

Poisson Tucker Decomposition version of the Interaction-pattern Partitioned Topic Model

Bomin Kim

June 14, 2018

1 Generative Process

To maintain single interaction pattern assignments (instead of admixture form which adds huge complexity in network history calculations), we assume each document $d \in [D]$ draws an interaction pattern c_d as below:

$$c_d \sim \text{Multinomial}(\frac{\psi_1}{\sum_c \psi_c}, \dots, \frac{\psi_C}{\sum_c \psi_c}), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\psi_c \sim \Gamma(\frac{\gamma_0}{C}, \xi). \quad (2)$$

Next, we model the contents using Poisson Tucker Decomposition of Schein et al. (2016). First, each document $d \in [D]$ has Gamma weights

$$\pi_d \sim \Gamma(a, b). \quad (3)$$

Next, each interaction pattern $c \in [C]$ has the IP-specific topic distribution

$$\theta_{ck} \sim \Gamma(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0), \quad (4)$$

and each topic $k \in [K]$ has the topic-word distribution

$$\phi_{kv} \sim \Gamma(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0). \quad (5)$$

Then, the number of tokens of type v in document d is

$$w_{dv} \sim \text{Poisson}(\pi_d \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{k=1}^K I_{dc} \theta_{ck} \phi_{kv}), \quad (6)$$

where I_{dc} is an indicator for $I(c = c_d)$. Note that Equation (6) above is identical to $w_{dv} \sim \text{Poisson}(\pi_d \sum_{k=1}^K \theta_{c_d k} \phi_{kv})$. Also note that $\mathbf{w}_d = (w_{d1}, \dots, w_{dV})$ is a very sparse vector with $\text{sum}(\mathbf{w}_d) = N_d$.

2 Derivation

We first derive the sampling equation of π , θ and ϕ , respectively. First, we update π_d as below.

$$\pi_d | \text{rest} \sim \text{Gamma}(a + \mathbf{w}_{dc}, b + \sum_{v=1}^V \theta_{ck} \phi_{kv}), \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{w}_{dc} = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{v=1}^V w_{dvck}$ with $w_{dvck} \sim \text{Multinomial}(w_{dv}, \pi_{dc} \theta_{ck} \phi_{kv})$.

$$\theta_{ck} | \text{rest} \sim \text{Gamma}(\epsilon_0 + \mathbf{w}_{ck}, \epsilon_0 + \sum_{d=1}^D \pi_{dc} \sum_{v=1}^V \phi_{kv}), \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{w}_{ck} = \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{v=1}^V w_{dvck}$ with $w_{dvck} \sim \text{Multinomial}(w_{dv}, \pi_{dc} \theta_{ck} \phi_{kv})$.

$$\phi_{kv} | \text{rest} \sim \text{Gamma}(\epsilon_0 + \mathbf{w}_{kv}, \epsilon_0 + \sum_{d=1}^D \pi_{dc} \theta_{ck}), \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{w}_{kv} = \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{c=1}^C w_{dvck}$ with $w_{dvck} \sim \text{Multinomial}(w_{dv}, \pi_{dc} \theta_{ck} \phi_{kv})$.

Then, we need to use Gibbs update of c_d

$$\text{Pr}(c_d = c | \text{rest}) = ? \quad (10)$$