# Generating Acrostics via Paraphrasing and Heuristic Search — Final Presentation

Bruno Soares Fillmann Fernando Bombardelli da Silva Jürgen Bauer William Bombardelli da Silva

Technische Universität Berlin Datenbanksysteme und Informationsmanagement DBPRO – Database Projects (WS 2014/2015)

09.02.2015



# Organization

- 1 Goals
- 2 Subtasks
- Method
- **Difficulties**
- 5 Unreached Goals
- 6 Example
- 7 Results
- References



# Goal of the Project

Goals

**Goal:** Implement the methods and techniques to generate acrostics for the German language as described in the paper *Generating Acrostics via Paraphrasing and Heuristic Search* of Benno Stein, Matthias Hagen, and Christof Bräutigam, published in August 2014 [1].



Subtasks Method Difficulties Unreached Goals Example Results References

# Subtasks of the original goal

- Understand the paper and the method
- Design an architecture for the application
- Collect comparable German text corpora, statistics and libraries (TEX Hyphenation, NetSpeakAPI, Thesaurus, etc.)
- Implement the most promising paraphrasing operators (line break, hyphenation, wrong hyphenation, word insertion/deletion, synonym, spelling)
- Implement the A\*-algorithm
- Evaluate the results



# How have we reached the goals?

- UML-diagram for the application
- Sequence diagram for the *A\**-algorithm
- Developed the application in Java 8 with Netbeans
- Used various libraries, web services and databases to implement the operators
- Implemented a test application for evaluating the results, with a timeout after 15 min



### Which difficulties did occur?

- Synonym operation only inserts synonyms without considering the context (Google N-Gram)
- Lack of powerful grammatical operator
- NetSpeakAPI doesn't yield many results for the German language
- NetSpeakAPI's requests to the web server takes much time
- The empirical choice of costs is not optimal for the search



#### Which difficulties did occur?

Goals

- Organization of the input text as line:
  - More than one letter of the acrostic can be generated at a time, not following the theoretical framework of the A\*-algorithm as described in the paper.
  - Recommendation: view the text as one single line and apply line break only to generate a letter. When the acrostic is generated, break the lines according to the constraints.



References

Subtasks Method Difficulties Unreached Goals Example Results References

### **Unreached Goals**

- Only the most promising operators were implemented.
- No effective operator to generate the first letter of the acrostic.
- No operator **not** mentioned in the paper was coded.
- No local databases for *n-gram* were implemented.
- No sophisticated quality measures were implemented.



# Example

#### Original Text: 1

Billig nach Hamburg. 24/7 taxidienst bietet ihnen kostengünstige und zuverlässige verkehr aus Kiel. Mit erfahrung in der branche wir garantieren die niedrigsten preis nach Hamburg. Gleiche preis gilt auch wenn sie taxi aus Kiel stadt nach Hamburg nehmen.

#### Final Text:

B illig nach Hamburg. 24/7 taxidienst bietet ihnen kostengünstige u nd zuverlässige verkehr aus Kiel. Mit erfahrung in der branc he wir garantieren ihnen die niedrigsten preis nach H amburg. Gleiche preis gilt auch wenn sie taxi aus Kiel stadt nach Hamburg nehmen.

#### Results — Evaluation

- 100 Texts.
- 4 different ways.
  - $A^* + Self$ -referential: Regular  $A^*$  as the algorithm and the first word of the text as the acrostic.
  - $A^* + Most Common$ : Regular  $A^*$  as the algorithm and the most common word in German, that starts with the first letter of the text, as the acrostic.
  - Greedy  $A^* + Self$ -referential: Greedy  $A^*$  (ignore costs of operations) as the algorithm and the first word of the text as the acrostic.
  - Greedy  $A^*$  + Most Common: Greedy  $A^*$  as the algorithm and the most common word in German, that starts with the first letter of the text, as the acrostic.



#### Results

Configuration	Success Rate	Success Run- time	Nodes When Success	Timeout Rate	Nodes When Time- out
A* + Self- referential	37,62%	31,97 s	46112,82	62,38%	675794,78
A* + Most Common	64,36%	29,74 s	52547,68	35,64%	568845,14
Greedy A* + Self-referential	60,40%	30,64 s	24033,31	39,60%	488660,52
Greedy A* + Most Common	76,24%	9,40 s	24639,19	23,76%	304303,41

Table: Experimental results



# Results — Frequency of the operators

Operation	A*	Greedy A*
LineBreak	23,74%	14,29%
WrongHyphenation	39,39%	31,63%
Hyphenation	5,05%	0,34%
WordInsertionDeletion	0,51%	0,34%
Synonym	29,80%	45,58%
WrongSpelling	1,52%	7,82%

Table: Operations employment frequency



Subtasks Method Difficulties Unreached Goals Example Results **References** 

#### References



Benno Stein, Matthias Hagen, and Christof Bräutigam. *Generating Acrostics via Paraphrasing and Heuristic Search*.

In Junichi Tsujii and Jan Hajic, editors, 25th International Conference on Computational Linguistics (COLING 14), pages 2018-2029, August 2014. Association for Computational Linguistics.



Martin Potthast, Martin Trenkmann, and Benno Stein. Netspeak: Assisting Writers in Choosing Words.

In Cathal Gurrin et al, editors, Advances in Information Retrieval. 32nd European Conference on Information Retrieval (ECIR 10) volume 5993 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 672, Berlin Heidelberg New York, March 2010. Springer.



Private Communication with Rainer Perkuhn, Institut für Deutsche Sprache Programmbereich Korpuslinguistik, via Email, in Dezember 2014. He send us two files of an old study of Cyril Belica, including the first letter frequencies.



Dechter, Rina; Pearl, Judea. *Generalized best-first search strategies and the optimality of A\**. Journal of the ACM (JACM), Volume 32 Issue 3, Pages 505-536, New York, July 1985.



# Questions?

