Feedback on Project One HG2051

Francis Bond

NTU

2021-10-28

Data

	Synsets No.	Score	Lemmas No.	Score
All	5,282	-0.025	6,017	-0.025
Non-Zero	2,189	-0.059	2,416	-0.063
Positive	1,000	+0.341	1,099	+0.354
Negative	1,189	-0.396	1,317	-0.411

Table: Sentiment Data

- ▶ Show how much data we have, as well the scores
- Only show a reasonable number of significant figures
- Weird that there were fewer lemmas than synsets as synsets can have multiple lemmas! turns out there is a bug in nltk.wordnet
- !!! I will give numbers ignoring the bug then fix it and give you new numbers



Synonyms

Synsets	Total	Score
All	1077	0.175
Non-zero	349	0.2626

- ► Higher than expected
- Some clearly weird values: Synset('paroxysm.n.01') paroxysm = -0.5, fit = 0.34, convulsion, -0.34 Synset('free_will.n.01') free will = 0.34, discretion = -0.34
- ▶ Need to look at the corpus and/or wordnet

Synonyms I

loop through each lemma, look for the synset, check if we have already done it

```
ss = 1.synset()
    if ss.name() in known:
        continue
    else:
        known.add(ss.name())

Look for the lemmas that have sentiment
### lemmas with sentiment
if nonzero:
```

```
lems = [ll for ll in ss.lemmas() \
    if (ll in lsnt) and lsnt[ll] !=0]
```

else:

lems = [ll for ll in ss.lemmas() if ll in lsnt]

Synonyms II

Find the difference for each pair

```
if len(lems) > 1:
  for 11 in lems:
       for 12 in lems:
           ## this makes sure we only compare once
           if 11 > 12.
               sdiff.append(abs(lsnt[l1]-lsnt[l2]))
  diffs.append(np.mean(sdiff))
  ### print pairs with a big difference
   if np.mean(sdiff) > .5:
       print(ss, np.mean(sdiff),
             [(x, lsnt[x]) for x in lems])
```

Synset based relations

	All	Score	Non-Zero	Score
similar	331	+0.179	131	+0.219
hyponym	371	+0.145	72	+0.259
holo location	0	+nan	0	+nan
holo member	8	+0.003	0	+nan
holo part	87	+0.058	2	+0.350
holo portion	0	+nan	0	+nan
holo substance	2	+0.000	0	+nan
holonym	0	+nan	0	+nan
entails	23	+0.107	1	+0.283
causes	16	+0.184	4	+0.254

- ► None of them are very close
- ▶ Similar is most close, still some possible issues

Synset based relations discussion

- white "being of the achromatic color of maximum lightness; having little or no hue owing to reflection of almost all incident light" was given a negative score even though it appears to be neutral in meaning. It is possible that the sense white "anemic looking from illness or emotion" should have been the correct tag.¹
- Similarly for serious it is likely that "of great consequence" and "causing fear or anxiety by threatening great harm" were confused.
- Sometimes the error may be in the structure of wordnet itself. For example **proud** only has a single meaning, even though many lexicons distinguish more: e.g. from wiktionary "Feeling honoured (by something); feeling happy or satisfied about an event or fact; gratified" vs "Having too high an opinion of oneself; arrogant, supercilious".

¹It was His dark eyes, glaring out of the white mask of his face, were full of horror and astonishment as he gazed from Sir Henry to me. ⊘ → ↓ □ → ↓

Lemma based relations

	All	Score	Non-Zero	Score
antonym	125	+0.288	40	+0.655
antonym opposite	125	+0.132	40	+0.167
derivation	530	+0.140	185	+0.184
also	16	+0.117	2	+0.258
pertainym	3	+0.069	0	+nan

- Derivation is pretty close
- Antonym is large, as we can expect calculate the sum (difference when one is reversed) then the difference is very small

```
diff = (abs(lsnt[s1] + lsnt[s2]))
```

Analysis

- Checking these gives good feedback on both the corpus annotation and the structure of wordnet it should be done regularly
- ► To extend to un-annotated synsets
 - Automatically do if there are compatible scores from multiple relations (e.g. antonym and derivation)
 - Automatically do if there are compatible scores from other resources
 - Otherwise suggest for tagging only, . . .