

11.4. Proof of Rado's theorem.

Thm (Rado)

The system $Ax=0$ is regular on \mathbb{N}
if and only if
 A satisfies the columns condition.

Let \mathcal{A} be a family of finite subsets of \mathbb{N} .

We say \mathcal{A} is homogeneous
if $nX \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, X \in \mathcal{A}$.

We say \mathcal{A} is regular
if for every r -coloring of \mathbb{N} for any δ
there exists a monochromatic member in \mathcal{A} .

Lemma. Let \mathcal{A} be a homogeneous regular family
of finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . Let $M > 0$ be fixed.
If X is an r -coloring of \mathbb{N} ,
then there exist $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $d > 0$
such that

$$a + \lambda d \quad \text{for all } |A| \leq M \\ \text{all } a \in A$$

have the same color.

Proof. By the compactness principle, there exists $R > 0$
such that

any r -coloring of $[R]$ induces a monochromatic set $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let χ be an r^k -coloring of \mathbb{N} .

Let χ^* be an r^k -coloring of \mathbb{N} such that

$$\chi^*(\alpha) = \chi^*(\beta) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \chi(\alpha) = \chi(\beta) \\ \chi(2\alpha) = \chi(2\beta) \\ \chi(3\alpha) = \chi(3\beta) \\ \vdots \\ \chi(R\alpha) = \chi(R\beta) \end{cases}$$

Let $T = MR$!

By the van der Waerden theorem, there exists a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length $2T+1$ under χ^* . Thus, there exist c and $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$\chi^*(c + \mu\epsilon)$ is constant for all $|\mu| \leq T$.

Now consider χ as an r -coloring of

$c, 2c, 3c, 4c, \dots, Rc$

Since \mathcal{A} is regular and homogeneous,

there exists a set $B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$B \subseteq [R]$ and cB is monochromatic under χ .

Now let $y = \text{lcm}(B)$

$A = cB \in \mathcal{A}$ (because \mathcal{A} is homogeneous)

$$d = e y$$

Then for each $a \in A$, $|a| \leq M$

$$a = cb, \quad b \in B$$

$$\Rightarrow a + \lambda d = cb + \lambda ey$$

$$= b \left(c + \lambda e \left(\frac{y}{b} \right) \right)$$

$$\left| \frac{y}{b} \right| \leq R! \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left| \frac{\lambda y}{b} \right| \leq M R! = T.$$

By the choice of c and e

$$\chi^*(c + \lambda e \left(\frac{y}{b} \right)) = \chi^*(c)$$

$$\Rightarrow \chi(a + \lambda d) = \chi(a)$$

for each $a \in A$

Since A is monochromatic in X

all of $a + \lambda d$ for all $a \in A, |\lambda| \leq M$
have the same color. \square

Lemma. Let \mathcal{A} be a homogenous regular family of finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . For every positive integer M and c , and every r -coloring χ of \mathbb{N} there exist $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $d > 0$ such that

$a + \lambda d$ for all $a \in A$, $|\lambda| \leq M$
and
 cd

have the same color.

Proof. Induction on r . We may assume $r \geq 1$.

By the compactness principle from the induction hypothesis, for all M and c , there is $T > 0$ such that every $(r-1)$ -coloring of T induces a pair $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $d > 0$ so that

all of $a + \lambda d$ for $a \in A$, $|\lambda| \leq M$
and
 cd
have the same color.

Let χ be an r -coloring of \mathbb{N} . By the previous lemma, there exist $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $d' > 0$ such that all of $a + \lambda d'$ with $a \in A$ and $|\lambda| \leq TM$ have the same color.

If for some $|M| \leq T$,
 then $\mu cd'$ have the same color as well,
 then we can set $d = \mu d'$

$\Rightarrow a + \lambda d$ for all $a \in A$, $|\lambda| \leq M$
 and cd

will have the same color.

Therefore we may assume

$\mu cd'$ for all $|M| \leq T$
 avoid this particular color.

Let χ' be an $(r-1)$ -coloring of $[T]$
 defined by $\chi'(a) = \chi(cd' a)$.

By the induction hypothesis and the assumption

on T there exist $B \in A$ and $d'' > 0$

such that $b + \lambda d'' \in [T]$ for all $|\lambda| \leq M$
 $b \in B$

and

all of $b + \lambda d''$,

cd''

have the same color under χ' .

Let $A = cd' B$, $d = cd'd''$.

Then for all $a \in A$,
 $a = cd' b$ for some $b \in B$.

for all $|\lambda| \leq m$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(a + \lambda d) &= x(\underline{cd'} \underline{b} + \lambda \underline{cd'} \underline{d''}) \\
 &= x'(\underline{b} + \lambda \underline{d''}) \\
 &= x'(b) && | = x'(cd'') \\
 &= x(a) && | = x(c^2 d' d'') \\
 &&& = x(cd).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

For positive integers m, p, c ,
a set S of positive integers is called
an (m, p, c) -set
if there exist a sequence $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}$
of positive integers such that $\boxed{y_1 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_{m+1}}$

$$S = D(m, p, c; y)$$

$$S = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m+1} \left\{ cy_j + \sum_{i=j+1}^{m+1} \lambda_i y_i : (\lambda_i \leq p \text{ for all } i) \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ cy_1 + \lambda_2 y_2 + \lambda_3 y_3 + \dots + \lambda_{m+1} y_{m+1} : (\lambda_i \leq p) \right\}$$

$$\cup \left\{ c y_2 + \lambda_3 y_3 + \dots + \lambda_{m+1} y_{m+1} : (\lambda_i \leq p) \right\}$$

$$\cup \dots \cup \left\{ c y_3 + \dots + \lambda_{m+1} y_{m+1} : (\lambda_i \leq p) \right\}$$

$$D(1, 10, 5; (1, 10))$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{5 \cdot 1 + 10x_2}_{\cup \{10 \cdot 5\}} : \{x_2 \leq 10\}$$

Prop. For all positive integers m, p, c, r .

If \mathbb{N} is r -colored, then there exists a monochromatic (m, p, c) -set S .

Proof Induction on m .

If $m=1$, then an (m, p, c) -set is

$$D(1, p, c; y_1, y_2) = \left\{ c y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2 : \left| \begin{matrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{matrix} \right| \leq p \right\}$$

$$\cup \{c y_2\}$$

for some y_1, y_2 .

By the lemma based on the van der Waerden theorem in section 11.2

there is a monochromatic $(1, p, c)$ -set.

(Apply the lemma to a new coloring χ')

$$\chi'(\alpha) = \chi(c \alpha)$$

Take $s=1$

Thus we may assume $m > 1$.

Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all $(m-1, p, c)$ -sets.

By the induction hypothesis, λ is regular.

Clearly A is homogeneous.

By the previous lemma, there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $d > 0$ such that $a + \lambda d \quad \text{for all } a \in A$
and $|a| \leq p$

have the same color.

Take $y_{m+1} := d$,

\Rightarrow The union of all these numbers
 \supseteq an (m, p, c) -set. \square

Proof of Rado's theorem, backward direction:

Let A be a matrix satisfying the columns condition.

c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n : column vectors of A .

(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_t) : partition of $[n]$

$\sum_{i \in I_1} c_i = 0$ satisfying the columns condition.

$\sum_{i \in I_2} c_i \in \langle c_j : j \in I_1 \rangle$

\vdots

$\sum_{i \in I_2} c_i =$ linear combination of
vectors in $\{g_j : j \in I_1\}$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c|c} I_1 & I_2 \\ \hline A & \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{rows for } I_1 \\ \text{rows for } I_2 \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = 0$$

We have rational vectors
 $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_t \in \mathbb{Q}^n$

such that

$$A z_j = 0 \quad j \in [t]$$

and

$$z_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in I_j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in I_j, j > j \\ \text{any} & \text{if } i \in I_j, j' < j \end{cases}$$

Let us choose a large integer c
such that $c z_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ for all i .

Take $Z_i = c z_i$ for each i .

Then now we have a parametric
solution of $A x = 0$

$$\text{Take } x = \sum_{i=1}^t y_i Z_i$$

$(\forall z_i = 0 \text{ for all } i) \Rightarrow Ax = 0$

Let p be the largest absolute value of the entries in z_1, z_2, \dots, z_t .

Then there is a monochromatic

$(t-1, p, 1)$ -set S ,

which will give the monochromatic solution

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2 + \dots + \lambda_t y_t & \\
 y_2 + \lambda_2 y_3 + \dots + \lambda_t y_t & \\
 & \vdots \\
 & + y_t
 \end{aligned}
 \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{array} \right) = y_i z_i$$

$$z_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$z_2 = \begin{pmatrix} * \\ * \\ X \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$z_3 = \begin{pmatrix} * \\ * \\ * \\ * \\ * \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \overline{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 = y_1 + \cancel{*}y_2 + \cancel{*}y_3 + \dots + \cancel{*}y_t \\ I_1 \quad x_2 = y_1 + \cancel{*}y_2 + \cancel{*}y_3 + \dots + \cancel{*}y_t \end{array} \right.} \\
 \overline{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_a = \dots \\ \vdots \\ I_L \quad x_n = y_2 + \cancel{*}y_3 + \dots + \cancel{*}y_t \end{array} \right.} \\
 \hline
 I_3 \quad x_n = y_3 + \cancel{*}y_4 + \dots + \cancel{*}y_t
 \end{array}$$

□.

11.5. Folkman's theorem

Thm (Folkman) For every r -coloring of \mathbb{N} and a positive integer k , there exists a set S of k positive integers such that all of $\sum_{a \in T} a$ for all $T \subseteq S$ have the same color.

Finite version:

For all integers r and k , there exist $n = n(r, k)$ such that for every r -coloring of $[n]$ there exist $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$ with all $\sum a_i \leq n$ such that all of $\sum_{i \in I} a_i$ for $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [n]$ have the same color.

Lemma

For all integers r and k ,

there exist $n = n(r, k)$

such that for every r -coloring of $[n]$

there exist $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$

with

$$\text{all } \sum a_i \leq n$$

Show that

the color of $\sum_{i \in I} a_i$ for $I \subseteq [n]$

depends only on $\max(I)$.

Proof. Induction on k .

Trivial if $k=1$. Assume $k \geq 1$.

$$\text{Let } n = n(r, k) = 2W(r, n(r, k-1)+1)$$

Let X be an r -coloring of $[n]$.

By considering $\left\{\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}+2, \dots, \frac{n}{2}+n\right\}$

we find a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length $n(r, k-1)+1$ in this set.

So, there is $a_k, d > 0$ such that

$a_k, a_k+d, a_k+2d, \dots, a_k+(n(r, k-1))d$
have the same color and

$$\frac{n}{2} < a_k, \quad a_k+(n(r, k-1))d \leq n$$

$$\Rightarrow n(r, k-1)d \leq \frac{n}{2}$$

We identify the multiples of d with \mathbb{Z}

Apply the induction hypothesis (coloring $[n(r,k-1)]$)

\Rightarrow We find $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{k-1}$

$$d \mid a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}$$

$$\underbrace{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}_{\text{such that}} \leq n(r, k-1) d$$

the color of $\sum_{i \in I} a_i$, for all $I \subseteq [n-1]$

depends only on $\max(I)$.

$$\left(\sum_{i \in I} a_i \right) + a_k \leq a_k + n(r, k-1) d.$$

$$\text{So, } a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k \leq a_k + n(r, k-1) d.$$

$\sum a_i$ is a multiple of d

$$0 \leq \leq n(r, k-1) d$$

thus, if $k \in I$, then for all $I \subseteq [n]$

$\sum_{i \in I} a_i$ have the same color.

□,

Proof of Folkman's theorem:

Find $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{(r-1)k+1}$

so that

$\sum_{i \in I} a_i$ depends only on $\max(I)$,
for each $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [r-1]k+1]$

By the pigeonhole principle,

k of them induces the same color
pick those k . \square