcare strain, reduced per-capita GDP
Capital misallocation	Wage stagnation, low productivity growth
Student debt	Delayed entrepreneurship, household debt cycle, slower wealth creation

3. Policy Options / Recommendations

3.1 Immigration Alignment

- Economic Absorption Cap: Tie intake to housing completions, healthcare staffing, and capital investment per worker
- Selection Focus: Prioritize high-skill immigrants with language proficiency and near-term economic contributions
- Enforce Fiscal Responsibility: Remove non-citizens convicted of serious offenses to reduce system burden

Expected outcome: Higher per-capita GDP, improved housing affordability, reduced wage suppression

3.2 Productive Investment in Emerging Sectors

- Priority sectors: Energy (LNG, nuclear), advanced manufacturing, AI, ag-tech
- Regulatory certainty: 24-month max approvals for major projects
- Capital incentives: Accelerated depreciation, output-linked subsidies
- Housing reset: End first-buyer subsidies; focus CMHC support on rental supply

Expected outcome: Increased business investment per worker, wage growth from productivity, reduced capital flight

3.3 Strategic Student Debt Reform

- Debt forgiveness: For entrepreneurs meeting sector/revenue/payroll criteria
- Retention requirement: 5–7 years domiciled in Canada
- Limit future loans: Cap borrowing relative to realistic post-graduation income

Expected outcome: Increased startup formation, GDP, productivity; reduced household leverage; accelerated intergenerational mobility

4. Implementation Considerations

- Phased rollout over 3–5 years
 - Targeted regional policies for housing and workforce balance
 - Coordination across Finance, Immigration, Innovation, CMHC, PBO
 - Metrics dashboard: GDP per capita, household debt, startup formation, sectoral investment
-

5. Political Economy Considerations: Asset Holders & Capital Flight

Short-term asset holders — including major real estate investors, large shareholders, and high-net-worth individuals — currently benefit from inflated housing, equity, and other capital markets. These actors are **offshoring assets** or shifting investment to jurisdictions such as the U.S., Cayman Islands, and other low-tax havens to avoid the risk of a domestic economic correction. This limits domestic capital available for productive investment and increases the political difficulty of implementing structural reforms.

Implications for policy:

1. Resistance risk: Reforms to curb housing inflation, reset household debt, or incentivize entrepreneurship may face lobbying pressure from asset holders.
2. Capital retention strategies:
 - Targeted incentives: Offer tax credits, accelerated depreciation, or investment matching programs to encourage domestic reinvestment in emerging sectors (energy, AI, advanced manufacturing).
 - Monitoring and regulation: Strengthen reporting and transparency requirements for offshore asset holdings to discourage flight during periods of fiscal reform.
 - Link reforms to productivity: Student debt forgiveness and entrepreneurial support can create real domestic wealth, aligning national policy with the long-term benefit of domestic capital circulation.
3. Communication framing: Emphasize per-capita productivity, sustainable wage growth, and national economic resilience to counter narratives that reforms “punish the wealthy.”

Supporting Evidence:

- Bank of Canada, *Financial System Review*, 2025: notes that high-income households have a disproportionate share of leveraged real estate assets. [<https://www.bankofcanada.ca/>]
- IMF, *Canada Article IV Consultation*, 2025: highlights rising cross-border asset holdings and capital flight in response to domestic financial risks. [<https://www.imf.org/en/>]

- OECD, *Economic Outlook & Productivity Database, 2025*: shows low reinvestment in domestic productive sectors compared to financialized asset classes.
[\[https://www.oecd.org/economy/\]](https://www.oecd.org/economy/)
- PBO, *Fiscal Sustainability Report, 2025*: warns that household debt and capital concentration risks exacerbate systemic economic vulnerability.
[\[https://www.pbo-dpb.ca/en/\]](https://www.pbo-dpb.ca/en/)

Summary:

Effective reform must account for the **political economy of asset holders**. Policies that combine incentives for productive domestic reinvestment with penalties or transparency requirements for capital flight are critical to preserving long-term per-capita growth while overcoming resistance from entrenched short-term beneficiaries.

6. Risks & Mitigation

Risk	Mitigation
Short-term GDP moderation	Phased implementation, prioritize high-productivity sectors
Political resistance from asset holders	Transparent communication of per-capita growth benefits
Transitional labor shortages	Regional skill deployment and temporary migration flexibility

7. Success Metrics

- GDP per capita growth
 - Median real wage (housing-adjusted)
 - Business investment per worker
 - Housing affordability ratios
 - Startup formation rates
 - Household debt ratios
-

8. Sources / References

- Bank of Canada. Financial System Review, 2025. <https://www.bankofcanada.ca/>
- BIS. Quarterly Review, 2025. <https://www.bis.org/publ/>
- IMF. World Economic Outlook, April 2025. <https://www.imf.org/en/>
- IMF. Canada Article IV Consultations, 2025. <https://www.imf.org/en/>
- OECD. Economic Outlook & Productivity Database, 2025. <https://www.oecd.org/economy/>

- OECD. Migration Outlook, 2025. <https://www.oecd.org/>
 - StatsCan. National Accounts, Labour Force, Housing, 2025. <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca>
 - CMHC. Housing Supply & Rental Reports, 2025. <https://www.cmhc-schl.gc.ca>
 - OSFI. Financial Stability Reports, 2025. <https://www.osfi-bsif.gc.ca>
 - PBO. Reports on Population, Fiscal Sustainability, Student Debt, 2025. <https://www.pbo-dpb.ca/en/>
 - RBC Economics. Thought Leadership, 2025. <https://thoughtleadership.rbc>
 - World Bank. Industrial Policy & Entrepreneurship Research, 2025. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/>
 - Mian, Sufi & Verner (2020), Journal of Finance: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley>
 - Stanford AI Index, 2025. <https://aiindex.stanford.edu/>
-

9. Conclusion

Canada cannot continue inflating GDP with population growth and housing alone. Aligning immigration, redirecting capital to productive sectors, and reforming student debt for entrepreneurship are necessary to restore per-capita prosperity, increase productivity, and generate sustainable government revenue. Delay will increase fiscal risk and reduce flexibility.