# A Network Model for Dynamic Textual Communications with Application to Government Email Corpora

Bomin Kim<sup>1</sup>, Aaron Schein<sup>3</sup>, Bruce Desmarais<sup>1</sup>, and Hanna Wallach<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Pennsylvania State University <sup>2</sup>Microsoft Research NYC <sup>3</sup>University of Massachusetts Amherst

May 30, 2017

# 1 Tie Generating Process

We assume the following generative process for each document d in a corpus D:

1. For each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , implement rank ordering of the possible receivers  $j \in \mathcal{A}_{\backslash i}$ :

$$R_i^{(d)} = \operatorname{rank}(\{\lambda_{ij}^{(d)} + \epsilon_{ij}\}_{j \in \mathcal{A}_{\setminus i}}), \tag{1}$$

where  $\epsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$ .

2. Choose the number of recipients (cutoff point)

$$C_i^{(d)} \sim \text{zero-truncated Binomial}(A - 1, \delta_i),$$
 (2)

where A-1 comes from excluding the sender himself as a possible receiver (self-loop) and  $\delta_i$  is the sender-specific probability of success. For example, we can use R function

library(actuar)
C\_i = rztbinom(n = 1, size = 3, prob = 0.1)

3. For each  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , set the latent receivers with indicator vector  $J_i^{(d)}$ , by

$$J_i^{(d)} = \{ I(R_{ij}^{(d)} \le C_i^{(d)}) \}_{j \in \mathcal{A}_{\setminus i}}$$
(3)

3. For every sender  $i \in \mathcal{A}$ , generate the time increments

$$\Delta T_{i,I_i}^{(d)} \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_{i,I_i}^{(d)}),\tag{4}$$

where  $\lambda_{iJ_i}^{(d)}(t) = \sum_{c=1}^{C} p_c^{(d)} \cdot \exp \left\{ \lambda_0^{(c)} + \frac{1}{|J_i|} \sum_{j \in J_i} \boldsymbol{b}^{(c)T} \boldsymbol{x}_t^{(c)}(i,j) \right\} \cdot \prod_{j \in J_i} 1\{j \in \mathcal{A}_{\backslash i}\}.$ 

4. Set timestamp, sender, and receivers simultaneously (NOTE:  $t^{(0)} = 0$ ):

$$t^{(d)} = t^{(d-1)} + \min(\Delta T_{iJ_i}),$$
  

$$i^{(d)} = i_{\min(\Delta T_{iJ_i})},$$
  

$$J^{(d)} = J_{i^{(d)}}.$$
(5)

# 2 Inference

$$\begin{split} &P(\mathcal{R}^{(d)},\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{a}}^{(d)},\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}^{(d)},i_{0}^{(d)},l_{0}^{(d)},t_{0}^{(d)}|\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{c}}^{(\Delta T_{i_{o}}^{(d)}_{J_{o}^{(d)}}\Big)\\ &=\Big(\prod_{i\in\mathcal{A}}\binom{A-1}{R_{i}^{(d)}}\frac{\delta_{i}^{R_{i}^{(d)}}(1-\delta_{i})^{A-1-R_{i}^{(d)}}}{1-(1-\delta_{i})^{A-1}}\Big)\times\Big(\prod_{i\in\mathcal{A}_{\backslash i_{o}}^{(d)}}\text{dMWNCHypergeo}\Big(J_{i}^{(d)};\mathbf{1}_{A-1},R_{i}^{(d)},\{\lambda_{ij}^{(d)}\}_{j\in\mathcal{A}_{\backslash i}}\Big)\Big)\\ &\times\Big(\prod_{i\in\mathcal{A}}\lambda_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)}e^{-\Delta T_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)}\lambda_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)}}\Big)\times\Big(\prod_{i\in\mathcal{A}_{\backslash i_{o}}^{(d)}}e^{-\Delta T_{i_{o}}^{(d)}J_{o}^{(d)}\lambda_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)}}\Big), \end{split}$$

with the probability mass function of dMWNCHypergeo with  $m=\mathbf{1}_{A-1}$  given as

dMWNCHypergeo(
$$\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{m} = \mathbf{1}_{A-1}, n = R_i^{(d)}, \boldsymbol{\omega} = \{\lambda_{ij}^{(d)}\}_{j \in \mathcal{A}_{\backslash i}}\} = \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^{A-1} (1 - t^{\omega_i/d})^{x_i} dt,$$

where  $d = \sum_{i=1}^{A-1} \omega_i(1-x_i)$ ,  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is the (A-1) length vector indicating the receivers,  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_1, ..., \omega_{A-1})$  is the weight or odds of each receiver to be chosen, and  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{A-1} x_i$  is the total number of receivers chosen.

We can simplify this further by integreting out the latent time  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}^{(d)} = \{\Delta T_{iJ_i}^{(d)}\}_{i \in \mathcal{A}_{\setminus i_o^{(d)}}}$  in the last two terms:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i \in \mathcal{A}_{\backslash i_{o}^{(d)}}} \lambda_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)} e^{-(\Delta T_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)} + \Delta T_{i_{o}^{(d)}}^{(d)} J_{o}^{(d)}) \lambda_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)}} \right) d\Delta T_{1J_{1}}^{(d)} \cdots d\Delta T_{AJ_{A}}^{(d)}$$

$$= \prod_{i \in \mathcal{A}_{\backslash i_{o}^{(d)}}} e^{-\Delta T_{i_{o}^{(d)} J_{o}^{(d)}}^{(d)} \lambda_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)}} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)} e^{-\Delta T_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)} \lambda_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)}} d\Delta T_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)} \right)$$

$$= \prod_{i \in \mathcal{A}_{\backslash i_{o}^{(d)}}} e^{-\Delta T_{i_{o}^{(d)} J_{o}^{(d)}}^{(d)} \lambda_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)}} \left( \left[ -e^{-\Delta T_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)} \lambda_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)}} \right]_{\Delta T_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)} = 0}^{\infty} \right)$$

$$= e^{-\Delta T_{i_{o}^{(d)} J_{o}^{(d)}}^{(d)} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}_{\backslash i_{o}^{(d)}}} \lambda_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)}} \lambda_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)}$$

$$= e^{-\Delta T_{i_{o}^{(d)} J_{o}^{(d)}}^{(d)} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}_{\backslash i_{o}^{(d)}}} \lambda_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)}}$$

$$= e^{-\Delta T_{i_{o}^{(d)} J_{o}^{(d)}}^{(d)} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}_{\backslash i_{o}^{(d)}}} \lambda_{iJ_{i}}^{(d)}}$$

where  $\Delta T^{(d)}_{i_o^{(d)}J_o^{(d)}}$  is the observed time difference between  $d^{th}$  and  $(d-1)^{th}$  document (i.e.  $t^{(d)}-t^{(d-1)}$ ). Therefore, we can simplify Equation (5) as below:

$$\begin{split} &P(\mathcal{R}^{(d)},\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{a}}^{(d)},i_{0}^{(d)},J_{0}^{(d)},t_{0}^{(d)}|\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{0}}^{($$

where this joint distribution can be interpreted as 'probability of choosing the number of recipients from zero-truncated Binomial distribution  $\times$  probability of choosing the latent receivers from Wallenius' noncentral hypergeometric distribution  $\times$  probability of the observed time comes from Exponential distribution  $\times$  probability of all latent time greater than the observed time, given that the latent time also come from Exponential distribution.'

# 2.1 Inference on $\delta$

We can assign Beta prior on each  $\delta_i$ . Does Beta-Binomial conjucacy still hold for zero-truncated Binomial case? I don't think so... (due to the additional denominator) should we use M-H instead?

# 2.2 Inference on the augmented data $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{a}}$

Given the observed sender of the document  $i_o^{(d)}$ , we sample the latent receivers for each sender  $i \in \mathcal{A}_{\backslash i_o^{(d)}}$ . Here we illustrate how each sender-receiver pair in the document d is updated.

Define  $J_i^{(d)}$  be the (A-1) length vector of indicators (0/1) representing the latent receivers corresponding to the sender i in the document d. For each sender i, we are going to resample the receiver vector  $J_i^{(d)}$ , one at a time. For a latent sender  $i \in \mathcal{A}_{\backslash i^{(d)}}$ , we derive the conditional probability:

$$P(\mathcal{J}_{i}^{(d)} = J_{i}^{(d)} | \mathcal{R}^{(d)}, i_{0}^{(d)}, J_{0}^{(d)}, t_{0}^{(d)}, \mathcal{I}_{0}^{(

$$\propto P(\mathcal{J}_{i}^{(d)} = J_{i}^{(d)}, i_{0}^{(d)}, J_{0}^{(d)}, t_{0}^{(d)} | \mathcal{R}^{(d)}, \mathcal{I}_{0}^{(

$$\propto \left( \int_{0}^{1} \prod_{j=1}^{A-1} (1 - t^{\lambda_{ij}^{(d)} / \sum_{j=1}^{A-1} \lambda_{ij}^{(d)} (1 - J_{ij}^{(d)})})^{J_{ij}^{(d)}} dt \right) \times \left( e^{-\Delta T_{i_{0}^{(d)} J_{0}^{(d)}}^{(d)} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}_{\backslash i_{0}^{(d)}}} \lambda_{i_{0}^{(d)}}^{(d)}} \right), \tag{9}$$$$$$

where we replace typical use of (-d) to (< d) on the right hand side of the conditional probability, due to the fact that  $d^{(th)}$  document only depends on the past documents, not on the future ones.

No idea how to choose the proposal distribution for the indicator vector  $J_i^{(d)}$ . Possibly sample each element  $J_{ij}^{(d)}$  as we did before, using M-H sampling with the choice of univariate Wallenius' distribution as the proposal density which relies on approximation (Fog, 2008).

## 2.3 Inference on $\mathcal{Z}$

same as before but edge probability part changed to  $\left(\prod_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \text{dMWNCHypergeo}\left(J_i^{(d)}; \mathbf{1}_{A-1}, R_i^{(d)}, \{\lambda_{ij}^{(d)}\}_{j \in \mathcal{A}_{\backslash i}}\right)\right)$ 

## 2.4 Inference on $\mathcal{C}$

same as before but edge probability part changed to  $\left(\prod_{i\in\mathcal{A}} \text{dMWNCHypergeo}\left(J_i^{(d)}; \mathbf{1}_{A-1}, R_i^{(d)}, \{\lambda_{ij}^{(d)}\}_{j\in\mathcal{A}_{\backslash i}}\right)\right)$ 

#### 2.5 Inference on $\mathcal{B}$

same as before but edge probability part changed to  $\left(\prod_{i\in\mathcal{A}} \text{dMWNCHypergeo}\left(J_i^{(d)}; \mathbf{1}_{A-1}, R_i^{(d)}, \{\lambda_{ij}^{(d)}\}_{j\in\mathcal{A}_{\backslash i}}\right)\right)$