

# Optimization Methods - Duality

Bolei Zhang

December 1, 2024

## 1 Solutions

**Problem 1:** Get the Lagrange function and dual function of the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x^T x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

**Solution:** This problem has no inequality constraints and  $p$  (linear) equality constraints. The Lagrangian is  $L(x, \nu) = x^T x + \nu^T (Ax - b)$ , with domain  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^p$ . The dual function is given by  $g(\nu) = \inf_x L(x, \nu)$ . Since  $L(x, \nu)$  is a convex quadratic function of  $x$ , we can find the minimizing  $x$  from the optimality condition

$$\nabla_x L(x, \nu) = 2x + A^T \nu = 0,$$

which yields  $x = -(1/2)A^T \nu$ . Therefore, the dual function is

$$g(\nu) = L(-(1/2)A^T \nu, \nu) = -(1/4)\nu^T A A^T \nu - b^T \nu,$$

which is a concave quadratic function, with domain  $\mathbb{R}^p$ . The lower bound property states that for any  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , we have

$$-(1/4)\nu^T A A^T \nu - b^T \nu \leq \inf \{x^T x | Ax = b\}$$

.

**Problem 2:** Get the Lagrange function and dual function of the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b, x \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

**Solution:** To form the Lagrangian we introduce multipliers  $\lambda_i$  for the  $n$  inequality constraints and multiplier  $\nu_i$  for the equality constraints, and obtain

$$L(x, \lambda, \nu) = c^T x - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i + \nu^T (Ax - b) = -b^T \nu + (c + A^T \nu - \lambda)^T x$$

The dual function is

$$g(\lambda, \nu) = \inf L(x, \lambda, \nu) = -b^T \nu + \inf (c + A^T \nu - \lambda)^T x$$

which is easily determined analytically, since a linear function is bounded below only when it is identically zero. Thus,  $g(\lambda, \nu) = -\infty$  except when  $c + A^T \nu - \lambda = 0$ , in which case it is  $-b^T \nu$ :

$$g(\lambda, \nu) = \begin{cases} -b^T \nu & A^T \nu - \lambda + c = 0, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Note that the dual function  $g$  is finite only on a proper affine subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^p$ . We will see that this is a common occurrence. The lower bound property (5.2) is nontrivial only when  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$  satisfy  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $A^T \nu - \lambda + c = 0$ . When this occurs,  $-b^T \nu$  is a lower bound on the optimal value of the LP.

**Problem 3:** Get the optimal value of the following problem via KKT conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & (1/2)x^T Px + q^T x + r \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b, P \in S_+^n \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

**Solution:** The KKT conditions for this problem are

$$Ax^* = b, Px^* + q + A^T \nu^* = 0,$$

which we can write as

$$\begin{bmatrix} P & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^* \\ \nu^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -q \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

Solving this set of  $m + n$  equations in the  $m + n$  variables  $x^*, \nu^*$  gives the optimal primal and dual variables.

**Problem 4:** Get the optimal value of the max entropy problem via KKT conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log x_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax \leq b, \mathbf{1}^T x = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

**Solution:** The Lagrange function is

$$L(x, \lambda, \nu) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log x_i + \lambda^T (Ax - b) + \nu(\mathbf{1}^T x - 1)$$

By taking the derivative and setting it to zero:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i} = \log x_i + 1 + a_i^T \lambda + \nu = 0$$

Therefore,  $x_i = e^{-1-a_i^T \lambda - \nu}$ . Substitute  $x_i$  in  $g(\lambda, \nu)$

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda, \nu) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i(-\lambda^T a_i - \nu - 1) + \lambda^T (a_i^T x_i - b_i) + \nu x_i) - \nu \\ &= -b^T \lambda - \nu - e^{-\nu-1} \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-a_i^T \lambda} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The dual problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & -b^T \lambda - \nu - e^{-\nu-1} \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-a_i^T \lambda} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \lambda \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $a_i$  are the columns of  $A$ . We assume that the weak form of Slater's condition holds, i.e., there exists an  $x > 0$  with  $Ax \leq b$  and  $\mathbf{1}^T x = 1$ , so strong duality holds and an optimal solution  $(\lambda^*, \nu^*)$  exists. Suppose we have solved the dual problem. The Lagrangian at  $(\lambda^*, \nu^*)$  is

$$L(x, \lambda^*, \nu^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log x_i + \lambda^{*T} (Ax - b) + \nu^* (\mathbf{1}^T x - 1)$$

which is strictly convex on  $D$  and bounded below, so it has a unique solution  $x^*$ , given by

$$x_i^* = 1/\exp(a_i^T \lambda^* + \nu^* + 1), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

If  $x^*$  is primal feasible, it must be the optimal solution of the primal problem. If  $x^*$  is not primal feasible, then we can conclude that the primal optimum is not attained.

**Problem 5:** Get the optimal value of the water filling problem via KKT conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & - \sum_{i=1}^n \log(\alpha_i + x_i) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x \geq 0, \mathbf{1}^T x = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

**Solution:** This problem arises in information theory, in allocating power to a set of  $n$  communication channels. The variable  $x_i$  represents the transmitter power allocated to the  $i$ th channel, and  $\log(\alpha_i + x_i)$  gives the capacity or communication rate of the channel, so the problem is to allocate a total power of one to the channels, in order to maximize the total communication rate.

Introducing Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for the inequality constraints  $x^* \geq 0$ , and a multiplier  $\nu^* \in \mathbb{R}$  for the equality constraint  $\mathbf{1}^T x = 1$ , we obtain the KKT conditions

$$x^* \geq 0, \mathbf{1}^T x^* = 1, \lambda^* \geq 0, \lambda_i^* x_i^* = 0, i = 1, \dots, n, -1/(\alpha_i + x_i^*) - \lambda_i^* + \nu^* = 0, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

We can directly solve these equations to find  $x^*$ ,  $\lambda^*$ , and  $\nu^*$ . We start by noting that  $\lambda^*$  acts as a slack variable in the last equation, so it can be eliminated, leaving

$$x^* \geq 0, \mathbf{1}^T x^* = 1, x_i^*(\nu^* - 1/(\alpha_i + x_i^*)) = 0, i = 1, \dots, n, \nu^* \geq 1/(\alpha_i + x_i^*), i = 1, \dots, n.$$

If  $\nu^* < 1/\alpha_i$ , this last condition can only hold if  $x_i^* > 0$ , which by the third condition implies that  $\nu^* = 1/(\alpha_i + x_i^*)$ . Solving for  $x_i^*$ , we conclude that  $x_i^* = 1/\nu^* - \alpha_i$  if  $\nu^* < 1/\alpha_i$ . If  $\nu^* \geq 1/\alpha_i$ , then  $x_i^* > 0$  is impossible, because it would imply  $\nu^* \geq 1/\alpha_i > 1/(\alpha_i + x_i^*)$ , which violates the complementary slackness condition. Therefore,  $x_i^* = 0$  if  $\nu^* \geq 1/\alpha_i$ . Thus we have

$$x_i^* = \begin{cases} 1/\nu^* - \alpha_i & \nu^* < 1/\alpha_i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

or, put more simply,  $x_i^* = \max\{0, 1/\nu^* - \alpha_i\}$ . Substituting this expression for  $x_i^*$  into the condition  $\mathbf{1}^T x^* = 1$  we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \max\{0, 1/\nu^* - \alpha_i\} = 1.$$

The lefthand side is a piecewise-linear increasing function of  $1/\nu^*$ , with breakpoints at  $\alpha_i$ , so the equation has a unique solution which is readily determined.

This solution method is called water-filling for the following reason. We think of  $\alpha_i$  as the ground level above patch  $i$ , and then flood the region with water to a depth  $1/\nu$ . The total amount of water used is then  $\sum_{i=1}^n \max\{0, 1/\nu^* - \alpha_i\}$ . We then increase the flood level until we have used a total amount of water equal to one. The depth of water above patch  $i$  is then the optimal value  $x_i^*$ .

**Problem 6:** Get the optimal value of the following problem via KKT conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x_1 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 16 - (x_1 - 4)^2 - x_2^2 \geq 0 \\ & x_1^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2 - 4 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

**Solution:** The Lagrange function is

$$L(x, \lambda, \nu) = x_1 + \nu(x_1^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2 - 4) - \lambda(16 - (x_1 - 4)^2 - x_2^2),$$

Get the stationary condition:

$$1 + 2\nu x_1 + 2\lambda(x_1 - 4) = 0, 2\nu(x_2 - 2) + 2\lambda x_2 = 0.$$

Consider the complementary slackness  $\lambda = 0$  or  $16 - (x_1 - 4)^2 - x_2^2 = 0$ . If  $\lambda = 0$ , the stationary becomes

$$1 + 2\nu x_1 = 0, 2\nu(x_2 - 2) = 0. \quad (11)$$

From the first line, we can get  $\nu \neq 0$ , and therefore  $x_2 = 2$ . Taking the equality constraint that:

$$x_1^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2 - 4 = 0,$$

We can get  $x_1 = 2$ . The KKT point is  $(x_1, x_2, \lambda, \nu) = (2, 2, 0, -1/4)$ .

If  $16 - (x_1 - 4)^2 - x_2^2 = 0$  holds for the complementary slackness, combining  $x_1^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2 - 4 = 0$ , we can get two solutions  $(0, 0)$  and  $(8, 16)$  for  $(x_1, x_2)$ . Therefore, the KKT points can be  $(0, 0, 1/8, 0)$  and  $(8/5, 16/5, 3/40, -1/5)$ .

Considering the above KKT points, the optimal solution is  $(0, 0, 1/8, 0)$ .

**Problem 7:** Get the optimal value of the following problem via KKT conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0} \quad & e^{-x} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \frac{x^2}{y} \leq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

**Solution:** According to the constraints, it is easy to convert the origin problem as

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \quad & e^{-x} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The Lagrange function is then

$$L(x, \nu) = e^{-x} + \nu x$$

And the dual function is

$$g(\nu) = \inf_x (e^{-x} + \nu x) = \begin{cases} \nu - \nu \ln \nu & \nu > 0, \\ 0, & \nu = 0, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the dual problem is

$$\max_{\nu} \begin{cases} \nu - \nu \ln \nu & \nu > 0, \\ 0, & \nu = 0, \end{cases}$$

The optimal solution is achieved when  $\nu = 1$ . The duality gap is 0.

**Problem 8:** Get the dual problem of

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x^T W x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_i^2 = 1, i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

**Solution:** The Lagrange function is

$$L(x, \nu) = x^T W x + \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i (x_i^2 - 1) = x^T (W + \text{diag}(\nu)) x - 1^T \nu$$

The dual function is

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nu) &= \inf_x x^T (W + \text{diag}(\nu)) x - 1^T \nu \\ &= \begin{cases} -1^T \nu & W + \text{diag}(\nu) \succeq 0, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where we use the fact that the infimum of a quadratic form is either zero (if the form is positive semidefinite) or  $-\infty$  (if the form is not positive semidefinite).

This dual function provides lower bounds on the optimal value. For example, we can take the specific value of the dual variable  $\nu = -\lambda_{\min}(W)1$ , which is dual feasible, since  $W + \text{diag}(\nu) = W - \lambda_{\min}(W)I \succeq 0$ . This yields the bound on the optimal value  $p^*$ :  $p^* \geq -1^T \nu = n\lambda_{\min}(W)$ .

**Problem 9:** Get the conjugate function of

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

**Solution:** This problem has Lagrangian  $L(x, \nu) = f(x) + \nu^T x$ , and dual function

$$g(\nu) = \inf_x (f(x) + \nu^T x) = -\sup_x ((-\nu)^T x - f(x)) = -f^*(-\nu).$$

.

**Problem 10:** Get the dual problem of

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f_0(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax \leq b, Cx = d \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

**Solution:** Using the conjugate of  $f_0$  we can write the dual function for the problem as

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda, \nu) &= \inf_x (f_0(x) + \lambda^T (Ax - b) + \nu^T (Cx - d)) \\ &= -b^T \lambda - d^T \nu + \inf_x (f_0(x) + (A^T \lambda + C^T \nu)^T x) \\ &= -b^T \lambda - d^T \nu - f_0^*(-A^T \lambda - C^T \nu). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

The domain of  $g$  follows from the domain of  $f_0^*$ :

$$\text{dom } g = \{(\lambda, \nu) \mid -A^T \lambda - C^T \nu \in \text{dom } f_0^*\}.$$