

Graphs which Contain all Small Graphs

BÉLA BOLLOBÁS AND ANDREW THOMASON

Given a graph H , is there a strongly regular graph G containing H as an induced subgraph? This question, posed by M. Rosenfeld, is answered here in the affirmative. In fact, given r , we shall be looking for graphs of small order which contain *every* graph of order r as an induced subgraph. In order to abbreviate this rather clumsy description, we shall say that we are looking for *r -full graphs* of small order. Note that if G is an r -full graph of order n then $\binom{n}{r}$ is at least the number of non-isomorphic graphs of order r , so

$$\binom{n}{r} \geq 2^{\binom{r}{2}}/r!$$

and thus

$$n \geq 2^{(r-1)/2}.$$

We shall show that if n is slightly greater than $2^{(r-1)/2}$, not only does there exist an r -full graph of order n but almost every graph of order n is r -full. Rather curiously, we are unable to give a concrete example of an r -full graph of such small order. We do, however, exhibit an r -full graph of order 2^r which is rather close to being strongly regular. Finally, we display a strongly regular r -full graph of order roughly $r^2 2^{2^r}$.

We shall consider the set \mathcal{G}_n of all $2^{\binom{n}{2}}$ graphs with vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. As usual, \mathcal{G}_n is regarded as a probability space in which any two graphs have the same probability; equivalently, the edges are chosen independently and with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. A subset $\mathcal{H}_n \subset \mathcal{G}_n$ is said to contain *almost every* graph of order n if $|\mathcal{H}_n|/|\mathcal{G}_n| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

THEOREM 1. *Almost every graph of order $n = \lceil r^2 2^{r/2} \rceil$ is r -full.*

PROOF. In order to simplify the notation we shall assume that r is even. Put $m = r^{r/2}$ and consider the probability space \mathcal{G}_m of all graphs with vertex set $V^* = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Let H be a fixed graph with vertex set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}$. Given $G \in \mathcal{G}_m$ denote by $Y_r = Y_r(G)$ the number of r -subsets $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r\}$ of V^* , $y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_r$, for which $x_i \rightarrow y_i$ gives an isomorphism between H and the subgraph of G induced by $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r\}$. The expectation of the random variable Y_r is clearly

$$E_r = E(Y_r) \geq \binom{m}{r} 2^{-\binom{r}{2}} \geq \frac{1}{2r} \left(\frac{em}{r} \right)^r 2^{-\binom{r}{2}} > e^r,$$

provided $r > 8$. It is easily seen that inequality (7) of [1, p. 421] holds for Y_r (which is slightly different from the random variable Y_r in [1]), that is

$$P(Y = 0) < 2r^4 m^{-2} + 2E_r^{-1} < 3r^2 2^{-r}, \quad (1)$$

provided r is sufficiently large.

Now let us consider the set \mathcal{G}_n of all graphs with vertex set $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $n = rm$. Partition V into r sets of m vertices each: $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^r V_i^*$. By (1) the probability that V_i^* contains no spanned subgraph isomorphic to a fixed graph H of order r is at most $3r^2 2^{-r}$. Since the sets V_i^* are disjoint, the probability that a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ contains no subgraph isomorphic to H is at most $(3r^2 2^{-r})^r$. Since we have at most $2^{\binom{r}{2}}$ choices for H , the

probability that a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ does not contain *every* graph of order r as a spanned subgraph is at most

$$2^{\binom{r}{2}}(3r^2 2^{-r})^r,$$

which tends to 0 as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

The r -full graph of order 2^r we referred to in the introduction is the following graph P . Its vertex set is the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of $X = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ be two distinct vertices of P . If A and B are non-empty we join A to B iff $|A \cap B|$ is even; if A is empty we join A to B iff $|B|$ is even. The graph P is then close to being strongly regular in the following sense. Each vertex has degree $2^{r-1} - 1$, every edge is in $2^{r-2} - 1$ or $2^{r-2} - 2$ triangles and in the complement every edge is in $2^{r-2} - 1$ or 2^{r-2} triangles.

THEOREM 2. *The graph P is r -full.*

PROOF. Let H be a graph with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$. We claim that there are sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r uniquely determined by H , such that

$$A_i \subset \{1, 2, \dots, i\}, \quad i \in A_i,$$

and, for $i \neq j$,

$$|A_i \cap A_j| \text{ is even iff } v_i v_j \text{ is an edge of } H.$$

Indeed, having chosen A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{j-1} and also $A_j \cap \{1, 2, \dots, i-1\}$, our choice of whether i is in A_j will affect $A_j \cap A_i$ (since $i \in A_i$) but none of $A_j \cap A_k$, $k < i$ (since $A_k \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$). Hence with the unique proper choice of $A_j \cap \{i\}$ we can make sure that all the numbers $|A_k \cap A_i|$, $1 \leq k < i \leq j-1$, and $|A_k \cap A_j|$, $1 \leq k \leq i$, have the required parities. This shows the existence and uniqueness of the sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r .

Clearly the map $v_i \rightarrow A_i$ is an isomorphism between H and the subgraph of P induced by $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r\}$.

We remark that for $r > 5$ the graph P is in fact $(r+1)$ -full. For P contains a complete graph of order $2^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}$ whose vertices are unions of the sets $\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \dots, \{2\lfloor r/2 \rfloor - 1, 2\lfloor r/2 \rfloor\}$. On the other hand if H of order $r+1$ is not complete we may order its vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{r+1} so that $v_1 v_{r+1}$ is not an edge of H . The sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r are then chosen as in the proof of Theorem 2, and a set A_{r+1} can then be chosen similarly so that $|A_{r+1} \cap A_j|$ is even iff $v_{r+1} v_j$ is an edge of H , $j \leq r$. Since $1 \in A_{r+1}$, $A_{r+1} \neq \emptyset$ so $v_i \rightarrow A_i$ is an imbedding of H in P .

Finally we turn to strongly regular graphs. Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ be a prime power so that -1 is a square in the field $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ of order q . Denote by χ the quadratic residue character on F . The *Paley graph* Q_q has vertex set F and edge set $\{xy: \chi(x-y) = 1\}$. It is well known that this graph is strongly regular (see [2]). We shall show that if q is large enough then Q_q is r -full. The proof is based on Weil's theorem proving the Riemann hypothesis for algebraic curves over finite fields (see, for example, Schmidt [3]). The result we need is that if $f(X)$ is a polynomial over F of degree m and it is not a constant multiple of the square of another polynomial then

$$\left| \sum_{x \in F} \chi(f(x)) \right| \leq m q^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2)$$

THEOREM 3. *If $q \geq (2^{r-2}(r-1) + 1)^2$ then Q_q is r -full.*

PROOF. We fix q and apply induction on r . Assume that $r \geq 2$ and Q_q is $(r-1)$ -full. Let H be a graph of order r . Pick a vertex u of H and choose an induced subgraph H^* of Q_q isomorphic to $H-u$. Let $V^* = V(H^*) \subset F$, denote by A the set of vertices in V^* corresponding to $\Gamma(u)$ in $H-u$ and put $B = V^* - A$.

Given a subset W of V^* , define the polynomial $f_W(x)$ by

$$f_W(X) = \prod_{w \in W} (X - w).$$

Then for $x \in F$ we have

$$\pi(x) = \prod_{a \in A} (1 + \chi(x - a)) \prod_{b \in B} (1 - \chi(x - b)) = \sum_{W \subset V^*} (-1)^{|B \cap W|} \chi(f_W(x)).$$

If $x \notin V^*$ then $\pi(x)$ is 0 unless $\Gamma(x) \cap V^* = A$ when $\pi(x) = 2^{r-1}$. Therefore the subgraph of Q_q induced by $\{X\} \cup V^*$ is isomorphic to H if $\pi(x) \neq 0$. Thus the theorem will be proved if we show that $\sum' \pi(x)$ is positive, where the prime indicates that the sum is over $F - V^*$. Note that if $x \in V^*$ then $\pi(x) = 0$ or 2^{r-2} , so

$$\sum' \pi(x) \geq \sum_{x \in F} \pi(x) - (r-1)2^{r-2}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in F} \pi(x) &= \sum_{x \in F} \sum_{W \subset V^*} (-1)^{|B \cap W|} \chi(f_W(x)) \\ &= \sum_{W \subset V^*} (-1)^{|B \cap W|} \sum_{x \in F} \chi(f_W(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_\emptyset(x) = 1$, $\sum_{x \in F} \chi(f_\emptyset(x)) = q$, whereas, if $W \neq \emptyset$, inequality (2) gives

$$\left| \sum_{x \in F} \chi(f_W(x)) \right| \leq |W|q^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Consequently, if $\emptyset \neq W \neq V^*$, then

$$\left| \sum_{x \in F} \chi(f_W(x)) \right| + \left| \sum_{x \in F} \chi(f_{V^*-W}(x)) \right| \leq (r-1)q^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum' \pi(x) \geq \sum_{x \in F} \pi(x) - (r-1)2^{r-2} \geq q - 2^{r-2}(r-1)q^{\frac{1}{2}} - (r-1)2^{r-2} > 0,$$

completing the proof.

REFERENCES

1. B. Bollobás and P. Erdős, Cliques in random graphs, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **80** (1976), 419–427.
2. R. E. A. C. Paley, On orthogonal matrices, *J. Math. and Phys.* **12** (1933), 311–320.
3. W. M. Schmidt, Equations over finite fields; an elementary approach. *Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics* Vol. 536.

Received 2 June 1980

B. BOLLOBÁS AND A. THOMASON
 Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge,
 16 Mill Lane, Cambridge CB2 1SB, U.K.