Implementing matrix operations for a subclass of block matrices

Siddharth Bhat <siddu.druid@gmail.com> github.com/bollu

August 16, 2018

1 Introduction

We study the problem of implementing specialized operations for matrix multiplication and matrix inversion on a subclass of matrices.

These matrices are parametrized by two variables, henceforth denoted by S, and D. Such matrices live in the space of $\mathbb{R}^{BD \times BD}$. They consist of non-overlapping diagonal blocks of size $D \times D$, arranged in a grid of S^2 such blocks. An example of such a matrix is below:

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} & \dots & D_{1S} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ D_{S1} & D_{S2} & \dots & D_{SS} \end{bmatrix}$$

This parametrization will be dubbed the **character** (S, D) of the matrix. S for "size", and D for "diagonal".

If we set D=1, S=*, we regain the usual matrices that we use, of dimension $S\times S$. Similarly, if we set D=*, S=1, we regain diagonal matrices of dimension $D\times D$. These two extreme cases will be used repeatedly to sanity check our formulas, and make for useful examples to look back at

After all, to quote Paul Halmos, - "... the source of all great mathematics is the special case, the concrete example. It is frequent in mathematics that every instance of a concept of seemingly great generality is in essence the same as a small and concrete special case."

2 Matrix representation

Note that we only need to store the diagonal elements of all the blocks of any given matrix. Therefore, in our representation, we choose to save the diagonal elements per-block, giving us a representation of the matrix as a collection of $S \times S \times D$ numbers, which are indexed as: $\langle \text{row block index, column block index, diagonal index} \rangle = \langle \text{r, c, d} \rangle$

The amount of space required per block will be $\mathcal{O}(D)$. Since the total number of blocks is S^2 , the total space complexity of this matrix will be $\mathcal{O}(S^2D)$. This is better than storing an entire $S \times D$ -dimensional matrix which will work out to $\mathcal{O}((S \times D)^2) = \mathcal{O}(S^2D^2)$. We save space by a factor of D.

3 Matrix multiplication

3.1 Matrcies that have the same (S, D) character

Here, we consider multiplying two matrices which have identical D, S. This will be used as a stepping stone in the theory of general matrix multiplication. This is also more faithful to how I understood the problem, so I choose to perform the exposition along a similar path.

3.1.1 A quick aside: Block multiplication

When we are multiplying matrices, we can multiply them as blocks - that is, this formula holds:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}B_{11} + A_{12}B_{21} & A_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}B_{22} \\ A_{21}B_{11} + A_{22}B_{21} & A_{21}B_{12} + A_{22}B_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where A_{ij} , B_{ij} are blocks of the original matrix. This also generalises to any number of blocks we wish to choose. The rough proof is that to compute an element of the output matrix, c_{ij} , we compute it as $c_{ij} = \sum_k a_{ik}b_{kj}$. Thinking computationally, the computation of matrix C only ever reads from A and B. Thus, we can perform the computation of elements of C in any order we want, and computing per-block is a perfectly valid choice.

So now, if we try to analyze how to compute C_{11} , we realize the that the computation of elements of C_{11} can be factored as shown above. A similar argument holds for all blocks of C.

3.1.2 Exploiting block multiplication

Now that we know that block multiplication is possible, an immediate solution arises — we already have blocks of size $D \times D$, which are extremely easy to multiply (since they are diagonal matrices). Hence, we block the two input matrices A and B into their diagonal blocks (they are both of the same character (S, D)).

This leads us to the algorithm (all code will be in python-like pseudocode)

Time Complexity: Clearly (from the for-loops in the code), the time complexity of this algorithm works out to $\mathcal{O}(S^3D)$, which in comparison to the naive $\mathcal{O}((S \times D)^3) = \mathcal{O}(S^3D^3)$ saves us a factor of D^2 .

3.2 Matrices with different (S, D) character

Let us consider trying to multiply matrices of different (S, D) characters. Let A have character (S1, D1), and B be (S2, D2). Since these are square matrices, we can arrive at the condition that $S1 \times D1 = S2 \times D2$. However, once we have analyzed this, we need some insight: Will the resultant always be a (S', D') matrix? If so, why? And how do we compute the resultant (S', D') values?

Let's try some examples. We already know that if S1 = S2, D1 = D2, then S1' = S1 = S2, D' = D1 = D2.

The other example we can take is that of (S1, D1) = (1, N) and (S2, D2) = (N, 1). In this case, A is a diagonal matrix, and B is a "normal" matrix. We know the result is another normal matrix, so (S' = N, D' = 1).

We tentatively conjecture that something along the lines of gcd or 1cm should be involved in this process, since we need to find a new blocking size D', which would need to properly divide D1, D2 intuitively for our arguments to carry over.

I ran some experiments at this point and experimentally verified that D' = gcd(D1, D2) is the correct relation. This fits with our previous examples as well. Once I had this, I began looking for an explanation. Note that we can block the original matrix A into blocks of size D', since

D' divides D1. Simiarly, we can block B as well into blocks of size D', since D' also divides D2.

This is a legal blocking, since the original blocks D1 get subdivided into blocks D' which **still** only have elements on the diagonal. Similarly, the "empty" blocks that are created from D1 in D' are still legal blocks, just with diagonal $\langle 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \rangle$.

Add image example

We have now reduced the original problem of matrix multiplication into the original block matrix multiplication of matrices of the same character, (S', D').

Time Complexity: We know that D' = gcd(D1, D2). We also know that $S' \times D' = S1 \times D1$. We can therefore derive that $S' = (S1 \times D1)/D' = (S1 \times D1)/gcd(D1, D2)$.

The time complexity works out to $\mathcal{O}(S'^3D')$ from the previous result.

We can sanity check it by plugging in (S1 = 1, D1 = N), (S2 = N, D2 = 1), which gives us (D' = 1, S' = N), which brings us back to $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$, as expected.

4 Matrix inversion

We now look at how to perform matrix inversion. Once again, we try to observe a small system and generalize. Consider a matrix of the character (S = 2, D = 2).

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & p & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & q \\ \hline c & 0 & r & 0 \\ 0 & d & 0 & s \end{bmatrix}$$

On making this matrix act on an arbitrary vector, we recieve:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & p & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & q \\ \hline c & 0 & r & 0 \\ 0 & d & 0 & s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a\alpha + p\gamma \\ b\beta + q\delta \\ c\alpha + r\gamma \\ d\beta + s\delta \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice the action of the linear transform on the vector - in essence, the first and third coordinates of the vector are modified by the first and third rows of the matrix, and similarly for the second and fourth.

Hence, we can factor the matrix into two matrices as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \gamma \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & p \\ c & r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a\alpha + p\gamma \\ c\alpha + r\gamma \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b & q \\ d & s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b\beta + q\delta \\ d\beta + s\delta \end{bmatrix}$$

This suggests that we can solve smaller sets of independent linear equations, whose solutions we can combine to solve the larger equation. We need to solve the independent linear equations, and then combine them together by "scattering" the solutions correctly. This is implemented in matrix.h:invDiagMatrix, along with an implementation of the Gauss-Jordan algorithm (matrix.h:invRawMatrixOurs) to perform the actual inversion of the smaller system of equations.

```
def invDiagMatrix(m):
"""invert an (S, D) matrix. If the matrix is non-invertible, returns None"""
    (S, D) = matdiagdim(m)
    out = zeroDiagMatrix()
    # for every D, we get BxB solutions to solve
    for d in range(D):
        # subproblem is a SxS matrix
        subproblem = matnormal(S, S)
        # gather subproblem
        for i in range(D):
            for j in range(D):
                subproblem[i][j] = m.blocks[i][j][d];
        subsoln = invMatrix(subproblem)
        # system was non-invertible
        if (subsoln is None): return None
        # scatter subsolution
        for i in range(D):
            for j in range(D):
                out[i][j][d] = subsoln[i][j];
    # return the final collected system
    return out
```

Time Complexity: The time complexity of this is $\mathcal{O}((\text{invert } S \times S \text{ matrix}) \times D)$. Since I implemented matrix inversion using Gauss-Jordan, this works out to $\mathcal{O}(S^3 \times D)$. This in contrast to the naive $\mathcal{O}(\text{invert } SD \times SD \text{ matrix}) = \mathcal{O}(S^3D^3)$ saves us a factor of D^2 .

Once again, as a quick sanity check, plugging in D=1 recovers us $\mathcal{O}(S^3)$, which is what we would expect to invert a general matrix. And similarly, plugging in S=1 lets us recover $\mathcal{O}(D)$, which is what we would hope for to invert a diagonal matrix.

5 Closing thoughts

I didn't try very hard to push the boundaries of what's possible, in terms of matrix multiplication. I believe it's totally possible, by using some kind of strassen-like strategy combined with the shown optimisation once the matrices get small enough to fit in cache.

I've also *completely* ignored things that matter in the real world like memory latency and cache sizes — for example, coming up with a good matmul algorithm is non-trivial. One of the best matmul algorithms I know of, goto's algorithm depends on non-trivial observations about the cache hierarchy, and a careful choice of blocking to make sure data can be streamed effectively by the processor, and to ensure that data lies in the cache hierarchy. I choose to ignore the real

world to focus on the asymptotics here, but this is a very important part of writing real world code.

In general, I enjoyed thinking about the assignment. I'm not fully happy with my proof of matrix multiplication in the general case. I wanted a nicer proof, but I suppose sometimes one has to settle. I do strongly resonate with Hardy's "Beauty is the first test: there is no permanent place in the world for ugly mathematics."