Counting integer points in polyhedra

Siddharth Bhat

June 22nd, 2018

Definitions

- Polyhedra: $\left\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Q}^d \mid Ax \leq \vec{b}\right\}$
- Polytope: bounded polyhedra.
- Cone: $cone(\vec{u_i}) = \left\{\sum_i \lambda_i \vec{u_i} \mid \lambda_i \geq 0\right\}$, $\vec{u_i} \in \mathbb{Q}^d$
- Simple cone: $SK = cone(\vec{u_i})$, $\vec{u_i} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\vec{u_i}$ are linearly independent.
- Unimodular cone: $UK = cone(\vec{u_i})$, $Volume(\vec{u_i}) = 1$
- Line: subspace.

Pictures of defintions!

- polytope



- cone



- polyhedra

Example 1: valuation of line

- P is a polyhedra, then $\mathcal{F}([P]) = \sum_{\vec{m} \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} (x^{\vec{m}})$
- $\mathcal{F}([P])(\vec{1}) =$ number of points.

$$\mathcal{F}((-\infty, \infty)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} x^i$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{count}(x) &= \mathcal{F}((-\infty, \infty)) \\ &= \mathcal{F}((-\infty, 0]) + \mathcal{F}([0, \infty)) - \mathcal{F}(0) \\ &= (\ldots + x^{-2} + x^{-1} + x^0) + (x^0 + x^1 + x^2 + \ldots) - x^0 \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{x}} + \frac{1}{1 - x} - 1 \\ &= \frac{-x}{1 - x} + \frac{1}{1 - x} = \frac{1 - x}{1 - x} - 1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- number of points in a line is 0!

Example 2: valuation of interval



$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{count}(x) = \mathcal{F}([0,n]) &= \mathcal{F}([k,\infty)) + \mathcal{F}((-\infty,n]) - \mathcal{F}((\infty,infty)) \\
&= (x^k + x^{k+1} + \ldots) + \\
&(\ldots + x^{n-2} + x^{n-1} + x^n) + \\
&(\ldots + x^{-2} + x^{-1} + x^0 + x^1 + \ldots) \\
&= \frac{x^k}{1-x} + \frac{x^n}{1-x^{-1}} + 0 \\
&= \frac{x^k - x^{n+1}}{1-x} \\
\text{count}(1) = \text{L'hospital} &= (n+1) - k = n - k + 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof outline

- Algbra of polyhedra, $P(\mathbb{Q}^d)$
- $[\,] : \mathbb{Q}^d \to P(\mathbb{Q}^d)$
- Existence of $\mathcal{F} : P(\mathbb{Q}^d) \to \mathbb{C}(x)$, such that:
  - F is linear
  - P is a polyhedra, then $\mathcal{F}([P]) = \sum_{\vec{m} \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} (x^{\vec{m}})$
  - $\mathcal{F}([\text{line}]) = 0$ (important, allows modulo line decompositions)
- $\mathcal{F}(P)(1) =$ number of points in$P$
- reduction: F for cones gives full F
- reduction: F for simple cones gives F for cones

Caveats

- Do not understand subtleties of convergence arguments (how is evaluating at $\vec{1}$ correct?).
- No intuition for LLL, Lattice reduction.

Assuming $\mathcal{F}$ for cones, derive full $\mathcal{F}$: Part 1 (Polytopes)



FIGURE 66. A polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and a cone $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ based on P.

- Write polytope as intersection of hyperplane + cone.
- $\mathcal{F}(\text{polytope}) = (\frac{d}{dx_{d+1}}\mathcal{F}(\text{cone}))(\langle \vec{1}^d, 0 \rangle)$
- $\mathcal{F}(\text{cone}) = {x_{d+1}}^0(\ldots) + x_{d+1}(\texttt{POLYTOPE}) + {x_{d+1}}^2(\ldots) + \ldots$
- $\frac{d}{dx_d}\mathcal{F}(\text{cone}) = 0 + 0 \cdot (\ldots) + 1 \cdot \texttt{POLYTOPE} + 2x_{d+1}(\ldots) + \ldots$
- $\frac{d}{dx_d}\mathcal{F}(\text{cone})(\langle \vec{1}^d, 0 \rangle) = \texttt{POLYTOPE}(\vec{1}) + 2 \cdot 0 \cdot (\ldots) + \ldots$
- $\frac{d}{dx_d}\mathcal{F}(\text{cone})(\langle \vec{1}^d, 0 \rangle) = \texttt{POLYTOPE}(\vec{1})$

Assuming $\mathcal{F}$ for cones, derive full $\mathcal{F}$: Part 2 (Lines)

- Line = $\sum_{\text{dimension}}$ cone + cone - point.
- Since line can be translated:

$$\forall \vec{x} \in L, L = \vec{x} + L$$
$$\forall x \in L, \mathcal{F}(L) = \mathcal{F}(L) + \mathcal{F}(\vec{x})$$
$$\mathcal{F}(L) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{count}(x) &= \mathcal{F}((-\infty, \infty)) \\ &= (\ldots + x^{-2} + x^{-1} + x^0) + (x^0 + x^1 + x^2 + \ldots) - x^0 \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{x}} + \frac{1}{1 - x} - 1\end{aligned}$$

- In 1-d example, radius of convergence of left and right cone was 0
- Is this really well-defined? (what is this ring which admits $f(x) = \ldots + x^{-1} + x^0 + x^1 + \ldots$)

Assuming $\mathcal{F}$ for simple cone, derive for cone

- Simple cone: $SK = co(u_i) = \{\sum_i \lambda_i u_i | \lambda_i \geq 0\}$, $u_i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, u_i are linearly independent.
- Cone: $C = co(u_i)$, $u_i \in \mathbb{Q}^d$
- inclusion exclusion: decompose cone into simple cones.



$\mathcal{F}$ for simple cones: Part 1

- Consider the positive orthant in 3D: $P \subset \mathbb{Q}^3 = \left\{(x, y, z) \mid x, y, z \geq 0\right\}$
- $P = cone((1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1))$
- this is a simple cone, and here's how we count it:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}([P]) &= \sum_{i,j,k \in [0,\infty)} x^i y^j z^k \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^i \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} y^j \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{1-x} \cdot \frac{1}{1-y} \cdot \frac{1}{1-z}
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{F}$ for simple cones: Part 2

- General story is similar
- $SK = co(u_i)$, u_i linearly independent.
- Since u_i is linearly independent, some points $\vec{x} \in cone(u_i)$ have unique representation $\vec{x} = \sum_i \lambda_i u_i$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$
- fundamental paralellopiped (Π) will tile the plane.
- We can count the $\vec{x}$, and make $\vec{x}$ responsible for the "tile" of skipped points.



$$\mathcal{F}(SK) = \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\vec{p} \in \Pi \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} x^{\vec{p}} \right)}_{\text{per-tile points}} \underbrace{\prod_i \frac{1}{1 - x^{u_i}}}_{\text{tile starting point } \vec{x}}$$

Performance - How?

- Write simple cone as sum of unimodular cones:

$$[K] = \sum_i \alpha_i [K_i] + \text{lower dimesnional cones}$$

- We concentrate on \$$\sum_i \alpha_i$ [K_i]

$$\alpha_i \in \{-1, 1\} \text{ and } K_i \text{ are unimodular.}$$

Unimodular decomposition of a simple cone K: Part 1



Unimodular decomposition of simple cone K: Part 2

- $Index(K) = Volume(\Pi(K))$

$Index(K) = 1 \leftrightarrow$ K is unimodular. $Index(K)$ is a measure of non-unimodularity.

- Introduce procedure which takes **polynomial steps** to **reduce Index(K)**
- Let $K = cone(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d)$, $u_i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, u_i are linearly independent.
- High level idea:
  - Pick a non-zero integer point p in K (why does this integer point exist?)
    - Use minkowski convex body theorem: large enough symmetric object centered at origin will have a non zero integer point.
  - create d new "potential basis sets", $\texttt{PotentialBasis}_j = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d\} \setminus \{u_j\} \cup \{p\}$
  - make $\text{Basis}_j = \texttt{LLL}(\texttt{PotentialBasis}_j)$ (+ ellided details)
  - make new cones, $K_i = cone(\texttt{Basis}_j)$ and show that $Index(K_i) < Index(K)$
  - $K = \sum \alpha_i K_i +$ faces of K_i
  - show that $Index(K_i)$ reduces by a large enough factor that poly rounds are enough to reduce to 1

Polar trick

- Polar:

$$P^\circ = \left\{ \vec{y} \in \mathbb{Q}^d : \forall \vec{p} \in P,\ \vec{p} \cdot \vec{y} \ \geq 0 \right\}$$



- Lower dimensional cones do not matter. First take $[K^\circ]$, then compue unimodular decomposition of this:

$$[K^\circ] = \sum_i \alpha_i [K_i] + \text{lower dimensional cones}$$

$$[(K^\circ)^\circ] = [K] = \sum_i \alpha_i K_i + \text{cones with lines}$$

$$\mathcal{F}([K]) = \sum_i \alpha_i \mathcal{F}(K_i) + \mathcal{F}(\text{cones with lines}) = \sum_i \alpha_i \mathcal{F}(K_i) + 0$$

References

- Lattice Points, Polyhedra, and Complexity: Alexander Barvinok
- Integer points in polyhedra: Alexander Barvinok

Thanks!

Questions?

Assuming $\mathcal{F}$ for cones, derive full $\mathcal{F}$: Part 1.2 (Polytopes)



FIGURE 36. Representing the interior of a polytope as the sum of the interiors of its tangent cones at the vertices modulo polyhedra with lines.